
Pathways to Sustainable Construction and Development in Pakistan: Challenges, Opportunities, and Policy Directions

Maryam Jamil¹, Dr. Malik Usman Awan²

¹ Lecturer Architecture Department, Lahore College For Women University, Lahore & PhD Scholar Architecture Dptt, University of Engineering & Technology, Lahore. Email: marymajmail369@gmail.com

² Assistant Professor Architecture Dptt, University of Engineering & Technology, Lahore.

Email: usmanawan@uet.edu.pk

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v4i1.2571>

Abstract

Pakistan is at a critical point where fast urban growth and increasing climate risks require a quick shift to sustainable construction practices. This paper looks at the environmental issues, policy frameworks, material innovations, and social and economic factors affecting the construction sector in Pakistan. It highlights how regulations like the Building Code of Pakistan (2021) and the Energy Conservation Building Code (2023) have developed, while also examining the gap between policy creation and execution.

Drawing on an extensive literature review, this study employs the Triple Bottom Line framework—encompassing environmental, economic, and social dimensions—to evaluate integrated development pathways and examine innovations in low-carbon and bio-based materials

The findings show that addressing institutional, economic, and social barriers through effective policy enforcement, green financing, and capacity building is vital for changing Pakistan's built environment into a sustainable and resilient system.

Keywords: *Sustainable Construction, Policy frameworks, triple Bottom line, Built Environment*

1.0 Introduction: The Strategic Need for Sustainable Development

Pakistan is at a critical point where rapid, often unplanned; urbanization meets extreme climate vulnerability. As the fifth most populous country in the world, with an urbanization rate exceeding 3% each year, the need for new housing and infrastructure is immense (UN-Habitat, 2022). This construction rush, which usually depends on energy-heavy and resource-draining methods, worsens the environmental issues facing the nation. The construction sector contributes significantly to global greenhouse gas emissions. In Pakistan, the common use of fired clay bricks, which relies on burning biomass and low-grade coal, creates a large carbon and pollution footprint (Aslam et al., 2021). At the same time, Pakistan is one of the ten countries most affected by climate change. The country faces glacial lake outburst floods, severe heatwaves, and devastating monsoon floods, as seen in 2022 (German watch, 2021). Given this situation, moving toward sustainable construction is no longer just about environmentalism; it is now a strategic necessity for national resilience and economic health. For a country dealing with ongoing energy shortages, water scarcity, and disasters caused by climate change, sustainable development is crucial for reducing risks in the built environment. Buildings that ignore energy efficiency turn into long-term burdens, straining an already stressed national grid. Likewise, homes built without consideration for seismic or flood resilience

pose direct threats to lives and strain the national budget, which must repeatedly support post-disaster rebuilding (Ahmed, 2020). Thus, sustainable construction in Pakistan is fundamentally about ensuring long-term security in energy, water, and materials while protecting human resources against an unpredictable climate. This review suggests that achieving sustainability is not about one technological solution but a complex transition that includes policy, materials science, engineering, and social equity.

2.0 Review Scope and Structure

This literature review outlines the various paths toward this transition. It evaluates the regulatory frameworks that support the industry, the innovations in materials—from low-carbon mineral-based solutions to regenerative bio-based alternatives—that define modern building designs, and the socio-economic factors that promote fair growth. Additionally, it looks at how traditional knowledge and modern engineering combine to enhance disaster resilience. By integrating these diverse aspects, this review aims to create a clear roadmap for updating Pakistan's infrastructure in line with global sustainability objectives (e.g., UN SDGs, Paris Agreement) while addressing local socio-cultural and economic conditions. This modernization relies on improving and effectively enforcing the country's regulatory framework.

3.0 Research Objectives

- To assess sustainable building regulations and policies using the Triple Bottom Line framework, which emphasizes the integration of social, economic, and environmental factors.
- To identify obstacles to the adoption of sustainable building methods and offer tactical fixes.
- To assess how well cutting-edge bio-based and low-carbon building materials work to lessen environmental impact.

