

# SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW ARCHIVES

ISSN Online: 3006-4708

**ISSN Print:** <u>3006-4694</u>

https://policyjournalofms.com

### The Role of Political Dynasties in Pakistani Democracy: A Comparative Study of Bhutto, And Sharif, Families

Dr. Shahida Begum <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor Department of Political Science Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur Email: shahida.chandio@salu.edu.pk

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i2.771

#### **Abstract**

Pakistan Political dynasties deeply impact the course of democracy as they have outright rule over politics as well as governance systems. This study does a comparative analysis of two political families which are the Sharifs (PML-N) and Bhuttos (PPP) focusing on their specific political activities and strategies as well as dominance over elections and governance. This research was conducted using qualitative approaches such as historical institutionalism and comparative case study analysis which allowed the study to determine the extent that dynastic politics have impacted Pakistan's political democracy by restructuring organizational party systems, redefining norms of political accountability, and instilling new frameworks of governance. The study demonstrates Pakistan's prevailing conflicts between continuous rule by elites and attempts at democratic advancement focusing on the decline of institutions, governing by individuals instead of collectives, and the monopolization of state functions and resources. These outcomes enrich broader theoretical discussions concerning the sequence of political succession in post-colonial countries, the endurance of elite networks in transitional democracies, and the stalling of democracy in a hybrid system characterized by clientelism. The study reveals the enduring reach of politics rooted in family lineage and exposes the restrictions imposed on the democratization process in Pakistan.

**Keywords:** Political Dynasties, Democratic Institutions, Elite Capture, Pakistan, Comparative Politics, Bhutto Family, Sharif Family, Electoral Dominance, Post-Colonial Democracy.

#### Introduction

Pakistan's political leaders significantly established dynasties after the country gained its independence, establishing structures in which authority is used inside family units rather than within governmental structures. One sociologist summed it up this way: "Political dynasties have greatly influenced Pakistan's democratic development since partition by fostering an atmosphere where political parties, electioneering, and governance structures are centered around families." This scenario makes Pakistan's already perilous democratic situation, civic institution growth, and accountability in a hybrid regime—democracy hampered by authoritarian and military rule—even more dire. The obstinate persistence of dynastic politics in Pakistan is marked by two families: the ruling elites of PPP – Bhutto and PML -N Sharif. These families have managed to retain political power and influence through a combination of socioeconomic status and regional hegemony. Owing to their control of PPP, the Sindhi feudal aristocracy, have sustained the Bhutto legacy through Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, then to Benazir, and more recently to Bilawal Bhutto Zardari (Khan et al., 2020). Maintaining power within the family, the Sharifs emerged from the industrial elite of

Punjab, dominated PML-N through Nawaz Sharif, his brother Shehbaz Sharif, and now his daughter, Maryam Nawaz (Ullah et al., 2020). These examples demonstrate that the issue of dynastic politics in Pakistan is not simply an issue of convenience during elections, but rather a core characteristic of the country's system, reinforced by weak patronage networks, fragile party structures, and a military that intermittently supports and opposes civilian dynasties Wu et al., 2020).

Pakistan is not alone in having political dynasties. Other democracies such as The United States with the Kennedys, India with the Nehru-Gandhis, and Philippines with the Aquinos showcase that political succession is deeply rooted in families (Azizah et al., 2021). The context in which Pakistan's political dynasties function is unique due to it being a post-colonial state with a history of military rule, uneven economic development, and a fragmented civil society. Unlike more developed democracies where political dynasties compete within established party multi-party systems, in Pakistan these dynasties often form the party systems, where rigid hierarchies subordinate to personalistic leadership dominate quasi-political organizations (Feinstein, 2010). This increasing personalization of power erodes any form of democracy within the party, stifles meritocratic leadership, and fosters the elite capture of state resources (Matloob et al., 2020).

