
Understanding and Addressing Mental Health Stigma Across Cultures: A Cross-Cultural Literature Review

Aruma Ashraf^{*1}, Dr. Imran Ibrahim², Alishba Fatima³, Iqra Anwar⁴, Saeed Ahmad Zaman⁵

1 Lecturer Department of Sociology, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan.

*Correspondence Email: aruma.ashraf@soc.uol.edu.pk ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-9737-8745>

2 Head of Department of Sociology, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan.

Email: Imran.ibrahim@soc.uol.edu.pk

3 Lecturer Department of Sociology, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan.

Email: alishba.fatima@soc.uol.edu.pk ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0542-8617>

4 Lecturer Department of Sociology, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan.

Email: iqra.anwar@soc.uol.edu.pk

5 Lecturer Department of Sociology, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan.

Email: ahmad.zaman@soc.uol.edu.pk ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6220-643X>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v4i1.2567>

Abstract

Mental health stigma constitutes one of the most pervasive barriers to psychiatric care globally, yet its manifestations, determinants, and consequences vary considerably across cultural contexts. This paper provides a comprehensive cross-cultural review of the existing literature on mental health stigma, examining its conceptualisation, measurement, and impact at individual and societal levels. Drawing on evidence from Asian, African, Arab, Latin American, and Western cultural settings, the review explores how cultural beliefs, religious frameworks, and gender norms shape stigmatising attitudes towards mental illness and influence help-seeking behaviour, treatment adherence, and quality of life. The paper further examines the role of culture-bound syndromes in diagnostic processes and the implications for clinicians practising in culturally diverse settings. Evidence-based strategies for stigma reduction — including public awareness campaigns, cultural competency training, peer support programmes, and community-integrated care — are critically evaluated. The review identifies seven substantive gaps in the existing literature, including the absence of longitudinal research, underrepresentation of South Asian and Indigenous contexts, limited intersectional analysis, and the neglect of digital media as a contemporary vector of stigma. The findings underscore the imperative of culturally situated, multi-level approaches to stigma reduction and suggest key directions for future empirical research.

Keywords: Mental Health Stigma; Cross-Cultural Psychiatry; Stigma Measurement; Culture-Bound Syndromes; Stigma Reduction; Cultural Competence; Intersectionality; Help-Seeking Behaviour

1. Introduction

Mental health disorders impose an immense burden on individuals, families, and healthcare systems worldwide. Yet beyond the clinical dimensions of these conditions lies a profound social challenge: the stigma that persistently surrounds mental illness and impedes access to care, social participation, and recovery. Stigma — broadly understood as a mark of disgrace associated with a particular circumstance, quality, or

person — operates as a socially constructed barrier that reflects and reinforces broader systems of power, prejudice, and social exclusion (Link & Phelan, 2001).

While the existence of mental health stigma is widely documented across disciplines, what remains considerably less understood is the manner in which stigma takes shape differently across cultural and social environments. Culture serves as the lens through which mental illness is perceived, explained, and responded to; consequently, the stigma that attaches to mental health conditions is not uniform but is rather shaped by the specific values, belief systems, religious frameworks, and social norms of the communities in which it emerges (Kirmayer & Pedersen, 2014).

The present review is motivated by the recognition that effective strategies for addressing mental health stigma must be grounded in a thorough understanding of its cultural determinants and consequences. A one-size-fits-all approach to anti-stigma intervention is insufficient in a world characterised by profound cultural diversity. Clinicians, policymakers, and researchers require conceptual tools and empirical evidence that are responsive to cultural context if they are to design interventions capable of reaching those most burdened by stigma.

This paper therefore undertakes a systematic review of the literature on mental health stigma across cultures. It begins by examining existing instruments used to measure stigma and their cross-cultural applicability. It then explores the individual and societal consequences of stigma, the role of cultural, religious, and gender-based factors in shaping its expression, and the contribution of culture-bound syndromes to diagnostic complexity. The review concludes with a critical evaluation of evidence-based strategies for stigma reduction and an identification of substantive gaps in the existing literature that warrant future research attention.

