SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW ARCHIVES is

https://policyjournalofms.com

ISSN Print: <u>3006-4694</u>

Information Sources Preferences of Postgraduate Students at University Level

Muhammad Yousuf ^{1,} Dr. Muhammad Shahid Farooq ^{2,} Muhammad Jawad³

¹Lecturer, BS Block, Public School and College, Skardu. Email: <u>yousuf.academics@gmail.com</u> ²Professor & Chairman DASE, IER, University of the Punjab, Lahore. Email:

shahid.ier@pu.edu.pk

³ Graduate, Department of English, National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad. Email: <u>jawad.academic@gmail.com</u>

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i2.599

Abstract

The study aimed at identifying the information source preferences (ISP) of postgraduate students at University of the Punjab, Lahore (PU). The researchers investigated the differences in students' ISP with respect to gender, GPA, level of study, locale and use of social media. Population of the study comprised postgraduate students of PU. 330 postgraduate students were selected as the population of study using Yamane formula with margin of error of 0.05. Descriptive research design was applied. Information Seeking Behavior Questionnaire (ISBQ) was used to collect data and was analyzed using statistical software. Firstly, information source preference was analyzed as general then differences were measured based on demographic variables through frequencies, means, percentages, t-test, and one-way ANOVA. Most preferred information source was Journal Articles (Mean=4.69) as 71.5% students always consult and 26.1% often consult Journal Articles followed by Books (Mean=4.20) and Conference Proceeding (Mean=4.13). It also found that Encyclopedia was not much preferred by the postgraduate students (Mean=3.37). The PhD scholars were slightly behind than MPhil scholars in consulting Books, Theses & Dissertations and Reports. It is recommended to provide training sessions to the PhD scholars and postgraduate students with backgrounds from private institutions on relevant and updated information sources.

Keywords: Information source consulted, searching behavior, preferred information sources, postgraduate's information preferences.

Introduction

Rapid innovations in technology and knowledge explosion makes it hard for the students of 21st century to learn and understand all the existing literature relevant to their field of study. These changes swiftly effects the information source preferences (ISP) of students (Nasution et al., 2018; Ocepek, 2018; Spezi, 2016; Sycz-Opoń, 2019;Ye, 2022).

Information seeking behavior is the totality of actions and responses of the student in order to search, locate, gather and extract relevant information from different information sources in order to satisfy their information need (Kuhlthau, 2008). This starts with the identification of a gap in their learned previous understanding of the targeted topic. Therefore, they take some decisions in order to fill those gaps including decision to start information seeking, consult more relevant information source (IS), application of valid searching strategies and decision to close the information seeking process (Akanbi, 2022; Spezi, 2016).

A person's preference is a greater liking for one alternative over another alternatives (Merriam-Webster, 2019), in this case the available IS will be the alternative and the IS with greater liking compared to the other available sources would be the information source preferences (ISP). The aim of ISP is to support learning activities by providing relevant information to satisfy the information need. Promoting relevant ISP directly promotes sort of learning which helps in the transformation of a dependent learner into an independent learner(Boyum & Aabo, 2015; Nasution et al., 2018; Rowlands et al., 2008; Scheel, 2022; Sycz-Opoń, 2019). Educators considered effective selection of valid IS is necessary to ensure retrieval of quality information. Research established a firm association between ISPand learning (Kuhlthau, 2008), and considered the process of information seeking as a mode of learning (Kuhlthau, 2008; Lone, Mir & Ghanie, 2017; Selwyn & Gorard, 2016).

Wilson (2000) revealed a significant association between students' professional knowledge and professional skills and the ISP. Student's information about different IS, ways ofciting an IS, the format of their work, and the approaches of their academic writing control their ISP. Some studies discovered that students generally prefer to consult more than one IS such as books, articles, authorities etc., and take it as superior way to seek information (Elsharnouby, 2015; Sycz-Opoń, 2019; Wilson, 2000). According to Lone, Mir and Ganie (2017), problems to access the relevant information are; expensiveness of relevant information and limited library coverage. Another study reveals that PhD students use electronic sources of books, articles for collecting data (Boyum & Aabo, 2015). Annalaura Carducci et al. (2019) identified internet as the most preferred information sources at 77.7%. Use of internet and information source preferences moderates the social motivation of students (Ye, 2022).