4.0 Discussion & Analysis

The Policy Landscape: From Conservation Strategy to Modern Building Codes

A strong and enforceable policy framework is essential for systemic change. It provides the regulatory certainty needed to attract green investment and standardize performance in the construction field. In Pakistan, moving from a general awareness of environmental issues to concrete, enforceable building standards marks a crucial development in the sector, although there are still significant challenges in putting these standards into practice.

Pakistan's environmental policy started with the National Conservation Strategy (NCS) of 1992. This important document, created with help from the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), laid the groundwork for environmental awareness in Pakistan. It introduced the idea of sustainable resource management into national policy, highlighting areas such as energy efficiency, pollution prevention, and ecosystem preservation (Go/IUCN, 1992). After the 1992 Rio Earth Summit, Pakistan showed its commitment to global environmental governance by aligning its domestic development goals with international frameworks like Agenda-21. This alignment helped facilitate international cooperation, attract initial funding for environmental projects, and enable knowledge transfer on global sustainability standards (Khan, 2006). However, these early strategies were mostly aspirational and lacked the specific technical details and enforcement tools needed to influence an entrenched industry like construction.

The Building Code of Pakistan (BCP) was the first major effort to create unified construction standards. Though earlier versions existed, the need for a significant update arose following the 2005 earthquake. The seismic provisions introduced in the 2007 code marked a major improvement. The latest version, the Building Code of Pakistan (2021), represents further progress. It includes updated guidelines for structural safety, fire protection, and, for the first time, comprehensive references to energy conservation and environmental planning (Pakistan Engineering Council, 2021). This code creates the necessary regulatory

framework to encourage safe investments in green technologies by establishing minimum performance standards. Without such a code, developers have little reason to exceed the lowest common standards of cost and traditional methods.

The Energy Conservation Building Code (2023): A New Era of Mandates

The Energy Conservation Building Code (ECBC) of 2023 is the most recent and specialized tool in Pakistan's policy toolbox. The ECBC is a specialized branch of the BCP that was created by the National Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (NEECA) in collaboration with foreign partners. It outlines the energy-efficiency standards required to lower the operational carbon of upcoming residential and commercial structures. The code establishes minimum requirements for lighting systems, HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) equipment, electrical systems, and building envelopes (walls, roofs, and glazing) (NEECA, 2023). For the first time, builders and architects in Pakistan have a clear, quantitative guide for creating buildings that consider the country's varied climate zones. The ECBC directly addresses the "Economic Pillar" of sustainability by promising significant reductions in long-term energy costs.

Critical Analysis: The Gap Between Policy and Practice

While the journey from the NCS to the ECBC 2023 is commendable in theory, a significant gap in implementation remains a major challenge. Research on policy adoption in South Asia consistently points out the disconnect between federal-level policy creation and local enforcement (Haque & Naseer, 2021). Key friction points include:

So, while policies lay down the structural framework for industry innovation, having them alone is not enough. The actual impact of these standards depends on a thorough revision of enforcement practices and ultimately on the adoption of advanced, sustainable materials on-site.

4.1 Material Innovation I: Low-Carbon Walling and Structural Solutions

Pakistan must decarbonize the construction industry in order to reduce the substantial energy burden of its built environment and fulfill its nationally determined contributions (NDCs) under the Paris Agreement. The most direct way to accomplish these objectives at scale is to reduce embodied carbon—the emissions associated with material extraction, manufacturing, and transportation—through cutting-edge walling technologies (Pomponi & Moncaster, 2016).

The traditional burnt-clay brick, the common building material in Pakistani cities, is environmentally harmful. Its production damages topsoil, destroys agricultural land, and involves firing in inefficient kilns (often Bull's Trench kilns) that consume large amounts of energy and emit substantial CO₂ and black carbon (Aslam et al., 2021). Moving to alternative walling systems is crucial for any viable sustainability strategy.

Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (AAC) blocks provide an attractive alternative. Made from fly ash (a waste product from coal power plants), cement, lime, and an expanding agent, AAC is cured under heat and pressure in an autoclave. The resulting material is lightweight, non-toxic, and has a cellular structure that offers much better thermal insulation than traditional bricks (Khan & Ali, 2020). By reducing heat transfer through walls, AAC buildings require less energy for cooling, thereby decreasing long-term energy demand and lessening the effects of Pakistan's worsening heatwaves. This aligns well with the goals of the ECBC 2023. Additionally, using industrial by-products (fly ash) supports a circular economy model by repurposing waste as a resource.