2. Conceptualising and Measuring Mental Health Stigma

The scholarly effort to operationalise stigma as a measurable construct has produced a range of psychometric instruments, each capturing a distinct dimension of the stigma experience. Two of the most widely employed instruments are the Internalised Stigma of Mental Illness (ISMI) scale (Ritsher et al., 2003) and the Perceived Devaluation-Discrimination (PDD) scale (Link, 1987). Understanding what these instruments measure — and what they do not — is foundational to any cross-cultural analysis of mental health stigma.

2.1 The Internalised Stigma of Mental Illness (ISMI) Scale

The ISMI scale was developed to assess the subjective, internalised dimensions of stigma — that is, the degree to which individuals with mental illness come to accept and apply negative societal beliefs about mental illness to themselves. The scale comprises five conceptually distinct subscales:

Alienation: the experience of being a marginalised or diminished member of society as a consequence of one's mental health condition.

Stereotype Endorsement: the extent to which the individual internalises and agrees with prevailing negative stereotypes about mental illness.

Discrimination Experience: self-reported encounters with rejection or social exclusion attributable to mental illness.

Social Withdrawal: the tendency to avoid social engagement out of anticipatory fear of being stigmatised.

Stigma Resistance: the individual's capacity to challenge and resist the internalisation of stigmatising beliefs.

2.2 The Perceived Devaluation-Discrimination (PDD) Scale

In contrast to the ISMI scale's focus on internalised stigma, the PDD scale (Link, 1987) captures perceived public stigma — specifically, the extent to which individuals believe that society at large devalues and discriminates against people with mental illness. The PDD scale measures not an individual's personal experiences of stigma but rather their perception of the broader social environment, making it a useful complement to internalised stigma measures in cross-cultural research.

Together, these instruments offer complementary perspectives on the stigma landscape: the ISMI scale illuminates the psychological toll of internalised stigma, while the PDD scale maps the perceived social context in which stigma operates. Both are necessary for a comprehensive understanding of how stigma functions across different cultural settings and for identifying the most appropriate levels of intervention — whether targeting individual belief systems, social attitudes, or institutional practices.

3. Individual and Societal Consequences of Mental Health Stigma

The consequences of mental health stigma are extensive, spanning individual psychological wellbeing, social functioning, healthcare utilisation, and public understanding of mental illness. The following subsections examine five primary domains of impact identified in the literature.

3.1 Delayed Treatment-Seeking Behaviour

One of the most consistently documented consequences of mental health stigma is its deterrent effect on help-seeking behaviour. The fear of being labelled, misunderstood, or socially excluded acts as a powerful inhibitor, discouraging individuals from approaching mental health services in a timely manner. Evidence presented by Clement et al. (2015) demonstrates that stigma significantly elevates the probability of treatment delay and avoidance, a pattern observed across multiple cultural and clinical populations.

The consequences of delayed intervention are compounding: symptoms that might have been manageable at an earlier stage escalate in severity, treatment complexity increases, and the prospects for functional recovery diminish. Prolonged untreated mental illness is further associated with declining self-esteem, heightened depressive symptomatology, and impairments across occupational, relational, and self-care domains — outcomes that collectively reduce quality of life and increase long-term service utilisation costs (Corrigan, 2016).

3.2 Social Isolation and Structural Discrimination

Beyond its effects on individual psychology, stigma generates tangible structural disadvantages for people living with mental illness. Research by Brohan and Thornicroft (2010) documents systematic discrimination across employment, personal relationships, and civic life. Negative stereotypes — including associations with dangerousness, unpredictability, and incapacity — are widely held and translate into concrete experiences of exclusion: biased hiring practices, barriers to career advancement, strained family relationships, and diminished social networks (Angermeyer & Matschinger, 2005).