It is reported that the student found to be accessing multiple IS are also better performing academically than the others (Meyer et al., 2008; Muydinovich, 2022) and established the importance of teaching the ways of searching and retrieving relevant information like best electronic and library sources, valid journals, quality authors, appropriate databases, etc. (Howlader & Islam, 2019; Sycz-Opoń, 2019) which may benefit them in academically.

Study of Nazir (2015) and Soni et al.,(2018) identified 21st century ISP of research scholars. They found electronic IS mostly dominating among the researchers. Furthermore, books, journals, thesis & dissertation and online databases which are electronically available are considered as the main IS. The case of PhD students are slightly different than other students as they use both library and Google Scholar while consulting an information (Boyum & Aabo, 2015).

Different students use different strategies to search information such as straight topic specific titles or chaining information (Winne, 2022; Zhong et al., 2018), if they are slow in identification of keywords and application of searching strategies like Boolean then they rely on less relevant information sources (Ballouk, 2022; Currie et al., 2010). Proper instruction of information seeking and selection of relevant sources improves their academic success and learning engagements (Shao & Purpur, 2016). Vance et al.(2012) study on how library instruction influence students' success, experiences higher GPA of instructed students compared to those uninstructed. Majority of students are now started using social media for information searching after COVID-19 (Akanbi, 2022; González-Padilla & Tortolero-Blanco, 2020).

Kuhlthau developed information search process model (ISPM) in 1993 by considering information search as a process comprised of some practicalactions to collect and understand relevant information to answer the encountered problem. This model tries to cover the feelings, thoughts and actions of information seekers during the course of information searching, common to each stage of model which are initiation, selection, exploration, formulation, collection and presentation (Fitzgerald, 2018)

The assessment and retrieval of valid information is becoming very tougher for students day by day due to rapid increase in the literature. The quantity and quality of available source, new searching techniques and online protocols are also changing rapidly causing inconvenience for new researcher while selecting the best amongst them. So it is necessary to address their behavior, knowledge, and perspective concerning these areas which may impact their academic performance. Hence, this study investigated the ISP of postgraduate students at PU.

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of research were to:

- 1. Identify the information source preferences of postgraduate students at university level.
- 2. Compare the information source preferences of postgraduate students based on gender, GPA, level of study, locale and use of social media.

Hypothesis

 H_01 : There is no statistical difference between ISP scores based on postgraduate students' gender.

 H_02 : There is no statistical difference between ISP scores based on postgraduate students' level of study.

 H_03 : There is no statistical difference between ISP scores based on postgraduate students' GPA.

 H_04 : There is no statistical difference between ISP scores based on postgraduate students' locality.

 H_05 : There is no statistical difference between ISP scores based on postgraduate students' use of social media.

Research Methodology

Research Design

Descriptive research method under the quantitative approach was used in this research to identify the information source preferences of postgraduate students at university level.

Participants of the Study

The population of the study were postgraduate students studying at University of the Punjab (session 2018-2019). 330 postgraduate students were selected as the population of study using Yamane formula with margin of error of 0.05 through random sampling (as cited in Singh & Masuku, 2014)

Research Instrument

Information Seeking Behaviour Questionnaire (ISBQ; Yousuf, 2019) based on Five-point Likert scale with reliability value of .872 was used to collect data.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Descriptive statistics [i.e. mean, standard deviation, percentages] and inferential statistics [T-test and ANOVA] were applied to investigate the ISP of postgraduate students at PU and variation in ISP based on demographical variable.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Descriptive Statistics of Postgraduate Students' ISP Table 1

Information sources consulted by Postgraduate Students (N=330)

Sr.	Consulted Source	Mean	%ages	% ages						
#	Consulted Source	Mean	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always			
1	Overall ISP	3.81	.7	8.2	28.7	34.0	28.4			
2	Book	4.20	.6	1.2	16.1	42.1	40.0			
3	Article	4.69	.0	.0	2.4	26.1	71.5			
4	Thesis & Dissertations	3.79	.4	4.2	31.8	43.0	20.6			
5	Official Docs	3.50	1.2	11.2	37.9	36.1	13.6			
6	Reports	3.47	.0	11.3	44.2	30.6	13.9			
7	Conference Proceedings	4.13	.9	3.0	24.2	25.8	46.1			
8	Encyclopedia	3.37	1.8	14.8	40.6	29.7	13.0			
9	Dictionaries	3.51	.9	14.5	36.7	28.8	19.1			
10	Directories	3.64	.6	13.4	24.8	43.6	17.6			

