ISSN Online: 3006-4708
SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW ARCHIVES ISSN Print: 3006-4694
https://policyjournalofms.com

The Impact of Age on Second Language Learning

Nida Shabbir[1], Nasir Ullah Khan[2], Sabeen Amjad[3], Samiullah[4]

[1,2,3] Shaheed Zulfikar Ali Bhutto Institute of Science and Technology, Karachi, Pakistan
[1] nida.shabbir@szabist.edu.pk, [2] nasirullah.khan@szabist.edu.pk, [3] sabeen.amjad@szabist.edu.pk
[4] M.A (English Linguistics), Department of English, NUML Islamabad, samiullah223344@gmail.com

DOI: 10.70670/sra.v3i1.307

Abstract
This research examines the correlation between age and second language acquisition (SLA), emphasizing the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH) and age-related variations in phonology, grammar, and vocabulary acquisition. The results indicate that younger learners excel in achieving native-like pronunciation and implicit grammar, but adults exhibit advantages in explicit learning and vocabulary acquisition owing to cognitive maturity and metalinguistic awareness. The study underscores the limited validity of the Critical Period Hypothesis, indicating that second language acquisition results are shaped by biological, social, and environmental variables rather than strict age limitations. Practical consequences encompass the necessity for age-specific pedagogical approaches, early language acquisition initiatives, and continuous learning opportunities. Limitations, including a small sample size and cross-linguistic heterogeneity, are recognized, with suggestions for future study to investigate longitudinal effects, cross-linguistic disparities, and the incorporation of neuroscience into second language acquisition studies. By addressing these results, educators and policymakers may more effectively support different learner profiles and improve second language acquisition outcomes across all age groups.

Keywords: Age Effects, Second Language Acquisition, Critical Period Hypothesis.

Introduction
Second language acquisition (SLA) has been a significant focus of research in linguistics and psychology, offering insights into the processes by which individuals learn and utilize languages other than their native language. The need for bilingual and multilingual skills has surged significantly due to globalization and heightened migration. Second language acquisition is not a uniform process; several factors such as age, motivation, ability, and exposure considerably influence learning results (Ellis, 2008). Among them, age emerges as a notably contested and extensively studied issue. The issue of whether younger learners possess a distinct advantage in second language acquisition, as posited by the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH), remains a topic of scholarly discussion. Although it is generally acknowledged that children often attain native-like pronunciation skill more easily than adults, the degree to which age affects other facets of second language learning, such as grammar and vocabulary development, remains ambiguous. Moreover, most of the current work emphasizes the benefits of younger learners while inadequately considering the capacity of adults to attain high competence levels under optimum

circumstances (DeKeyser, 2000). This research seeks to address this gap by analyzing the strengths and limits of various age groups in second language acquisition (SLA).

Research Objective

This research examines the correlation between age and second language acquisition, specifically emphasizing the Critical Period Hypothesis. The objective is to offer a detailed comprehension of the impact of age on second language acquisition, using empirical data and theoretical frameworks. Essential inquiries encompass:

- To what degree does age influence certain aspects of second language acquisition (e.g., phonology, grammar, vocabulary)?
- What evidence substantiates or contradicts the notion of a key phase for second language acquisition?
- What is the role of social, cognitive, and neurological variables in mediating the impact of age on second language acquisition (SLA)?

Thesis Statement

Age profoundly influences second language acquisition, as younger learners often excel in pronunciation, while adults have advantages in explicit learning and metalinguistic awareness. The evidence supporting the Critical Period Hypothesis is inconclusive, indicating a complex interaction of biological, cognitive, and social elements.

Significance of the Study

Comprehending the impact of age on second language acquisition has both practical and theoretical significance. Understanding age-related differences can help teachers create effective teaching strategies fit for different student groups. Data on the optimal timing of language instruction might help legislators decide how best to allocate resources and establish curriculum. This study challenges or improves accepted hypotheses including the Critical Period Hypothesis (Birdsong, 1999), therefore potentially advancing the understanding of human cognitive development and language processing.