Such structural discrimination is not merely a social inconvenience; it constitutes a form of institutionalised injustice that compromises the fundamental rights and opportunities of individuals with mental health conditions. The cumulative experience of discrimination may, in turn, reinforce internalised stigma, creating cycles of withdrawal, shame, and reduced motivation to seek or sustain treatment (Corrigan, 2016).

3.3 Reduced Treatment Adherence

Stigma does not merely affect the decision to seek care initially; it also shapes ongoing engagement with treatment. Sirey et al. (2001) found that perceived stigma significantly predicted treatment discontinuation among older adults receiving care for depression. The mechanisms underlying this association are varied: individuals may avoid psychiatric facilities to prevent being identified as a mental health patient; they may conceal medication use; or they may disengage from therapeutic processes to avoid the social visibility that treatment entails (Corrigan & Watson, 2007).

Non-adherence has direct clinical consequences, including suboptimal treatment outcomes, increased relapse rates, and impeded recovery trajectories. Moreover, stigma may undermine self-efficacy — the individual's belief in their capacity to manage their condition — thereby reducing the active engagement that effective treatment typically requires.

3.4 Perpetuation of Misinformation and Harmful Stereotypes

At the societal level, stigmatising attitudes perpetuate cycles of misinformation that hinder public understanding of mental illness and influence policy environments. Stereotypes of dangerousness, unpredictability, and moral culpability — though empirically unfounded — persist in public consciousness and media representation, shaping both public attitudes and the behaviour of individuals with mental illness (Corrigan & Watson, 2007).

These dynamics extend beyond individual harm: sustained public misinformation about mental illness impedes the development of adequate policy responses, constrains advocacy efforts, and contributes to chronic underfunding of mental health services. The political economy of mental health funding is thus not insulated from stigma but is rather partly constituted by it.

3.5 Gender and Stigma: Differential Experiences

The relationship between gender and mental health stigma is complex, culturally contingent, and empirically contested. Research reveals that the stigma experience is not uniform across gender categories but is shaped by the intersection of gender with prevailing cultural norms and social expectations.

In some cultural contexts, women bear a disproportionate burden of mental health stigma. A study by Al-Krenawi et al. (2006) conducted in a Bedouin-Arab community found that women reported significantly higher levels of stigma associated with mental illness relative to their male counterparts — a disparity attributed to traditional gender hierarchies that conflate mental illness with vulnerability and thereby compound existing gender-based disadvantage. Women in such settings may face dual stigmatisation: first as women in a society that constrains their autonomy, and second as individuals with mental illness — a combination that substantially elevates barriers to disclosure and care-seeking.

Conversely, Ayalon and Areán (2004) found that among older adults in an Arab cultural context, men reported higher levels of perceived stigma. This finding is explicable by reference to cultural masculinity norms: in societies that valorise male strength, stoicism, and emotional control, a mental health condition — frequently mischaracterised as emotional weakness — may be experienced as particularly incompatible with masculine identity. The economic dimension is salient here: the potential impact of mental illness on occupational capacity is especially threatening to men in cultural contexts where male breadwinning remains a central component of social identity.

These findings underscore that gender is not a simple moderating variable but an axis of social differentiation whose interaction with cultural context produces highly varied stigma experiences. Effective anti-stigma strategies must therefore attend closely to gender dynamics and avoid universalising assumptions.

4 Ethnic and Cultural Variations in Mental Health Stigma

One of the most substantive insights generated by cross-cultural research on mental health stigma is that its expression, intensity, and social meaning vary considerably across cultural settings. Stigma is not a culturally neutral phenomenon but is rather mediated by the specific explanatory models, normative frameworks, and social structures operative in a given community (Kirmayer & Pedersen, 2014). The following subsections examine patterns of stigma across five broad cultural regions.