The Bhutto and Sharif families illustrate the deeper sociopolitical divisions in Pakistan. The PPP, with its roots in the Bhutto family, has tended to identify itself as a left-leaning populist party with support from rural Sindh and progressive urban circles, while the Sharifs' PML-N embodies the business-centered conservatism of Punjab (Wu et al., 2020). This region and ideology-based divide highlights the ways in which political dynasties have become a hallmark of identity politics utilized to consolidate power at the expense of democracy. These families' persistence in politics reveal systemic weaknesses: a politically compromised judiciary, a divided media industry along ideological lines, and an election framework that prioritizes recognition over substance (Abbas, 2023).

The militaries "political arbiter" role deepens the issues surrounding dynastic rule. The Bhuttos and Sharifs have been subjected to military coups, such as the execution of Zulfikar Bhutto and the later ousters of Nawaz Sharif in 1999 and again in 2017. More recently, however, the military has turned a blind eye towards dynastic politics as long as it serves its interests, such as in the case of preserving political order in important regions (Siddiqa, 2021). This uneasy balance between dynasties and the military highlights the mixed character of Pakistan's regime—where democratic institutions are present but face severe restrictions from non-democratic forces.

Some changes and continuities can be noted from 2020 to 2025. The rise of Bilawal Bhutto Zardari in PPP along with Maryam Nawaz's rise in PML-N reaffirm dynastic succession; however, public scrutiny over governance failures has reached unprecedented levels (Akhtar, 2024). At the same time, economic crises coupled with grassroots movements like the Pashtun Tahafuz Movement attempt to challenge dynastic rule, albeit their impact is constrained by structural limitations (Yusufzai, 2025).

Pakistan's dynastic politics, as this study suggests, is a paradoxical force that preserves stability in a fractious polity while simultaneously exacerbating elitism, institutional decline, and stifling democratic innovation. Through comparative and historical investigations of the Bhutto and Sharif dynasties, this study seeks to highlight the adaptability—and resistance—of familial rule to democratic pressures and contributes to the discussions concerning the post-colonial power, succession, and governance.

#### The Role of Democracy in the Context of Political Dynasties in Pakistan

Political families, especially the Bhutto and Sharif families, have shaped and dominated democracy in Pakistan. Instead of power being relinquished through democratic practice, it is tightly held within elite family circles. While voters are given the chance to participate in an

election, Democratization focuses on providing a competitive political party atmosphere that includes leadership based on merit, accountability of institutions, and participation from the electorate. The continuation of dynastic politics where family lineage determines leadership stifles democracy. This is evident in the PPP led by Bhuttos and the PML-N governed by Sharifs, where democratic practices have been replaced by family rule and shifts in leadership happens through lineage instead of intra-party voting, public elections, or through a democratic mandate (Wu et al, 2023). This situation poses the question: Is the democracy in Pakistan representative or only serves to mask the power that elites hold and the power given to them is simply a gift wrapped in the delusion of democracy (Siddiqa, 2024)?

The impact of the military's grip on civilian institutions fosters an environment ripe for familial control. This hybrid characteristic of Pakistan's political system deepens the already existing tension between democracy and dynastic politics. Accountability is often absent because democratic bodies such as legislatures, judiciaries, and electoral bodies operate under the weight of dynastic and military rule (Abbas, 2023). Take the example of the Bhutto and Sharif families: they have maintained their political strongholds through ongoing patronage systems, manipulation of intra-party elections, and alliance building with non-democratic forces, all of which undermine democratic principles of competitive politics. Such strategies conflict with the notion of a democratic contestation space in which an emerging public can initiate support for new leaders and parties instead of dynastic succession (Akhtar, 2024).

Nonetheless, democracy can also act as a possible counterbalance to dynastic control due to rising public demands for transparency, responsibility, and participation. Political families face growing civil society challenges, media criticism, and judicial activism aimed at unchecked authoritarianism that intensifies the push for electoral reforms and strong institutions (Yusufzai, 2025). Although the Bhutto and Sharif families continue to exert considerable power, their sway is increasingly contested by youth and new political leaders who seek to move beyond dynastic rule. Therefore, the evolution of democracy in Pakistan depends on whether institutional reforms combined with active citizenship can dismantle dynastic monopolies and cultivate a robust, inclusive political environment (Matloob, 2023).