Using locally sourced soil for modern construction offers a solution with high thermal mass and a near-zero carbon footprint. Rammed earth construction involves compacting moistened soil in layers within forms, resulting in massive, durable walls that naturally regulate indoor temperatures. They absorb heat during the day and release it at night. Likewise, CSEBs provide a modular version of this technique. These

methods bring back indigenous practices for meeting today's high-performance needs, linking modern sustainability goals with age-old building knowledge (Maniatidis & Walker, 2008).

Assessing these materials is vital for making informed choices. Fired clay bricks have high embodied carbon and poor thermal performance (U-value $\sim 2.0 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$), while AAC blocks have a much lower U-value ($\sim 0.6 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$) and lower embodied carbon. Rammed earth and CSEBs have nearly zero embodied carbon (excluding stabilization) and excellent thermal mass, making them suitable for climates with significant temperature changes (Reddy, 2015). These mineral-based solutions form the structural basis of sustainable development. However, to truly achieve circularity and improve occupant health, industry must also use biological and regenerative materials in building designs.

5.2 Material Innovation II: Bio-based Materials, Insulation, and Coatings

The shift toward bio-based materials addresses the pressing issue of resource scarcity. In a resource-limited economy like Pakistan's, using renewable, locally available biological resources creates a circular value chain. This reduces reliance on imported, high-energy synthetic materials and supports rural livelihoods (Asif, 2009).

Bio-based materials are central to a circular economy model. Unlike finite mineral resources, biological ones like bamboo, timber, and agricultural residues can be renewed. When sourced responsibly, they act as carbon sinks, capturing atmospheric CO2 while growing and storing it for the lifespan of the building (Churkina et al., 2020).

Often called "vegetable steel," bamboo is a quickly renewable grass with remarkable strength. In Pakistan's northern areas, it has traditionally been used, but its potential for modern applications, like structural support, flooring, or paneling, remains mostly untapped. Using FSC-certified bamboo and timber ensures that the economic aspects of construction don't compromise environmental integrity by causing deforestation or habitat loss. Straw bales byproduct of wheat and rice farming is plentiful in Pakistan and frequently burned, causing severe air pollution. Strawbale construction repurposes this agricultural waste into a high-performance building material. When detailed and plastered properly, straw bale walls provide exceptional insulation (U-values as low as $0.13 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$), far surpassing conventional construction and achieving near-passive house energy efficiency (King, 2006).

The Triple Bottom Line: Analyzing the Pillars of Sustainability

Sustainable development in Pakistan needs a balanced view. It should integrate the environmental, economic, and social aspects to ensure progress is fair and viable. The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) framework, introduced by Elkington (1997), offers a solid structure for examining these connections.

The TBL framework suggests that real success is not only about profit (economic) but also about a company's or project's effects on people (social) and the planet (environmental). In the context of construction in Pakistan, this means evaluating a building not just on its cost but also considering its resource use, its benefits for the community, and its resilience to climate changes. Table 01

Table: 01 THE TRIPLE BOTTOM LINE: FRAMEWORK		
ENVIRONMENTAL PILLAR	ECONOMIC PILLAR	SOCIAL PILLAR
Carbon Footprint Reduction: Cutting carbon emissions is the top priority. This includes switching to low-carbon materials (AAC, CSEB) and energy-efficient designs (ECBC) to meet international climate targets.	Life Cycle Costing (LCC): A TBL approach necessitates a shift away from only focusing on initial costs. It should involve assessing value across the entire	Socio-cultural Norms: Modern designs must honor and incorporate the traditional values of Pakistani society. A sustainable home should