4.1 Asian Cultures

Across many Asian societies, mental illness is frequently framed as a manifestation of personal weakness or a failure of self-discipline and emotional regulation. This framing is reinforced by the culturally significant concept of 'face' — the maintenance of social reputation and honour — which renders mental illness particularly stigmatising insofar as it is perceived to dishonour not only the individual but the family as a collective social unit (Chen & Mak, 2008).

In South Korea, the intense cultural emphasis on academic and professional achievement has been associated

with stigmatising attitudes towards mental illness that actively discourage help-seeking, as disclosure of mental health difficulties may be perceived as jeopardising social standing and economic prospects (Jang et al., 2009). Similar dynamics have been observed in Chinese contexts, where mental illness is frequently attributed to interpersonal and familial factors — including family conflict — generating shame and blame that may prevent affected individuals from accessing care (Yang & Kleinman, 2008).

4.2 African Cultures

In several African cultural contexts, mental illness is commonly attributed to supernatural causation — including spiritual possession, divine punishment, or the effects of malevolent actors — rather than to biomedical processes. This explanatory framework, documented by Gureje et al. (2005) and Girma et al. (2013) in Ethiopian settings, carries significant implications for stigma: individuals and families may experience mental illness as a form of spiritual affliction requiring religious or traditional intervention rather than psychiatric care, resulting in avoidance of formal mental health services and sustained exposure to untreated illness.

The intersection of supernatural belief and stigma is particularly complex in African contexts because these explanatory models are not merely individual beliefs but are embedded in community and cultural practices that may be more immediately accessible and socially legitimate than biomedical alternatives. Effective engagement with stigma in these settings requires a respectful and collaborative approach to community belief systems rather than a wholesale rejection of them.

4.3 Arab Cultures

In many Arab societies, mental illness has historically been interpreted through a religious lens, sometimes as a form of divine punishment or as a manifestation of spiritual inadequacy. This religious framing can intensify stigma by introducing moral judgement into the experience of mental illness and by directing affected individuals towards spiritual or religious remedies in lieu of psychiatric care (Karam et al., 2008).

Research in this context also reveals the importance of collectivism as a cultural variable: in societies where family honour and social cohesion are paramount, a family member's mental illness may be experienced as a source of collective shame that the family manages through concealment rather than treatment-seeking. This dynamic reinforces the internalised stigma of the affected individual and reduces the likelihood of disclosure.

4.4 Latin American Cultures

Across Latin American cultural contexts, mental illness has been associated with attributions of personal weakness, insufficient willpower, and moral failure — framings that generate stigma by locating the cause of mental illness within the character of the affected individual rather than in biological or social circumstances (Alegría et al., 2002). Such attributions are compounded by cultural values of familism and social harmony, which may create pressure to manage mental health difficulties within the family unit and discourage engagement with formal psychiatric services.

4.5 Western Cultures

In Western societies, mental illness is generally more widely recognised as a medical rather than a moral or spiritual phenomenon, and public awareness campaigns have contributed to incremental improvements in attitudes over recent decades. Nevertheless, stigma persists in significant measure, often manifesting through stereotypes of dangerousness and unpredictability that continue to generate social exclusion and discrimination (Corrigan & Watson, 2007).

Western contexts are also characterised by structural forms of stigma embedded in healthcare, insurance, and legal systems that disadvantage individuals with mental illness in ways that may be less visible but no less consequential than interpersonal stigma. The persistence of stigma in societies with highly developed mental

health advocacy infrastructure serves as a reminder that awareness alone is insufficient for stigma elimination.

5. Culture-Bound Syndromes and Their Implications for Stigma

Culture-bound syndromes — constellations of psychiatric and somatic symptoms that are recognised and given meaning within specific cultural communities — represent an important and frequently underappreciated dimension of cross-cultural mental health stigma. When clinicians lack awareness of these culturally specific presentations, misdiagnosis is probable, and misdiagnosis can itself intensify stigma by imposing inappropriate diagnostic categories onto individuals whose experiences are better understood within their own cultural frameworks.