#### Significance of the Study

Political dynasties mark a pivotal yet neglected aspect of democracy in Pakistan. They impact governance and policy and even shape competition within the electoral ecosystem. This study seeks to fill the gap in literature concerning the politics of dynasty, democratic backsliding, and elite capture in post-colonial nations. Examining the Bhutto, Sharif, and Khan families reveals the extent to which political families construct institutionalized parties and public trust as well as frameworks for accountability. The findings will serve as a resource for policymakers, advocates of democratic governance, and scholars addressing succession politics, power consolidation, and democracy not only in Pakistan but also in several other nations grappling with analogous challenges.

#### **Research Methods**

In this qualitative research study, the role of political dynasties in Pakistan was explored through a combination of comparative case study and historical analysis. Primary sources of the study included party manifestos, government documents, and political speeches. Secondary sources included books and scholarly publications. Understanding the politics of these dynastic families will be made possible through interviews conducted with political elites, journalists, commentators, and party officials. The impact of political narratives about democracy and public opinion that the Bhutto, Sharif, and Khan families disseminated will also be evaluated through content analysis.

### **Research Questions**

- 1. In what ways have the Bhutto, Sharif and Khan families assumed and consolidated control over governance in Pakistan?
- 2. What are the consequences of dynastic rule for democracy and political accountability in Pakistan?
- 3. In what ways is the impact of dynastic rule on the internal organization and electoral rivalry of the political parties in Pakistan different from non-dynastic political systems?

### **Research Objectives**

- 1. To study the political and historical relations of the Bhutto, Sharif and Khan families with the development of democracy in Pakistan.
- 2. To analyze the effects of political families on the evolution of democratic institutions, governance, and civic trust.
- 3. To examine the roles of dynastic politics in Pakistan while comparing it to prevailing international trends in the succession of power and elite domination.
- 4. To investigate if the dynastic rule improves or undermines democracy, party system institutionalization, and political inclusiveness of Pakistan.

#### Literature review

The impact of political dynasties in Pakistan has systematically dismantled democratic norms by restricting institutional progress and narrowing governance to a family system. Dynastic politics refers to the system in which leaders in politics acquire their leadership positions by succession within a family lineage. Such political systems convert political parties into family assets and eliminate public participation. This creates an oligarchic system where succession by birth is favored above ideology (Wu et al., 2020). The individualization of politics undermines parliamentary democracy as state structures become subordinated to unmovable elites (Khan et al., 2019).

Marhammed Ali Jinnah is the founder of Pakistan, but he lacks influence over the popular imagination of the country's identity as he was the leader of the Muslim League, which transformed into the Pak Muslim league. With his death, the Sharif family gained dominance through sheer bribery and intimidation. Alongside them sat Zulfikar Ali Bhutto of the PPP, who is remembered for introducing the first semblance of democratic rule after years of oppressive and autocratic leadership from his predecessors. Zulfikar Bhutto is known for his theatrics and charm, but his actual rule only cemented family rule, where his party, the Pakistani People's Party, felt like the personal property of the Bhutto family.

In this paper, I will explore how dynasties have undermined democracy and deteriorated the public's faith in politics—creating what we have today: stagnant socio-economics. I would further discuss how reforms can be established in order to reduce the impacts of dynasties and reignite democratic spirits across the country.

# **Dynastic Politics and the Erosion of Democratic Institutions**

# 1. Centralization of Power and Weakening of State Institutions

Political dynasties flourish within systems with weak state institutions that enable the consolidation of power through familial ties. In Pakistan, dynastic leaders circumvent formal governance systems and utilize patronage and personal loyalty networks to get things done (Khan et al., 2019). To illustrate, Zulfikar Ali Bhutto concentrated decision making within a small group of loyalists, undermining institutional checks and balances, which served to support his leadership as the PPP's socialist rhetoric did not translate into practice (Jalal, 2022). Along the same lines, the PML-N governments of Nawaz Sharif gave precedence to family and business interests above

any institutional progress. The control of the Sharif family over the bureaucracy and development funds of Punjab is indicative of how dynastic rule subordinates state institutions to personal agendas (Hussain, 2021). This centralization of power fundamentally degrades democratic accountability, as governance shifts from public service to the sole preservation of family interests.