	lifespan of a building, including operating costs (energy, water), maintenance, and eventual disposal or renovation. A building that incurs higher initial costs for AAC blocks and Low-E glass can have a much lower lifecycle cost due to energy savings (Kishk et al., 2003).	not only be energy-efficient but also culturally suitable, offering privacy, family spaces, and aesthetics that resonate with its inhabitants
Waste Management: This element requires moving from a linear "take-make-dispose" approach to a circular model. This means designing for deconstruction, sorting waste on-site, and using recycled and recyclable materials (for instance, using fly ash in AAC, recycled aggregates in concrete).	Green Financing: This is crucial for bridging the gap between traditional and sustainable practices. The State Bank of Pakistan has set up guidelines for green banking, encouraging financial institutions to provide lower interest rates for green housing and renewable energy projects. These measures are vital for overcoming the challenge of high initial costs, enabling the monetization of future energy savings promised by the ECBC (SBP, 2017).	Human Health & Safety: This aspect is essential. It spans from the safety of construction workers to the long-term well-being of occupants. Equity & Gender Justice: This is a crucial and often ignored factor in South Asia. Sustainable construction, particularly improvements in indoor air quality by reducing smoke from inefficient cooking and heating, and enhancing thermal comfort, directly benefits women and children. (Dankelman, 2002).
Biodiversity Protection: Sustainable construction must minimize the ecological footprint of urban development. This involves careful site selection (avoiding agricultural lands and wildlife routes), reducing water use during construction, and incorporating rainwater collection and greywater recycling to lessen pressure on local water resources.		

The integration of these pillars is most important in disaster-prone regions. Here, the "Social Pillar" of life safety must be backed by "Economic" viability through affordable and resilient construction, and

"Environmental" resilience through designs that work with local ecosystems and climate patterns. A failure in any one pillar undermines the sustainability of the whole. For instance, a seismically safe but energy-inefficient home may become an economic burden, pushing occupants into poverty. On the other hand, an energy-efficient but unsafe home poses serious risks. The TBL framework provides a necessary holistic view to ensure all aspects are addressed in Pakistan's path towards sustainable development.

Resilient Construction: Indigenous Wisdom and Modern Engineering

Pakistan’s geographic vulnerability to seismic activity, combined with the rising frequency of climate-related disasters, makes disaster-resilient engineering essential for sustainable development. The most effective strategies in the region use indigenous knowledge alongside modern technical standards. Pakistan is one of the world's most earthquake-prone areas because it is situated where the Indian and Eurasian tectonic plates collide. The 2005 Kashmir earthquake (Mw 7.6) caused over 80,000 deaths and left millions homeless (EERI, 2006). Additionally, the 2022 floods submerged a third of the country, underscoring the urgent need for resilience against multiple hazards. As a result, sustainable construction must be inherently resilient.

In partnership with NESPAK (National Engineering Services Pakistan), ERRA created and shared standards for confined masonry. This modernized version of Dhajji Dewari has reinforced concrete tie-columns and beams cast after the masonry wall is built, effectively "confining" the masonry within a ductile concrete frame (ERRA, 2006). This standard responded directly to the disaster, turning traditional knowledge into a repeatable, engineered system for rebuilding after disasters, ensuring that new buildings meet strict life-safety standards. This approach has been studied and praised as a successful model for rebuilding better (Schacher & Ali, 2010).

Socio-Cultural and Awareness Gaps

There is low market demand for "green" features since the long-term return on investment is not well understood by many consumers. Additionally, materials like earth or straw bale carry a stigma for poorer communities, even in their modern, engineered forms. Initiate a high-profile national labeling and rating program for building energy efficiency. A clear, recognizable label (e.g., "Green Pakistan Star Rating") would highlight the economic and environmental advantages of a sustainable home, making them more appealing to consumers. Demonstration projects and public awareness campaigns led by respected architects and public figures.

Navigating the Barriers to Adoption: A Multi-Stakeholder Perspective

Shifting the Pakistani construction market toward sustainability requires addressing specific barriers with focused strategic responses involving government, industry, financial institutions, and civil society.