The ISP of the postgraduate students is good (Mean = 3.81, Often=34%, Always=28.4%). The ISP scores forArticles (Mean=4.69, Often=26.1%, Always=71.5%), Books (Mean=4.20, Often=42.1%, Always=40.0%) and Conference Proceedings (Mean=4.13, Often=25.8%, Always=46.1%) are respectively higher while ISP scores for Encyclopediais lowest (Mean=3.17, Often=29.7%, Always=13.0%). Articles are the most preferred information source by the postgraduate students.

Demographical Variations in Postgraduate Students' ISP Table 2

ISP of Postgraduate Studentsbased on Gender (N=330)

Sr.	Consulted	Condon	Maan	%ages				
#	Source	Gender	Mean	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
1	Book	Males	4.08	.6	2.3	21.1	40.6	35.4
		Females	4.33	.6	.0	10.3	43.9	45.2
2	Article	Males	4.66	.0	.0	2.9	28.0	89.1
		Females	4.72	.0	.0	1.9	23.9	74.2
3	Thesis &	Males	3.76	.6	5.7	30.3	44.0	19.4
	Dissertations	Females	3.83	.0	2.6	33.5	41.9	22.0

4	Official Docs	Males	3.41	1.1	14.3	38.3	35.4	10.9
		Females	3.60	1.3	7.7	37.4	36.8	16.8
5	Reports	Males	3.42	.0	10.9	48.0	29.7	11.4
		Females	3.54	.0	11.6	40.0	31.6	16.8
6	Conference	Males	4.09	1.7	4.0	24.6	23.4	46.3
	Proceedings	Females	4.18	.0	1.9	23.9	28.4	45.8
7	Encyclopedia	Males	3.32	2.9	14.9	40.6	30.9	10.9
		Females	3.43	.6	14.9	40.6	28.4	15.5
8	Dictionaries	Males	3.43	1.1	15.4	39.4	26.9	17.1
		Females	3.59	.6	13.5	33.5	31.0	21.4
9	Directories	Males	3.63	1.1	12.0	25.1	46.2	15.4
		Females	3.66	.0	14.8	24.5	40.6	20.1

To compare the ISP of postgraduate students on the basis of gender, descriptive analysis (means and percentages) was applied. Result of descriptive analysis shows that female students seemed to be more consulting all the aforementioned information sources than male students. A substantial difference in mean scores of the following information sources preferred by females [Books (Means=4.33), Official Docs (Means=3.60) & Dictionaries (Means=3.59)] were projected by the data than male students [Books (Means=4.08), Official Docs (Means=3.41) & Dictionaries (Means=3.43)]. Further responses on always consulted an information source was calculated and results revealed higher percentages for Articles (Males=89.1%, Female=74.2%), and lowest percentage for Always scored by Male for Encyclopedia and Official Docs (10.9%) indicating the regular preference of these information sources by the postgraduate students for their studies.

Table 3

	of Postgraduate S Consulted	tudentsba	sed on L		tudy ($N=$	530)		
Sr.		LoS	Mean	%ages	D 1	<u> </u>	00	
#	Source			Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
1	Book	MPhil	4.22	.4	.8	15.4	43.2	40.2
		PhD	4.11	1.4	2.8	18.3	38.1	39.4
2	Article	MPhil	4.69	.0	.0	3.1	24.3	72.7
		PhD	4.68	.0	.0	.0	32.4	67.6
3	Thesis &	MPhil	3.83	.4	5.0	28.6	43.2	22.8
	Dissertations	PhD	3.66	.0	1.4	43.6	42.3	12.7
4	Official Docs	MPhil	3.49	1.2	11.6	37.5	37.1	12.6
		PhD	3.54	1.4	9.9	39.4	32.4	16.9
5	Reports	MPhil	3.50	.0	9.7	45.1	3.5	14.7
		PhD	3.37	.0	16.9	40.8	31.0	11.3
6	Conference	MPhil	4.12	1.2	3.1	23.6	26.5	45.6
	Proceedings	PhD	4.15	.0	2.8	26.8	22.5	47.9
7	Encyclopedia	MPhil	3.36	2.3	15.4	40.2	28.2	13.9
	V 1	PhD	3.42	.0	12.6	42.3	35.2	9.9
8	Dictionaries	MPhil	3.48	1.2	15.1	36.7	28.6	18.6
		PhD	3.59	.0	12.7	36.6	29.6	21.1
9	Directories	MPhil	3.62	.8	15.1	25.1	39.8	19.2
		PhD	3.37	.0	7.0	32.9	57.8	11.3