## 2. Transformation of Political Parties into Family Enterprises

In Pakistan, political parties seem to have shifted their focus from ideological objectives to serving as family enterprises. When PPP was founded, it considered itself a progressive party focused on advocating for labor and land reforms. Later, it evolved into a dynastic family corporation due to the leadership of Benazir Bhutto and more recently, Bilawal Bhutto-Zardari (Wu et al., 2020). We also see the same thing happening with PML-N, which operates as a franchise of the Sharif family. With the transfer of leadership from Nawaz Sharif to his brother Shehbaz and then to his daughter Maryam, the party now is overtly led by the Sharif family. This type of succession is detrimental to democracy within the party since the eldest of the ruling family decides who leads the party, thwarting any form of meritocratic competition (Zahra et al., 2021). Thus, the coherence and ideology of such political parties is systematically dismantled, and they become means for elites to perpetuate their existence.

#### 3. Constitutional Reforms and Their Limitations

One of Pakistan's better-known reforms in 2010 was the 18th Amendment, which sought to increase provincial autonomy and decentralize power. It was successful in politically empowering regions but was unable to dismantle the dynastic dominance loophole, where political families opted to strengthen their regional strongholds (Ashfaq et al., 2023). The PPP retained control over Sindh while PML-N consolidated its influence in Punjab. This example illustrates the failure of constitutional reforms to counter dynastic rule, which underscores the high endurance of familial networks within Pakistan's politics. Chronic political dysfunction gives rise to deep-seated institutional distrust and lack of enforcement, allowing co-option of reforms by dynasties (Chatterjee, 2023).

### **Socio-economic Consequences of Dynastic Rule**

#### 1. Underrepresentation and Exclusion of Meritocracy

Political families account for more than 50% of Pakistan's parliament, which stifles competition for new leaders (Rehman et al., 2022). Dynastic politics directly impedes merit-based advancement because such representatives who do not compete for their positions tend to value family loyalty above skill. Studies show that such legislative decision-makers are often suboptimal because they lack fundamental knowledge in important fields like economics, health, and education (Loureiro et al., 2021).

#### 2.Mismanagement of Public Resources

Another indicator of public resource mismanagement is the allocation of political attention. Dynastic politicians tend to allocate resources based on patronage rather than developmental needs. Studies comparing dynastic and non-dynastic legislators in Pakistan reveal that dynastic representatives direct fewer funds toward public welfare projects (Rehman et al., 2022). Instead, they invest in clientelist networks to secure electoral support, worsening relative development disparities across regions.

For example, allocation of resources towards recovery efforts in flood-affected areas is slower in areas dominated by political families because of regional corruption and mismanagement (Loureiro et al., 2021). Education and health spending also continue to be low in these areas, perpetuating cycles of poverty (Yadav, 2020).

#### 3. Economic Stagnation and Elite Capture

Inward-looking policies that prioritize entrenched business elites over the economy's developmental aspects lead to elite capture. The political involvement of the Sharif family with industrial conglomerates as well as the Bhutto-Zardari family's hold over Sindh's agricultural

economy show how power translates into economic advantage (Hussain, 2021). This form of crony capitalism reduces competition and foreign investment while deepening income inequality.

### **Potential Reforms to Counter Dynastic Dominance**

### 1. Strengthening Intra-Party Democracy

The enforcement of internal elections within political parties could lessen dynastic dominance. Transparent leadership selection processes would stimulate participation on a meritocratic basis (Zahra et al., 2021). While India has attempted such reforms, their implementation is often inconsistent.

### 2. Electoral Reforms and Campaign Finance Regulations

Inherited political positions require restrictions on campaign finance to prevent dynastic succession. Elections could be financed by the state, while spending capped for new entrants, enabling a more equitable competition (Chatterjee, 2023). Moreover, anti-nepotism laws could be made stricter to prevent relatives from inheriting political offices.

### 3. Empowering Local Governance

Political power given to local governments can foster grassroots leadership that counters dynastic control. Local government systems in Pakistan are often undermined by provincial elites, necessitating constitutional safeguards for autonomy (Ashfaq et al., 2023).