Navigating the Barriers to Adoption: A Multi-Stakeholder Perspective Analysis Pakistan’s Construction Industry in view of Literature Studied		
FOCUSED AREAS	BARRIERS	STARTEGIC RESPONSE
Regulatory and Institutional Friction Points	Regulatory Inertia and Weak Enforcement: Though the 2023 ECBC is comprehensive, enforcement at the TMA level is weak due to a lack of	Implement digital permitting systems that automatically check for ECBC compliance. Require third-party energy-performance certifications for all new commercial and large

	capacity, political will, and digital infrastructure. A culture of "negotiating" compliance is common.	residential developments. This automates enforcement and creates a professional class of energy auditors, transferring the burden from under-resourced public officials to the private sector.
Economic and Financial Hurdles	The initial cost premium for sustainable materials (e.g., AAC blocks compared to traditional bricks, Low-E glass against standard glass) is a significant issue for budget-sensitive developers and homebuyers.	More aggressively leverage the "Green Financing" pillar. The State Bank of Pakistan and commercial banks need to expand preferential interest rate programs (green mortgages) for projects meeting ECBC or other green building certifications. Tax credits and faster permitting for projects using approved sustainable materials can further lower the initial capital costs, making long-term savings attractive at the point of sale.
Technical and Supply Chain Deficiencies	Weak and Immature Supply Chains: There is limited local availability of specialized bio-based and low-carbon materials. Manufacturing capacity for AAC is increasing, but not yet nationwide. Skilled labor for techniques like rat-trap bond or CSEB construction is scarce.	Create Special Economic Zones (SEZs) specifically for sustainable building technologies, offering tax breaks and reduced utility rates to manufacturers of AAC, CSEB presses, and insulation. Provide import duty exemptions on machinery needed to produce these materials locally to boost domestic production. At the same time, integrate training on these modern techniques in the curriculum of technical and vocational institutes to develop a skilled workforce.
Socio-Cultural and Awareness Gaps	There is low market demand for "green" features since the long-term return on investment is not well understood by many consumers. Additionally, materials like earth or straw bale carry a stigma for poorer communities, even in their modern, engineered forms.	Initiate a high-profile national labeling and rating program for building energy efficiency. A clear, recognizable label (e.g., "Green Pakistan Star Rating") would highlight the economic and environmental advantages of a sustainable home, making them more appealing to consumers. Demonstration projects and public awareness campaigns led by respected architects and public figures.

A Synthesis of Strategic Responses: Overcoming these barriers requires a comprehensive approach. Policy (ECBC) needs to be backed by enforcement (digital permits) and economic incentives (green finance, tax credits). These efforts create market demand that strengthens supply chains and promotes skill development.

Transitioning Pakistan to Sustainable Construction



6.0 Conclusion: A Roadmap for Sustainable Transformation

The path to sustainable construction in Pakistan needs a complete approach that combines policy, material science, resilient engineering, and social equity. This literature review shows that foundational documents like the National Conservation Strategy (1992) set the groundwork. However, the future of the sector relies heavily on the strict implementation and enforcement of the Building Code of Pakistan (2021) and the Energy Conservation Building Code (2023). These codes provide the necessary regulatory support.

Using low-carbon materials like AAC and CSEB, along with bio-based resources like bamboo and straw bales, directly meets the environmental and circularity needs of a resource-limited nation. This change is not just technical; it is supported by the Triple Bottom Line framework. This framework ensures that environmental improvements do not sacrifice economic stability or social justice, particularly concerning gender equity and health. At the same time, incorporating local seismic-resistant techniques and modernizing them into ERRA-NESPAK standard confined masonry offers a proven defense against the region's unavoidable seismic threats. After the 2022 floods, the need for multi-hazard resilience is clearer than ever.

- **Quantitative Life Cycle Assessments (LCAs):** More Pakistan-specific LCA data is needed to accurately compare the environmental impacts of local materials, such as examining different brick kiln technologies against AAC.
- **Socio-economic Impact Studies:** Research is needed on the long-term social and economic effects of green housing on occupant health, productivity, and household finances in Pakistan.
- **Post-Occupancy Evaluation:** Studies are required to assess how well buildings designed to ECBC standards perform in terms of energy use and occupant comfort.
- **Policy Implementation Analysis:** Detailed research on the institutional and political economic barriers to enforcing building codes at the local level is essential for creating effective reform.