Among Latino communities, *Ataque de Nervios* — characterised by episodes of uncontrollable crying, trembling, screaming, and occasionally aggression, typically precipitated by acutely stressful life events — represents a culturally recognised stress response that does not map neatly onto standard psychiatric diagnostic categories (Alegria et al., 2002). In Chinese immigrant populations, *Qigong Psychotic Reaction* — a dissociative state arising from excessive practice of *Qigong* — constitutes a culture-specific presentation whose misattribution to psychosis could generate unwarranted stigma and inappropriate treatment (Hughes & Wintrob, 1995).

Research by Kirmayer (2012) on *Taijin Kyofusho* — a Japanese syndrome characterised by intense preoccupation with the ways in which one's body or behaviour may be displeasing or offensive to others — demonstrates how misunderstanding culturally specific presentations can lead to diagnostic errors that reinforce stigma. A study by Hughes and Wintrob (1995) found that clinical awareness of culture-bound syndromes significantly improved therapeutic relationships and diagnostic accuracy, underscoring the practical value of cultural knowledge in clinical settings.

The implications extend beyond individual clinical encounters. Cultural competence in relation to culture-bound syndromes shapes institutional practices, training curricula, and policy frameworks. A systematic review by Truong et al. (2014) demonstrated positive effects of cultural competence on healthcare outcomes across diverse populations, including Native American communities managing *Ghost Sickness* — a condition characterised by anxiety, weakness, and a sense of supernatural threat. These findings suggest that culturally informed practice is not merely ethically desirable but clinically efficacious.

6. Evidence-Based Strategies for Reducing Mental Health Stigma

The literature identifies several categories of intervention with demonstrated potential for reducing mental health stigma at individual, communal, and societal levels. The following subsections review the evidence base for each.

6.1 Public Awareness and Education Campaigns

Population-level awareness campaigns targeting misconceptions about mental illness have shown promise in shifting public attitudes. Pinfold et al. (2003) demonstrated that educational interventions combining informational content with direct social contact between members of the public and individuals with lived experience of mental illness produced significant reductions in fear and social avoidance among secondary school students in the United Kingdom. Critically, contact-based components — in which individuals with mental illness shared personal narratives — proved more effective than information alone, suggesting that humanising portrayals of mental illness are essential to anti-stigma impact.

A meta-analysis by Corrigan et al. (2016) affirmed the effectiveness of both contact-based and education-based interventions across diverse cultural settings, while also highlighting the importance of tailoring campaign content to the specific cultural context in which it is deployed. Campaigns designed without cultural sensitivity risk reinforcing existing stigma by employing framings that are incongruent with community belief systems.

6.2 Cultural Competency Training for Healthcare Providers

Healthcare providers are not immune to stigmatising attitudes, and there is evidence that provider-side stigma can constitute an independent barrier to equitable mental health care (Thoits, 2011). Kirmayer (2012) demonstrated that cultural competency training — which equips clinicians with the knowledge to understand cultural influences on health behaviour and to adapt communication accordingly — improved patient-provider relationships and reduced perceived stigma in clinical encounters.

A programme in Australia providing cultural competency training to healthcare providers working with Indigenous Australians reported significant improvements in providers' understanding of Indigenous health beliefs and practices, resulting in increased patient trust and more effective therapeutic engagement. These findings suggest that investing in provider-side cultural education yields tangible clinical dividends and may be a particularly cost-effective mechanism for reducing stigma at the point of care.

6.3 Peer Support Programmes

Peer support programmes — in which individuals with lived experience of mental illness provide support, advocacy, and mentoring to others in similar circumstances — constitute a promising mechanism for reducing internalised stigma and fostering recovery. Pitt et al. (2013) found that consumer-providers (individuals who had personal experience of mental health conditions and were now providing support to others) significantly reduced self-stigma among service users, while also improving self-esteem and sense of agency.