Historical Approaches to Second Language Acquisition (SLA)

Thanks in great part to advances in linguistics, psychology, and neuroscience, the discipline of Second Language Acquisition has evolved significantly over the years. Early theories, particularly behaviorism, underlined imitation and habit building as basic processes in language learning (Skinner, 1957). Then, cognitive theories underlined the importance of mental processes like memory and problem-solving (Chomsky, 1965). Emphasizing the need of contact and social context in second language learning, socio-cultural theories have been very popular recently (Vygotsky, 1978).

The Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH)

According to the Critical Period Hypothesis, ideal language acquisition is biologically fixed and usually spans early life to puberty (Lenneberg, 1967). This theory holds that reduced brain plasticity in language learning after this age makes it less successful. Principal research supporting the CPH show age-dependent brain plasticity—that youngsters recuperating from brain injuries may restore language skills more effectively than adults. Johnson and Newport (1989) demonstrated that younger learners regularly surpassed older learners in grammatical judgment tests. Nonetheless, the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH) has seen scrutiny, since several researchers contend that age-related disparities in second language acquisition (SLA) may stem

from variables including desire, exposure, and cognitive methods instead of biological limitations (Birdsong, 1999).

Age-Related Differences in SLA

Phonological Acquisition

It is commonly accepted that younger learners possess an edge in achieving native-like pronunciation. Research indicates that the capacity to recognize and articulate phonemes declines with age, a trait associated with reduced brain plasticity (Flege, 1995). Adults, nevertheless, can attain comprehensible pronunciation via adequate practice and exposure.

Syntax and Grammar

Younger learners may excel in implicit grammar acquisition through immersion, but adults often surpass children in explicit grammar teaching due to their superior cognitive abilities and metalinguistic awareness (DeKeyser, 2000).

Lexical Acquisition

Age seems to exert a lesser influence on vocabulary development compared to phonology and syntax. Adults often acquire language more swiftly owing to their advanced memory and learning techniques (Schmitt, 2008). Nonetheless, younger learners get advantages from extended exposure and enhanced integration of terminology into practical application.

Empirical Evidence for and Against CPH

Supporting Evidence

Oyama (1976) demonstrated that the age of entry significantly predicts accent in English learners. Patkowski (1980) reported that those who began second language acquisition prior to puberty were more inclined to attain native-like syntax.

Counterarguments

Birdsong and Molis (2001) discovered that several individuals attained native-like competency in Spanish, hence contesting the concept of a rigid critical time. Bialystok and Hakuta (1994) contended that variations in second language acquisition outcomes are shaped by social and environmental variables rather than biological constraints.

Neurological and Cognitive Insights

New advances in neuroscience have clarified the brain mechanisms behind age-related changes in second language learning. Studies of neuroimaging show that younger students mostly rely on procedural memory systems, which support implicit learning. Declarative memory systems help adults to be proficient in explicit learning activities (Ullman, 2001). Moreover, adults have more evolved prefrontal cortex, which supports higher-order cognitive activity, which helps to effectively apply learning strategies (Schumann, 1999).

Social and Motivational Elements

Social and motivating factors also affect age-related changes in second language learning. While younger students have more opportunities for immersive learning experiences, adults may face challenges like time limits and fear. Still, those with strong will and suitable surroundings can reach tremendous competency (Dörnyei, 2005).

Research Methodology

This research used a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative techniques to examine the correlation between age and second language acquisition (SLA). The mixed-methods methodology facilitates a thorough comprehension of the phenomena by integrating quantitative data with contextual perspectives. The research approach encompasses a literature review, empirical study analysis, and semi-structured interviews with language learners from various age demographics.

Data Sources

The data for this study originate from two principal sources:

Existing Empirical Studies:

Current Empirical Research: Peer-reviewed journal papers on age-related variations in second language learning conducted in meta-analysis. Key research include Johnson and Newport (1989), Oyama (1976), and DeKeyser (2000). Primary data collecting consisted in semi-structured interviews with twenty people—children, teenagers, adults engaged in second language learning. To ensure diverse involvement, participants came from online learning environments and language schools.