In Pakistan, political dynasties have embedded oligarchic rule, eroding democratic institutions and worsening socioeconomic stagnation. Even after reforms like the 18th Amendment, patronage and manipulation of state institutions continues to enable the dominance of dynastic families. The resulting governance inefficiencies cripple meritocracy, exacerbate inequality, and undermine public confidence in democracy. Structural changes such as intra-party democratization, electoral reform, and decentralization are essential to dismantle the dynastic stranglehold. In the absence of these reforms, Pakistan's democracy and development will be stunted by hereditary oligarchs.

### Research Gap

Analyzing political dynasties in Pakistan remains limited to the Bhutto, Sharif, and Khan families. Most scholarly works are dominated by a historical narrative, rather than studying the impact of dynasties on contemporary democratic frameworks. Additionally, there is very little research on Imran Khan's transformation from a non-dynastic figure to the founder of a new political dynasty, which is a strikingly comparative study. This is the gap that the current study aims to fill; it examines the interplay between democracy and power in the context of the rise and persistence of dynastic politics in Pakistan, contributing to the discourse on South Asian politics and the global sociological literature on political dynasties.

Analysis of Political Dynasties Affect Democracy in Pakistan

Pakistan's Political Strategy and Its Effects

While the Bhutto's employed populist socialism Punjab through India slogan and rural Sindh mobilization to ascend to power in Pakistan, the Sharif's developed urban Punjab through economic patronage and infrastructural development. In contrast, Khan's PTI capitalized on antiestablishment sentiments to rally youth and middle-class voters. These models showcase how personalistic politics have hindered institutional development, as both older dynasties and newer movements grapple, often in vain, to build democratic structures for political parties (Yadav, 2020). With the constant aid of the military as a power broker, supporting and dismantling different political players for varying institutional aims, Pakistan's democratic evolution remains unclear (Mirza et al., 2023).

The Bhutto Dynasty (PPP): Populism and Legacy Politics

• Political Strategy: Sindh region rural mobilization utilizing charismatic leadership along with socialist-populist rhetoric.

- Succession Model: Stronger dynastic control through bloodline succession (Zulfikar → Benazir → Bilawal).
- Challenges: Losing influence beyond Sindh, facing repeat military interventions (Zia's Zulfikar execution, Benazir exile).
- Key Strength: Emotional voter loyalty through martyrdom narratives (Benazir's assassination).

The Sharif Dynasty (PML-N): Economic Patronage and Elite Bargaining

- Political Strategy: Focused on infrastructure development in Punjab with patronage networks and industrial wealth.
- Succession Model: Blending business and political leadership creates a family oligarchy with (Nawaz → Shehbaz → Maryam).
- Challenges: Confronting the military (Musharraf's 1999 coup, Nawaz's disqualification), corruption cases (Panama Papers).
- Key Strength: Economic clientelism grows a strong voter base in urban Punjab.).

### Comparative Summary Table

| Aspect                    | Bhutto (PPP)             | Sharif (PML-N)                |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Core Strategy</b>      | Populist socialism       | Economic patronage            |
| Voter Base                | Rural Sindh, leftists    | Urban Punjab, business elites |
| <b>Succession Pattern</b> | Direct bloodline         | Brother-to-brother → daughter |
| <b>Key Weakness</b>       | Declining national reach | Military opposition           |

### 2. Impact on Democratic Institutions

#### A. Party Institutionalization

1. **PPP & PML-N:** Highly centralized, with decision-making restricted to family circles, stifling internal democracy.

# B. Electoral Competition

1. Dynastic parties (PPP, PML-N) dominate due to entrenched networks, limiting new entrants.

### C. Governance and Accountability

- 1. Dynastic Governance: PPP's rule over Sindh showcases how loyalty supersedes competence, leading to inefficiency alongside PML-N's infrastructure-focused but corruption-tainted rule.
- 2. Corruption Trends: All three face allegations Bhuttos (Zardari's cases), Sharifs (Panama Papers).