1 6 9 1 (01 000)

To compare the ISP of postgraduate students on the basis of LoS, descriptive analysis (means and percentages) was applied. Result of descriptive analysis shows that MPhil students seemed to be

more consulting Books (Mean=4.22), Articles (Mean=4.69), Thesis & Dissertations (Mean=3.83), Reports (Mean=3.50) and Directories (Mean=3.62) than PhD students. While PhD students' scores are higher than MPhil students in Official Docs (Mean=3.54), Conference Proceedings (Mean=4.15), Encyclopedia (Mean=4.15) and Dictionaries (Mean=3.59). A substantial difference was found for the responses on always consulted an information source revealed higher percentages for Articles MPhil=72.7%, PhD=67.6%) and lowest percentage for Always scored by Encyclopedia (PhD=9.90%) indicating the regular preference of these information sources by the postgraduate students for their studies.

Table 4

ISP of Postgraduate Students based on Locale (N=330)

Sr.	Consulted	Locale	Mean	%ages	/			
#	Source	Locule	Wieum	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
1	Book	Rural	4.15	1.2	2.4	12.2	48.8	35.4
	Sub- Urban	4.30	.0	.0	14.9	40.4	44.7	
		Urban	4.16	.6	1.3	18.8	39.7	39.6
2	Article	Rural	4.70	.0	.0	2.4	25.6	72.0
	Sub- Urban	4.74	.0	.0	2.1	21.3	76.6	
	Urban	4.66	.0	.0	2.6	29.2	68.2	
3	Thesis &	Rural	3.79	1.2	1.2	32.9	46.4	18.3
	Dissertations	Sub- Urban	3.93	.0	3.2	25.5	46.8	24.5
		Urban	3.71	.0	6.5	35.1	39.0	19.4
4	Official Docs	Rural	3.59	.0	9.8	34.1	43.9	12.2
		Sub- Urban	3.46	3.2	8.5	43.6	28.7	16.0
		Urban	3.47	.6	13.6	36.4	36.4	13.0
5	Reports	Rural	3.39	.0	9.8	51.2	29.2	9.8
		Sub- Urban	3.60	.0	11.7	36.2	33.0	19.1
		Urban	3.44	.0	11.7	45.5	29.8	13.0
6	Conference	Rural	3.93	.0	3.6	35.4	25.6	35.4
Proce	Proceedings	Sub- Urban	4.26	1.1	2.1	20.2	23.4	53.2

		Urban	4.16	1.3	3.2	20.8	27.3	47.4
7	Encyclopedia	Rural	3.38	.0	12.2	45.1	35.4	7.3
		Sub- Urban	3.44	1.1	18.1	36.2	25.5	19.1
		Urban	3.33	3.2	14.3	30.9	29.3	12.3
8	Dictionaries	Rural	3.45	.0	13.4	42.7	29.3	14.6
		Sub- Urban	3.59	2.1	10.6	35.1	30.9	21.3
		Urban	3.49	.6	17.5	34.4	27.3	20.2
9	Directories	Rural	3.52	.0	15.9	23.2	53.6	7.3
		Sub- Urban	3.64	2.1	13.8	21.4	43.6	19.1
		Urban	3.71	.0	11.7	27.9	38.3	22.1