The theoretical basis for peer support's anti-stigma effect lies partly in social comparison processes and partly in narrative normalisation: when individuals encounter others who have navigated mental health challenges and maintained meaningful lives, the perceived incompatibility between mental illness and full social participation is challenged. Peer support also amplifies service user voices within mental health systems, contributing to more person-centred and culturally responsive care.

6.4 Community-Integrated Mental Health Services

Integrating mental health provision into primary care and community settings — rather than concentrating it in specialist psychiatric facilities — has demonstrated potential for reducing structural stigma and increasing service accessibility. Thornicroft et al. (2015) found that community-based models improved both mental health outcomes and the experience of stigma, with service users reporting reduced discrimination associated with engagement in care.

The MANAS project in India represents a notable example: by embedding mental health support within primary health centres and community settings, the programme made mental health care routine rather than exceptional, thereby reducing the social visibility — and associated stigma — of help-seeking. Increased utilisation of mental health services was reported, suggesting that structural normalisation of care access can produce meaningful population-level impact.

6.5 Intersectoral and Policy-Level Approaches

While the interventions reviewed above operate primarily at community, clinical, and interpersonal levels, addressing mental health stigma comprehensively requires engagement with structural and policy-level determinants. Anti-discrimination legislation, mental health parity provisions in health insurance frameworks, and accountability mechanisms within healthcare systems constitute essential complements to awareness and education efforts. Without structural reform, interpersonal and attitudinal change may be insufficient to dismantle the institutionalised stigma that shapes the material conditions of individuals with mental illness.

7. Research Questions and Objectives

7.1 Research Questions

RQ1: In what ways does mental health stigma manifest differently across cultural settings, and what specific

cultural factors — including belief systems, values, and social norms — account for these variations?

RQ2: How does stigma intersect with demographic variables including gender, race, and socio-economic status in producing differentiated stigma experiences across cultural contexts?

RQ3: To what extent do existing stigma measurement instruments (ISMI scale; PDD scale) adequately capture the spectrum of stigma experiences across culturally diverse populations?

RQ4: In what ways do culture-bound syndromes contribute to the processes of misdiagnosis and the perpetuation of mental health stigma in clinical settings?

RQ5: Which categories of intervention demonstrate greatest effectiveness in reducing mental health stigma at individual, family, provider, and societal levels, and under what cultural conditions?

7.2 Research Objectives

1. To critically evaluate existing instruments employed to measure mental health stigma and assess their applicability across diverse cultural contexts.
2. To examine the individual and societal consequences of stigma on treatment-seeking behaviour, adherence to care, and quality of life across cultures.
3. To analyse how cultural, religious, and gender-related factors shape stigma in specific cultural groups and inform culturally appropriate responses.
4. To assess the role of healthcare providers in either sustaining or mitigating mental health stigma through their practices, attitudes, and institutional positioning.
5. To identify and critically evaluate evidence-based strategies for reducing mental health stigma, including public awareness campaigns, peer support programmes, cultural competency training, and community-integrated care.

8. CRITICAL GAPS IN THE LITERATURE

Despite the breadth of scholarship reviewed above, the existing literature on mental health stigma across cultures is characterised by several substantive gaps that constrain its explanatory power and limit its practical utility for intervention design. Seven such gaps warrant particular attention.

1. Absence of Longitudinal Research Designs

The predominance of cross-sectional research designs in the stigma literature means that remarkably little is known about how stigma evolves over time within and across cultural contexts. Whether stigma levels are declining in response to awareness campaigns, shifting in their cultural expression, or remaining stubbornly resistant to change cannot be adequately determined from the existing evidence base. Longitudinal studies tracking cohorts over meaningful time periods are essential for understanding stigma as a dynamic social phenomenon rather than a static attribute.