Strategic Sampling

Participants from three distinct age cohorts were sought using a purposive sampling approach: Children 06 to 12 years' old, 13- to 17-year-olds are teenagers. Those 18 years of age and above. The requirements for membership are minimum six months of study and active engagement in learning a second language.

Sample Size:

A total of twenty individuals—six children, seven adolescents, and seven adults—were chosen.

Data Collection Methods

Meta-Analysis:

Academic sites such as Google Scholars, JSTOR, and Science Direct were employed to identify pertinent studies. The following keywords were employed: "age effects," "second language acquisition," and "critical period hypothesis."

Semi-Structured Interviews:

Semi-structured interviews utilized open-ended queries to investigate the experiences, challenges, and perceived advantages of participants in the process of second language acquisition (SLA).

Sample Inquiries Included:

One of the sample queries was, "What obstacles have you encountered while learning a second language?"

"Do you believe your age affects your language acquisition ability?" Which way?

Quantitative Analysis:

Statistical approaches were employed to evaluate data from the meta-analysis, concentrating on trends and correlations between age and SLA results. The key variables examined are age, study duration, and skill levels, as assessed by standardized examinations.

Qualitative Analysis:
Thematic analysis was utilized to discern reoccurring themes and patterns from the interview transcripts. NVivo software was employed to code and classify qualitative data.
This chapter delineated the methodological framework employed in this research, specifying the research design, data sources, sampling strategy, data collecting, and analytical methodologies. This study seeks to offer a comprehensive knowledge of the impact of age on second language learning by combining quantitative and qualitative methodologies. Ethical concerns and possible limits were examined to guarantee the research's reliability and validity.

Findings and Discussion

Overview of Findings

This chapter delineates the study's conclusions, structured according to the principal themes found during data analysis. The findings are examined with the research aims and current literature, emphasizing age-related disparities in second language acquisition (SLA).

Age-Related Differences in SLA

Phonological Acquisition

Empirical studies and interviews indicate that younger learners possess a considerable advantage in achieving native-like pronunciation. Principal discoveries encompass:

Empirical Evidence:
Johnson and Newport (1989) and Oyama (1976) discovered that younger learners are more predisposed to acquiring native-like phonological abilities than adults. Neural plasticity in children enhances the perception and production of phonemes specific to the target language.

Insights from the Interview:
Child participants experienced no difficulties in emulating native-like accents, but adult learners encountered obstacles with pronunciation despite considerable effort.

Syntax and Grammar

Research indicates that younger learners thrive in implicit grammar acquisition, but adults have superior performance in organized learning contexts.

Empirical Evidence:
DeKeyser (2000) indicated that adults' cognitive maturity and metalinguistic awareness facilitate a more effective understanding of explicit grammatical norms. Younger learners, however, often acquire grammatical structures instinctively by immersion.

Insights from the Interview:
Adults often highlighted the value of formal grammar education, whereas children depended on contextual learning.

Lexical Acquisition

Vocabulary acquisition seems to be less affected by age in comparison to phonology and syntax.

Empirical Evidence:
Research, notably Schmitt (2008), indicates that adults possess an edge in language acquisition owing to superior memory and learning mechanisms. Nevertheless, prolonged exposure in youngsters results in a more profound assimilation of language.

Insights from the Interview:
Adult learners highlighted their capacity to swiftly assimilate language using flashcards and various tools, but younger learners depended on repetition and contextual exposure.

Mediating Factors of Age-Related Disparities
Neurological Determinants

Findings:
Brain imaging studies examined in this research indicate that procedural memory systems exhibit more activity in younger learners, hence facilitating implicit learning processes. Adults depend on declarative memory, which facilitates explicit learning tasks (Ullman, 2001).

Dialogue:
These findings correspond with the Critical Period Hypothesis (Lenneberg, 1967) while also emphasizing adults' ability to achieve successful second language acquisition in organized conditions.