To compare the ISP of postgraduate students on the basis of locality, descriptive analysis (means and percentages) was applied. Result of descriptive analysis shows that students of sub-urban background seemed to be more consulting Books (Mean=4.30), Articles (Mean=4.74), Thesis & Dissertations (Mean=3.93), Reports (Mean=3.60), Conference Proceedings (Mean=4.26), Encyclopedia (Mean=3.44) and Directories (Mean=3.59) than students of rural and urban backgrounds. While students rural background seemed to more preferring directories (Mean=3.71)) than others and students with urban background seemed to more preferring directories (Mean=3.71)) than others. Further a huge difference was found for the responses on always consulted an information source revealed higher percentages for Articles (Rural=72.0%, Sub-urban=76.6%, Urban=68.2%), and lowest percentage for Always scored by Encyclopedia (Rural=7.3%) and Directories (Rural=7.3%) indicating the regular preference of these information sources by the postgraduate students for their studies.

Sr.	Consulted	GPA	Mean	%ages							
#	Source		Mean	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always			
1	Book	<= 3.44	4.15	.0	1.5	18.4	43.5	36.6			
		3.441-	4.21	1.0	.0	14.4	46.5	38.1			
		3.670									
		>3.670	4.25	1.0	2.0	14.7	36.3	46.1			
2	Article	<= 3.44	4.63	.0	.0	5.3	26.7	68.0			
		3.441-	4.73	.0	.0	1.0	24.7	74.3			
		3.670									
		>3.670	4.74	.0	.0	.0	26.5	73.5			
3	Thesis &	<= 3.44	3.69	.0	7.6	32.9	42.7	16.8			
	Dissertations	3.441-	3.88	.0	2.1	35.1	36.1	26.7			
		3.670									

Table 5

		>3.670	3.85	1.0	2.0	27.5	50.0	19.5
4	Official Docs	<= 3.44	3.52	.8	7.6	42.0	38.2	11.4
		3.441-	3.48	1.0	13.4	35.1	37.1	13.4
		3.670						
		>3.670	3.48	2.0	13.6	35.3	32.4	16.7
5	Reports	<= 3.44	3.50	.0	9.2	44.3	33.5	13.0
		3.441-	3.47	.0	10.3	43.3	35.1	11.3
		3.670						
		>3.670	3.43	.0	14.7	45.2	22.5	17.6
6	Conference	<= 3.44	4.11	.8	3.8	28.2	17.6	49.6
	Proceedings	3.441-	4.15	1.0	1.0	23.8	29.9	44.3
	e	3.670						
		>3.670	4.13	1.0	3.9	19.6	32.4	43.1
7	Encyclopedia	<= 3.44	3.39	.8	14.5	42.0	30.5	12.2
		3.441-	3.44	3.1	10.3	38.1	36.1	12.4
		3.670						
		>3.670	3.28	2.0	19.6	41.2	22.5	14.7
8	Dictionaries	<= 3.44	3.62	.0	13.7	32.1	32.8	21.4
		3.441-	3.58	.0	12.4	39.2	26.8	21.6
		3.670						
		>3.670	3.29	2.9	17.6	40.3	25.5	13.7
9	Directories	<= 3.44	3.60	.0	16.0	25.2	42.0	16.8
		3.441-	3.70	1.0	10.3	24.7	45.4	18.6
		3.670						
		>3.670	3.65	1.0	12.7	24.5	44.1	17.6
		/5.070	5.05	1.0	12.1	∠+. J	44.1	17.0

To compare the ISP of postgraduate students on the basis of GPA, descriptive analysis (means and percentages) was applied. Result of descriptive analysis shows that students with >3.670 GPA seemed to be more consulting Books (Mean=4.25) and Articles (Mean=4.74) than students with 3.441-3.670 and <= 3.44 GPA. While students with 3.441-3.670 GPA seemed to more preferring Directories (Mean=3.70), Encyclopedia (Mean=3.44), Conference Proceedings (Mean=4.15), and Thesis & Dissertations (Mean=3.88) than students with <= 3.44 and >3.670 GPA. Further students with <= 3.44 GPA preferred Dictionaries (Mean=3.62), Reports (Mean=3.50) and Official Docs (Mean=3.52) more than students with 3.441-3.670 and >3.670 GPA. A substantial difference was found for the responses on always consulted an information source revealed higher percentages for Articles (<= 3.44=68.0%, 3.441-3.670=74.3%,>3.670=73.5\%), and lowest percentage for Always scored byOfficial Docs (<= 3.44=11.4%) and Encyclopedia (<= 3.44=12.2%, 3.441-3.670=12.4\%) indicating the regular preference of these information sources by the postgraduate students for their studies.