2. Underrepresentation of South Asian, Indigenous, and Eastern European Contexts

The cultural coverage of the existing literature, while geographically diverse, is uneven in notable ways. South Asian contexts — including Pakistan, Bangladesh, and much of India beyond the MANAS project — are substantially underrepresented, despite hosting over a billion people and presenting distinct configurations of stigma shaped by unique religious, familial, and caste-based social structures. Similarly, Indigenous communities globally and Eastern European cultural settings remain marginalised in the literature, leaving significant gaps in cross-cultural generalisability.

3. Limited Intersectional Analysis

While gender and ethnicity are addressed, the existing literature does not adequately theorise or empirically examine how multiple marginalised identities — race, gender, socio-economic status, disability, sexuality —

interact simultaneously to produce compounded stigma experiences. The intersectional framework developed by Crenshaw (1989) and elaborated in subsequent scholarship offers essential conceptual tools for this analysis, yet its systematic empirical application to mental health stigma remains underdeveloped.

4. Insufficient Evaluation of Intervention Effectiveness Across Cultural Contexts

The evidence base for stigma reduction interventions, while growing, remains limited in its cross-cultural breadth and methodological rigour. Many studies supporting specific interventions draw on geographically narrow samples, making it difficult to determine which approaches are robust across cultural settings and which require substantial adaptation. Systematic comparative evaluations of interventions across multiple cultural contexts are critically needed.

5. The Digital and Social Media Dimension Is Largely Absent

The review literature predates or neglects the transformative impact of social media and digital communication on mental health perceptions and stigma dynamics. Online platforms simultaneously serve as vectors for stigmatising content and as spaces for mental health advocacy, community-building, and destigmatisation. Given the documented influence of digital media on public attitudes, health behaviour, and mental health self-disclosure, this constitutes a significant contemporary gap.

6. Provider-Side Stigma Remains Underdeveloped as a Research Domain

While clinical stigma is briefly acknowledged in the literature, the systematic examination of how stigmatising attitudes among healthcare providers — including psychiatrists, general practitioners, nurses, and administrative staff — shape clinical decision-making, therapeutic relationships, and treatment outcomes remains insufficiently developed. Healthcare system-level stigma, including institutional policies and practices that disadvantage individuals with mental illness, merits dedicated research attention.

7. The Absence of Service User and First-Person Perspectives

The literature is predominantly researcher- and clinician-generated, leaving a notable vacuum in relation to first-person accounts of stigma from individuals with lived experience of mental illness across diverse cultural contexts. Qualitative research methodologies — including narrative inquiry, phenomenological approaches, and participatory methods — are essential for surfacing the subjective, experiential dimensions of stigma that quantitative instruments may inadequately capture.

8. Discussion

The foregoing review yields several overarching observations that have implications for both research and practice. First, the evidence overwhelmingly supports the position that mental health stigma is not a monolithic phenomenon but a culturally situated social construction whose form and intensity are shaped by the specific belief systems, normative frameworks, and social structures operative within a given community. This cultural specificity demands that both research and intervention be responsive to context rather than universalising in their assumptions.

Second, the review highlights that stigma operates across multiple levels of social organisation simultaneously — from the internal world of the individual who internalises degrading stereotypes, through the interpersonal dynamics of families and peer networks, to the institutional practices of healthcare systems and the macro-level processes of policy and legislation. Effective anti-stigma strategies must therefore be multi-level in design, addressing structural determinants as well as attitudinal and individual-level factors.

Third, the intersection of stigma with gender emerges as a particularly complex and culturally contingent dynamic. The finding that the relationship between gender and stigma varies in direction across cultural contexts — with women bearing greater stigma burden in some settings and men in others — underscores the inadequacy of universalising gender assumptions in anti-stigma programming. Context-sensitive gender

analysis is indispensable.