By addressing adoption barriers through strategic green financing, strong regulatory enforcement, and targeted capacity building, Pakistan can change its built environment. The goal is not just to create structures but to build communities that can withstand climate shocks, benefit everyone fairly, and remain economically viable

for future generations. Thus, transforming Pakistan's construction sector is crucial to building a sustainable future for the country.

References

- United Nations Environment Programme (2020). 2020 Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction.
- World Commission on Environment and Development (1987). Our Common Future.
- John Elkington (1997). Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business.
- World Green Building Council (2019). Bringing Embodied Carbon Upfront
- Pakistan Environmental Protection Agency. *Pakistan Environmental Protection Act (1997)*.
- Ministry of Climate Change Pakistan (2021). *National Climate Change Policy (Updated)*.
- Pakistan Green Building Council. *Green Building Guidelines and Initiatives*.
- Planning Commission of Pakistan. *Pakistan Vision 2025*
- Khan, M. A., Wang, C. C., Lee, C. L., Conceição, Z. E., & Awbi, H. B. (2021). A framework for developing green building rating tools based on Pakistan's local context. *Buildings*, 11(5), 202.
- Farooq, S., & Yaqoob, I. (2019). Awareness towards efficiency of green and conventional building materials used in Pakistan. *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences: A. Physical and Computational Sciences*, 56(3), 59-68.
- Mensah, J. (2019). Sustainable development: Meaning, history, principles, pillars, and implications for human action: Literature review. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 5(1), 1653531.
- Milán-García, J., Uribe-Toril, J., Ruiz-Real, J. L., & de Pablo Valenciano, J. (2019). Sustainable local development: An overview of the state of knowledge. *Resources*, 8(1), 31.
- Talpur, B. D., Liuzzi, S., Rubino, C., Cannavale, A., & Martellotta, F. (2023). Life cycle assessment and circular building design in South Asian countries: A review of the current state of the art and research potentials. *Buildings*, 13(12), 3045.
- Ali, Q. (2021, September 21). How resilient communities in Pakistan are dealing with climate change. *Buildings & Cities*.
- Carabbio, R., Pieraccini, L., Silvestri, L., & Schiavone, M. (2022). How can vernacular construction techniques sustain earthquakes: The case of the Bhatar buildings. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 72, 102844.
- Rauf, A., Shakir, S., Ncube, A., Abd-Ur-Rehman, H. M., Janjua, A. K., Khanum, S., & Khoja, A. H. (2022). Prospects towards sustainability: A comparative study to evaluate the environmental performance of brick making kilns in Pakistan. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 94, 106746.
- Sadiq, S., & Ahmad, N. (2021). Rummage for a sustainable earthquake-resistant structure construction techniques and technologies in Northern Areas of Pakistan. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review*, 5(3), 86-101.
- Goldhahn, C., Cabane, E., & Chanana, M. (2021). Sustainability in wood materials science: An opinion about current material development techniques and the end of lifetime perspectives. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 379(2206), 20200339.
- Kibert, C. J. (2016). *Sustainable Construction: Green Building Design and Delivery*.
- Ding, G. K. C. (2008). Sustainable construction—The role of environmental assessment tools. *Journal of Environmental Management*.
- Hill, R. C., & Bowen, P. A. (1997). Sustainable construction: Principles and framework. *Construction Management and Economics*.

- Pomponi, F., & Moncaster, A. (2016). Embodied carbon mitigation and reduction in the built environment. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*.
- Cabeza, L. F., et al. (2014). Low carbon and low embodied energy materials in buildings. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*.
- Elkington, J. (1998). Partnerships from cannibals with forks: The Triple Bottom Line. *Environmental Quality Management*.
- Slaper, T. F., & Hall, T. J. (2011). The Triple Bottom Line: What is it and how does it work? *Indiana Business Review*.
- Ahi, P., & Searcy, C. (2015). An analysis of metrics used to measure performance in green and sustainable supply chains. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Ali, H. H., & Al Nsairat, S. F. (2009). Developing a green building assessment tool for developing countries: Case of Pakistan. *Building and Environment*.
- Zubair, M., et al. (2020). Sustainable construction practices in Pakistan: Barriers and opportunities. *Sustainability*.
- Hameed, R., et al. (2019). Barriers to green building adoption in Pakistan. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.