Table 6

ISP of Postgraduate Studentsbased on Use of Social Media (N=330)

	Sr. Consulted		USM		% ages						
#	Source			Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always			
	1	Book	Always	4.19	.9	1.3	17.4	39.1	41.3		
			Sometime	4.21	.0	1.3	13.8	47.5	37.5		

		Never	4.27	.0	.0	6.7	60.0	33.3
2	Article	Always	4.70	.0	.0	1.7	26.4	71.9
		Sometime	4.66	.0	.0	3.8	26.3	70.0
		Never	4.67	.0	.0	6.7	20.0	73.3
3 Thesis & Dissertations	Always	3.79	.4	4.7	32.3	40.4	22.1	
	Sometime	3.84	.0	2.5	28.8	51.3	17.5	
		Never	3.60	.0	6.7	40.0	40.0	13.3
4	Official Docs	Always	3.48	.4	12.8	38.7	34.9	13.2
		Sometime	3.53	3.8	6.3	37.5	38.8	13.8
		Never	3.67	.0	13.3	26.7	40.0	20.0
5	Reports	Always	3.47	.0	11.9	43.8	29.8	14.5
		Sometime	3.49	.0	8.8	47.5	30.0	13.8
		Never	3.47	.0	13.3	33.3	46.7	6.7
6	Conference	Always	4.13	.9	4.3	23.0	24.7	47.2
	Proceedings	Sometime	4.16	.0	1.3	23.8	31.3	43.8
		Never	3.93	.0	.0	46.7	13.3	40.0
7	Encyclopedia	Always	3.37	1.7	13.6	43.0	29.8	11.9
		Sometime	3.41	2.5	16.3	36.3	27.5	17.5
		Never	3.27	.0	26.7	26.7	40.0	6.7
8	Dictionaries	Always	3.56	.9	13.2	35.7	29.8	20.4
		Sometime	3.35	1.3	18.8	40.0	23.8	16.3
		Never	3.53	.0	13.3	33.3	40.0	13.3
9	Directories	Always	3.66	.9	13.6	21.3	46.8	17.4
		Sometime	3.64	.0	13.3	46.7	33.3	6.7
		Never	3.33	.0	12.5	31.3	36.3	20.0

To compare the ISP of postgraduate students on the basis of USM, descriptive analysis (means and percentages) was applied. Result of descriptive analysis shows that those students who always USM for their studies seemed to be more consulting Articles (Mean=4.70), Thesis & Dissertation (Mean=3.79), Dictionaries (Mean=3.56) andDirectories (Mean=3.66) compared to the students who never USM or sometime USM for their studies. While students who USM sometimes for their studies have higher scores in Encyclopedia (Mean=3.41), Conference Proceedings

(Mean=4.16), Reports (Mean=3.49) and Thesis & Dissertations (Mean=3.84)than others while students who never USM for their studies seemed to more preferring Official Docs (Mean=3.67) than others. Further a huge difference was found for the responses on always consulted an information source revealed higher percentages for Articles (Never=73.3%), and lowest percentage for Always scored by Report (Never=6.7%), Encyclopedia (Never=6.7%) and Directories (Sometime=6.7%) indicating the regular preference of these information sources by the postgraduate students for their studies.

Comparison of Postgraduate Students' ISP based on Demographics Table 7

Table /										
Comparison	Comparison of ISP based on Gender(N=330).									
Gender	Ν	Mean	SD	t-value	df	Sig. (2-tailed)				
Male	175	33.79	4.83	-2.082	328	.038				
Female	155	34.88	4.58							

An independent-samples t-test was conducted to compare the ISP scores for males and females. There was a significant difference in scores for males (M = 33.79, SD = 4.83) and females (M = 34.88, SD = 4.58), t-value (328) = -2.082, p = .038, two-tailed. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected.

Table 8

Comparison of ISP based on Level of Study(N=330).