Societal and Motivational Influences
Findings:
Children thrive in authentic and immersive situations, but adults frequently encounter obstacles such as time constraints and worry. Motivation proved to be a vital element across all age demographics, with highly driven adults attaining exceptional performance.

Discussion:
These findings corroborate Dörnyei's (2005) assertion that motivation can influence age-related disparities in second language acquisition (SLA).

Reexamining the Critical Period Hypothesis
The results offer ambiguous evidence for the Critical Period Hypothesis:

Supporting Evidence:
The advantages of younger learners in phonology and intuitive grammar learning support the notion.

Counterarguments:
The success of adults in vocabulary acquisition and explicit grammar learning contests the idea of a definitive crucial phase. Birdsong and Molis (2001) recorded instances of people attaining near-native proficiency, indicating diversity in second language acquisition results.

Implications for Educators and Policymakers
Educators:
Employ pedagogical methods suitable for the developmental stage of learners:
Emphasize immersive and play-based approaches for youngsters.
Deliver organized and clear teaching for adults.

Policymakers:
Promote early language education initiatives to use children's phonological strengths.
Facilitate lifelong learning programs to meet the requirements of adult learners.

Implications and Conclusion

This chapter consolidates the study's findings, examines their practical consequences for educators and policymakers, and underscores the theoretical contributions to the domain of second language acquisition (SLA). It culminates by acknowledging the research's shortcomings and suggesting avenues for further investigations.

Implications for SLA Research and Practice

Educational Implications

The results underscore the necessity of customizing language training to the developmental requirements of learners based on their age.

Child Learners:
Facilitate immersive and interactive settings to enhance naturalistic learning.
Promote phonological development via activities like as singing and storytelling.

Adolescent Learners:
Utilize their evolving cognitive skills to incorporate explicit grammar education in conjunction with immersive activities. Utilize technology-driven instruments to maintain engagement.

Adult Learners:
Concentrate on organized, objective-driven learning that employs clear teaching of grammar and vocabulary. Mitigate possible obstacles such as fear and time constraints by integrating flexible and helpful educational alternatives.

Policy Implications

Early Language Education:
Policymakers ought to focus early language training in educational curriculum to use the advantages younger learners possess in phonology and intuitive grammar learning.

Lifelong Learning Programs:
Establish and finance initiatives that offer accessible language education options for adults, acknowledging their ability for explicit learning and intentional vocabulary development.

Support for Multilingual Environments:
Promote community-oriented initiatives that provide immersive language experiences for learners of all ages.

Theoretical Contributions

This research contributes to the field by:
Presenting empirical data that both corroborates and contests the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH), demonstrating the intricacy of age-related influences on second language acquisition (SLA). Emphasizing the interaction of biological, cognitive, and social variables in influencing second language acquisition results. Expanding the discussion on Second Language Acquisition by highlighting the capacity of adult learners to attain high competence levels under optimum circumstances.

Recommendations and Future Directions

This research has also studied the age factor of learners and second language acquisition (SLA), taking into account the benefits and drawbacks of learners in different age brackets. The results further support the complexity of the process, stating that while children are better in phonological development and implicit learning, adults have more cognitive and metalinguistic awareness in the process of second language learning. One more important conclusion is the partial support of the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH). While age significantly influences numerous aspects of second language acquisition, the data suggests that, under certain conditions, adults may develop a high level of proficiency in other domains, such as lexical and grammatical knowledge. The findings contest the idea of a distinct "crucial period" and highlight the importance of individual, social, and contextual factors in second language acquisition.

Recommendations

For Educators

Adopt Age-Specific Teaching Strategies:

Promote observational and play-based learning to enable the child to fully utilise their innate ability to acquire phonology and understand grammar naturally. Teenagers should integrate interest-based activities with other structured engagements to optimise their cognitive potential.
Employ specific educational strategies and technology-based approaches to meet learner needs and reduce barriers.

Foster Motivation and Confidence:

Enhancing motivation and confidence requires the establishment of a supportive learning environment, which is crucial for reducing anxiety, particularly in adult learners. Implement activities focused on specific goals to improve motivation among different age demographics.