#### Democratic Impact Assessment

| Factor                       | PPP                      | PML-N                   |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Internal Democracy</b>    | Low (family-controlled)  | Low (family-controlled) |
| <b>Electoral Dominance</b>   | Sindh-centric            | Punjab-centric          |
| <b>Corruption Perception</b> | High                     | High                    |
| Military Relations           | Historically adversarial | Conflict-prone          |

#### 3. Global Parallels and Pakistan's Distinctiveness

- Similarities: Like India's Gandhi family, Philippines' Marcos-Duterte dynasties, and the Hasina-Khaleda rivalry in Bangladesh.
- Pakistan's Uniqueness: Unlike more insulated-institutions in consolidated democracies, the military's kingmaker role deepens instability.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The investigation reveals political dynasties have worsened democracy in Pakistan with parties like Bhutto, Sharif, and Khan using entrenched populi systems, and patron-client systems. PPP's Bhutto family began leftist populism and the Sharifs PML-N amplified their rule through business-politics and their economic agendas. Even though Imran Khan's PTI was initially presented as an anti-dynasty counter-movement, it began to exhibit dynastic politics as relatives occupied important party roles. The findings suggest that dynastic politics strengthens elite state capture, decreases intra-party democracy, and constrains political competition. Nonetheless, these dynasties exploit the state through thin welfare representation while securing loyal citizenship all the while symbolic steadfast allegiance. Conversely, while short-term dynastic rule provides stability, it severely erodes long-term institutional accountability, undermils meritocratic governance, and emerging threats to democratic consolidation.

#### Recommendations

The dynasties that rule in Pakistan have their own politics and consequences which are reasons enough to rethink the systems in place. There should be the possibility of electing new leadership available for parties which would reduce the family-centered hierarchy. The introduction of antinepotism policies would most likely make elections more competitive and politically active. As with anything, civil societies and media should make greater efforts to educate the public

As with anything, civil societies and media should make greater efforts to educate the public regarding the negative impacts of dynastic rule and the need to abolish it. Moreover, reinforcing the independence of the Electoral Commission and the judiciary as distinct bodies cuts back on excessive dynastic influence. Addressing women and the youth as specific target groups through quotas and specialized training seeks to foster their participation and dismantle the stranglehold of political families on leadership positions.

#### **Conclusion**

The most enduring and dominant characteristic of dynastic politics in Pakistan has shaped governance, political parties, and elections throughout history. Families such as the Bhuttos and Sharifs have historically played a significant role in sustaining voter turnout and political activity. There is, however, a centralized oppressive rule by a few affluent families that risk democratic backsliding, institutional stagnation, and systemic exclusion. Such deep-seated dynastic politics have crafted an oligarchic system where parties function as family-owned businesses rather than democratic bodies. Succession lines are drawn along familial lines which limits the potential for robust internal competition. While it is accurate to suggest that these dynasties provided a degree of political stability, unchallenged concentration of power within them erodes competitive politics, democratic accountability, and paralyzes effective governance.

The result is a political setting where policy debates tend to merge with authoritarianism and public institutions are taken over by elites instead of serving the general people.

Moreover, the centralization of political authority into a familial structure intensifies the preexisting socioeconomic disparities because these political families prioritize consolidating patron-client networks over equitable and proportionate development. Research indicates that constituencies with dynastic leadership tend to lag behind in public service provision, infrastructure building, and even disaster response compared to those served by non-dynastic leaders. This governance gap perpetuates the relentless cycle of poverty and inequality, particularly in rural and peripheral regions dominated by political dynasties, where they exert clientelist control.

Meeting this structural challenge requires sweeping reforms such as the deepening of democracy within political parties, enhancing systemic checks and balances, and extending inclusivity in representation. Measures like intra-party elections, campaign finance reform, as well as power decentralization should help dilute dynastic monopolies and widen political engagement. Civil society and media also bear a considerable burden when it comes to fostering accountability and

transparency amongst dynastic rulers. It would also be beneficial to conduct comparative succession studies into the impact of enduring dynasties in stable democratic governance to look into how other democracies have mitigated the impact of dynastic politics. Youth participation, grassroots movements, and digital activism directed against hereditary politics also need to be recognized. If these issues are ignored, democracy in Pakistan could further risk consolidation of elite governance, compounded by deepening oppressive inequality and declining institutional trust. Ultimately, dismantling dynastic monopolies requires more than just electoral reform; it entails systemically restructuring Pakistan's political framework to be genuinely democratic. Only robust political competition can enable Pakistan to ensure that its democratic institutions are not captured by a privileged few.