Gender	Ν	Mean	SD	t-value	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
MPhil	279	34.32	4.74	099	328	.921
PhD	71	34.25	4.77			

An independent-samples t-test was conducted to compare the ISP scores for MPhil and PhD students. There was no significant difference in scores for MPhil (M = 34.32, SD = 4.74) and PhD (M = 34.25, SD = 4.77), t-value (328) = -.099, p = .921, two-tailed. Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted.

Table 9

Analyses of Variance of Postgraduate Students' Locality on ISP (N=330).

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
Between Groups	55.931	2	27.966		.289	
WithinWithin Groups	7737.766	327	22.440	1.246		

A one-way between groups analysis of variance was conducted to compare postgraduate students' ISP on USM. USM was divided into three groups (1: Rural; 2: Sub-urban; 3: Urban). There was no statistically significant difference at the p > .05 level in ISP scores for the three groups: F (2, 327) = 1.246, p = .289. Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted.

Table 10

Analyses of Variance of Postgraduate Students' GPA on ISP(N=330).

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	17.160	2	8.580	0.380 (
WithinWithin Groups	7376.537	327	22.558		0.684

A one-way between groups analysis of variance was conducted to compare postgraduate students' ISP on USM. USM was divided into three groups (1: ≤ 3.44 ; 2: 3.441-3.670; 3: ≥ 3.670). There was no statistically significant difference at the p $\geq .05$ level in ISP scores for the three groups: F (2, 327) = 0. 380, p = .684. Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted.

Table 11

Analyses of Variance of Postgraduate Students' Use of Social Media on ISP (N=330).

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	5.295	2	2.648		
WithinWithin Groups	7388.402	327	22.595	0.117	0.889

A one-way between groups analysis of variance was conducted to compare postgraduate students' ISP on USM. USM was divided into three groups (1: Always; 2: Sometimes; 3: Never). There was no statistically significant difference at the p > .05 level in ISP scores for the three groups: F (2, 327) = 0.117, p = .889. Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted.

Discussion and Conclusion

The study identified the information source preferences of postgraduate students at University of the Punjab, Lahore. It is concluded from the results that students highly preferred journal articles while not much preference has been given to Encyclopedias for studies. According to the results, female students have been found to be preferring books, articles, official docs and dictionaries slightly more than male students. Students with sub-urban background are consulting more information sources than rural and urban students. Students with higher GPA prefers more books and articles while students with lower GPA prefers while PhD students are preferring official documents, conference proceedings and encyclopedias. Study of Akanbi (2022) endorsed that student use of social media for their studies and is positively associated with their performance. The finding of this study shows that those who always use social media for study seems to be more preferring articles, thesis and dissertation, dictionaries and directories than other students. While those sometime use social media for study purposes are preferring conference proceedings, thesis and dissertation, reports than other groups. The results revealed variation in students ISP based on gender, GPA, locale, level of study and use of social media. Soni et al.(2018) stated that students prefer electronic books, journals and thesis just because they experience factor of convenience while consulting such electronic sources (Shahid, 2022: Soni, 2018). Such results is supported by the studies whose findings indicated the information source consultations and preference of students (Boyum & Aabo, 2015; González-Padilla & Tortolero-Blanco, 2020; Howlader & Islam, 2019; Spezi, 2016; Soni et al., 2018; Vance et al., 2012; Wang, 2022).

Recommendations

It is recommended to provide training sessions to the postgraduate students with background from University of the Punjab on nature and retrieval of relevant and updated information sources. Use of multiple information sources may be encouraged in order to cultivate the culture of crosschecking and verifying the information sources.