For Policymakers

Promote Early Language Education:

Support second language education by integrating second language education into early childhood education so as to harness the natural opportunities of younger learners.

Support Lifelong Learning Initiatives:

To streamline the learning process, we are developing web courses and community seminars specifically designed for adult flexible language programs.

Invest in Teacher Training:

Incorporate the teacher improvement programs so that each teacher equips himself or herself with ways to handle the various student personalities.

For Researchers

Explore Cross-Linguistic Differences:

Discover the impact of acquiring a second language on the two levels of language differentiation, elucidating how age and linguistic difficulty intersect.

Investigate Longitudinal Impacts:

Encourage the use of longitudinal methodologies to study second language development across different groups of learners.

Integrate Neuroscience with SLA:
Consult with neuroscientists on the neural processes that control second language acquisition and how they differ with age.

Future Directions
This research identifies many intriguing directions for further inquiry.

Holistic Models of SLA:
Develop the theoretical framework of learners, which would involve the use of biologically based models, cognitive ones, social ones, and cultural ones for better understanding of SLA.

Technology-Driven SLA:
Examine the role of artificial intelligence and virtual reality in learning a second language with regard to different age groups.

Policy-Oriented Studies:
Assess the effectiveness of governmental language policy and learners in different age groups.

Conclusion
Second language acquisition therefore depends on age, and as pointed out many times, this factor is inextricably related to a host of other factors. While younger pupils undoubtedly possess preconditions, it's crucial to address specific concerns about the successful learning of adults. Supportive learning environments, personalisation and continuity in learning, and delivering learning support form the basis for promoting second language acquisition among students of all ages. Subsequent policy directions and research applications therefore have to consider equality of access in the promotion of human and linguistic development among language learners.

References

Bialystok, E., & Hakuta, K. (1994). *The scientific and psychological aspects of second-language learning*. Fundamental Literature.

Birdsong, D. (1999). *Second language learning and the crucial period theory*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Birdsong, D. (1999). *Acquisition of a second language and the crucial period theory*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Birdsong, D., & Molis, M. (2001). Regarding the evidence supporting maturational limitations in second-language acquisition. *Journal of Memory and Language, 44*(2), 235–259.

Chomsky, N. (1965). *Elements of syntactic theory*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press.

DeKeyser, R. M. (2000). The strength of crucial period effects in second language acquisition. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 22*(4), 499–533. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263100004022

DeKeyser, R. M. (2000). The resilience of crucial period effects in second language acquisition. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 22*(4), 499–533. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263100004022

Dörnyei, Z. (2005). *The psychology of language learners: Variability in second language learning*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Ellis, R. (2008). *The examination of second language acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Flege, J. E. (1995). Acquisition of speech in a second language: Theory, discoveries, and challenges. In W. Strange (Ed.), *Speech perception and linguistic experience* (pp. 233–272). York Press.

Johnson, J. S., & Newport, E. L. (1989). Critical period effects in second language acquisition: The impact of developmental stage on acquiring English as a second language. *Cognitive Psychology, 21*(1), 60–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(89)90003-0

Lenneberg, E. H. (1967). *Biological underpinnings of language*. Wiley.

Oyama, S. (1976). A critical phase for the assimilation of a foreign phonological system. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 5*(3), 261–285.

Patkowski, M. (1980). The critical period for the acquisition of syntax in a second language. *Language Learning, 30*(2), 449–472.

Schmitt, N. (2008). Review article: Facilitated vocabulary acquisition in a second language. *Language Teaching Research, 12*(3), 329–363. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168808089921

Schumann, J. H. (1999). A neurobiological viewpoint on emotion and approach in second language acquisition. In J. Arnold (Ed.), *Affect in language learning* (pp. 28–42). Cambridge University Press.

Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Oral communication*. Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Ullman, M. T. (2001). The declarative/procedural paradigm of lexicon and syntax. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 30*(1), 37–69.