#### References

- Abbas, H. (2023). Pakistan's judiciary: Between activism and subservience. Oxford University Press.
- Akhtar, R. (2024). Dynastic decline? Youth discontent and Pakistan's political future. Journal of South Asian Studies, 47(2), 210–225.
- Azizah, N., et al. (2021). Global dynasties: Comparative perspectives on family rule. Cambridge University Press.
- Feinstein, B. (2010). The dynastic advantage: Family networks in electoral politics. Political Science Quarterly, 125(3), 369–398.
- Khan, A., et al. (2020). Feudalism to federalism: The Bhutto legacy in Pakistan. Asian Journal of Political Science, 28(1), 45–67.
- Matloob, I., et al. (2020). Party institutions vs. personalism: Why dynasties endure in Pakistan. Democratization, 27(5), 789–807.
- Siddiqa, A. (2021). Military Inc.: The enduring power of Pakistan's armed forces (2nd ed.). Hurst & Co.
- Ullah, K., et al. (2020). The Sharif dynasty: Industrial capital and political power in Punjab. Contemporary South Asia, 29(4), 512–530.
- Wu, J., et al. (2020). Dynastic politics in hybrid regimes: Pakistan in comparative perspective. World Politics, 72(3), 443–476.
- Yusufzai, R. (2025). Grassroots resistance to dynastic politics: The PTM challenge in Pakistan. Conflict and Society, 11(1), 88–105.
- Abbas, H. (2023). Pakistan's hybrid regime: Dynasties, military, and the struggle for democracy. Oxford University Press.
- Akhtar, R. (2024). Dynastic politics and democratic erosion in Pakistan. Journal of Democracy, 35(2), 112–128.
- Matloob, I. (2023). Can Pakistan break the dynastic cycle? Institutional reforms and public discontent. Asian Survey, 63(4), 567–589.
- Siddiqa, A. (2024). Military and dynasties: The paradox of Pakistani democracy. Cambridge University Press.
- Wu, J., et al. (2023). Dynastic persistence in weak democracies: Lessons from Pakistan. World Politics, 75(1), 78–104.
- Yusufzai, R. (2025). Youth activism and the decline of dynastic legitimacy in Pakistan. Democratization, 32(3), 210–230.
- Ashfaq, M., Shahbaz, B., & Ahmad, N. (2023). Dynastic politics and governance failures in Pakistan: A post-18th Amendment analysis. Journal of South Asian Studies, 45(2), 210-225.
- Chatterjee, P. (2023). Elite capture and democratic erosion in South Asia. Cambridge University Press.

- Hussain, Z. (2021). Power and patronage: The political economy of Pakistan. Oxford University Press.
- Jalal, A. (2022). The struggle for Pakistan: A Muslim homeland and global politics. Harvard University Press.
- Khan, M., Yusuf, M., & Haq, R. (2019). Dynastic politics and institutional decay in Pakistan. Asian Journal of Political Science, 27(1), 45-62.
- Loureiro, P., Malik, A., & Sheikh, S. (2021). Do dynastic politicians underinvest in public goods? Evidence from Pakistan. World Development, 139, 105-120.
- Rehman, I., Khan, S., & Ahmed, Z. (2022). Political dynasties and development outcomes in Pakistan. Journal of Development Economics, 158, 102-115.
- Wu, J., Zaidi, S., & Rizvi, H. (2020). Hereditary politics in democracies: A comparative study of India and Pakistan. Comparative Politics, 52(3), 301-318.
- Yadav, V. (2020). Political elites in South Asia: Dynasties, parties, and governance. Routledge.
- Zahra, F., Khan, A., & Siddiqui, K. (2021). Opposition dynamics in dynastic regimes: The case of Pakistan. Democratization, 28(4), 780-798.