References

- Boyum, I., & Aabo, S. (2015). The information practices of Business PhD students. New Library World, 116(3), 187-200.
- Carducci, A., Fiore, M., Azara, A., Bonaccorsi, G., Bortoletto, M., Caggiano, G., ... & Ferrante, M. (2019). Environment and health: Risk perception and its determinants among Italian university students. Science of the Total Environment, 691, 1162-1172.
- Elsharnouby, T. H. (2015). Student co-creation behaviour in higher education: the role of satisfaction with the university experience. Journal of Marketing for Higher Education, 25(2), 238-262.
- Fitzgerald, S. R. (2018). The role of affect in the information seeking of productive scholars. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 44(2), 263-268.
- González-Padilla, D. A., & Tortolero-Blanco, L. (2020). Social media influence in the COVID-19 Pandemic. International braz j urol, 46, 120-124.
- Howlader, A. I., & Islam, M. A. (2019). Information-seeking behaviour of undergraduate students: A developing country perspective. IFLA journal, 45(2), 140-156.
- Kuhlthau, C. C. (1993): Seeking meaning: a process approach to library and information services. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Kuhlthau, C. C. (2008). From information to meaning: Confronting challenges of the twenty-first century. Libri, 58(2), 66-73.
- Lone, S. A., Mir, A. H., & Ganie, S. A. (2017). Information Seeking Behaviour of Research Scholars of Faculty of Social Science, University of Kashmir: A Study. International Journal of Library and Information Studies, 7(1), 62-77.
- Meyer, K. R., Hunt, S. K., Megan Hopper, K., Thakkar, K. V., Tsoubakopoulos, V., & Van Hoose, K. J. (2008). Assessing information literacy instruction in the basic communication course. Communication Teacher, 22(1), 22-34.
- Muydinovich, R. I. (2022). The Role of Digital Technologies in Growing Secondary School Students to the Profession. Eurasian Scientific Herald, 6, 137-142.
- Nasution, N., Yandra, A., & Hasan, M. A. (2018). E-Service in University Research. International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7(14), 212-215.
- Nazir, T. (2015). Use and adequacy of e-resources by the research scholars and students of the University of Kashmir in Science & Social Science Faculties: A Case Study. Brazilian Journal of Information Science: Research Trends, 9(1), 145-158.
- Ocepek, M. G. (2018). Bringing out the everyday in everyday information seeking behaviour. Journal of Documentation, 74(2), 398-411.
- Preference. (2019) In Merriam-Webster's collegiate online dictionary.
- Rowlands, I., Nicholas, D., Williams, P., Huntington, P., Fieldhouse, M., Gunter, B., ... Tenopir, C. (2008). The Google generation: the information behaviour of the researcher of the future. Aslib Proceedings 60(4), 290-310.
- Scheel, L., Vladova, G., & Ullrich, A. (2022). The influence of digital competences, selforganization, and independent learning abilities on students' acceptance of digital learning. International journal of educational technology in higher education, 19(1), 1-33.
- Selwyn, N., & Gorard, S. (2016). Students' use of Wikipedia as an academic resource, Patterns of use and perceptions of usefulness. The Internet and Higher Education, 28(1), 28-34.
- Singh, A. S., & Masuku, M. B. (2014). Sampling techniques & determination of sample size in applied statistics research: An overview. International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management, 2(11), 1-22.

- Soni, N. K., Gupta, K. K., & Shrivastava, J. (2018). Awareness and usage of electronic resources among LIS scholars of Jiwaji University, Gwalior: A survey. DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology, 38(1), 156-164.
- Spezi, V. (2016). Is information-seeking behaviour of doctoral students changing?. a review of the literature (2010–2015). New Review of Academic Librarianship, 22(1), 78-106.
- Sycz-Opoń, J. (2019). Information-seeking behaviour of translation students at the University of Silesia during legal translation-an empirical investigation. The Interpreter and Translator Trainer, 13(2), 152-176.
- Wang, L. H., Chen, B., Hwang, G. J., Guan, J. Q., & Wang, Y. Q. (2022). Effects of digital gamebased STEM education on students' learning achievement: a meta-analysis. International Journal of STEM Education, 9(1), 1-13.
- Wilson, T. D. (2000). "Human Information Behaviour." Special Issue on Information Science Research, 3(2), 49-55.
- Winne, P. H. (2022). Modeling self-regulated learning as learners doing learning science: How trace data and learning analytics help develop skills for self-regulated learning. Metacognition and Learning, 17(3), 773-791.
- Ye, P., & Liu, L. (2022). Factors influencing college students' behaviours of spreading internet public opinions on emergencies in universities. Information Discovery and Delivery, 50(1), 75-86.
- Yousuf, M. (2019). The relationship between information seeking behaviour and self-directed learning of research students at university level (MPhil dissertation, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan).
- Zhong, Z., Hu, D., Zheng, F., Ding, S., & Luo, A. (2018). Relationship between informationseeking behaviour and innovative behaviour in Chinese nursing students. Nurse Education Today, 63(9), 1-5.