Fourth, the literature on culture-bound syndromes reveals the clinical risks attendant upon cultural ignorance. Misdiagnosis arising from cultural incompetence does not merely constitute a technical clinical error; it represents a potential source of iatrogenic stigma that compounds the burden already borne by marginalised individuals. Embedding cultural competence in clinical education and professional development is both an ethical and a clinical imperative.

Finally, the review's identification of seven substantive literature gaps points to a clear agenda for future research: longitudinal tracking of stigma dynamics; geographic expansion into underrepresented cultural settings; rigorous intersectional analysis; systematic comparative evaluation of interventions; examination of digital media as a contemporary stigma vector; dedicated attention to provider-side stigma; and greater integration of service user perspectives.

9. Conclusion

Mental health stigma remains one of the most formidable impediments to equitable and effective psychiatric care globally. Its roots are deep, its manifestations are culturally diverse, and its consequences — for individuals, families, healthcare systems, and societies — are profound. This review has sought to map the landscape of existing knowledge on cross-cultural mental health stigma, from the instruments used to measure it and the mechanisms through which it causes harm, to the cultural variations that shape its expression and the strategies that offer the most credible pathways toward its reduction.

The evidence reviewed here affirms that stigma reduction is neither a simple nor a uniform task. Progress requires simultaneous attention to individual psychology and social structure; to cultural belief systems and institutional practices; to the experiences of those stigmatised and the attitudes of those who stigmatise. It requires the courage to confront structural injustice as well as the patience to build cultural understanding. And it requires sustained, rigorous research that is responsive to the diversity of human experience across the world's cultures.

The University of Lahore and its Department of Sociology are positioned to contribute meaningfully to this agenda — particularly through research on South Asian contexts, gender dynamics, and the experiences of communities whose voices remain underrepresented in the global literature. Future work should prioritise longitudinal, intersectional, and participatory approaches that place lived experience at the centre of the inquiry.

References

- Al-Krenawi, A., Graham, J. R., Dean, Y. Z., & Eltaiba, N. (2006). Cross-national study of attitudes towards seeking professional help: Jordan, United Arab Emirates (UAE) and Arabs in Israel. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 52(2), 177–190.
- Alegría, M., Canino, G., Shrout, P. E., Woo, M., Duan, N., Vila, D., Torres, M., Chen, C. N., & Meng, X. L. (2002). Prevalence of mental disorder in immigrant and non-immigrant U.S. Latino groups. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 165(3), 359–369.
- Angermeyer, M. C., & Matschinger, H. (2005). Causal beliefs and attitudes towards people with schizophrenia: Trend analysis based on data from two population surveys in Germany. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 186(4), 331–334.
- Ayalon, L., & Areán, P. A. (2004). Knowledge of Alzheimer's disease in four ethnic groups of older adults. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 19(1), 51–57.
- Brohan, E., & Thornicroft, G. (2010). Stigma and discrimination of mental health problems: Physical health consequences. *Physical Health and Schizophrenia*, 1(1), 1–8.
- Chen, S. X., & Mak, W. W. S. (2008). Seeking professional help: Etiology beliefs about mental illness across cultures. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 55(4), 442–450.
- Clement, S., Schauman, O., Graham, T., Maggioni, F., Evans-Lacko, S., Bezborodovs, N., Morgan, C., Rüsch, N.,

- Brown, J. S. L., & Thornicroft, G. (2015). What is the impact of mental health-related stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. *Psychological Medicine*, 45(1), 11–27.
- Corrigan, P. W. (2016). Resolving mental illness stigma: Should we seek normalcy or solidarity? *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 208(4), 314–315.
 - Corrigan, P. W., & Watson, A. C. (2007). The stigma of psychiatric disorders and the gender, ethnicity, and education of the perceiver. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 43(5), 439–458.
 - Corrigan, P. W., Druss, B. G., & Perlick, D. A. (2016). The impact of mental illness stigma on seeking and participating in mental health care. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 15(2), 37–70.
 - Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
 - Girma, E., Tesfaye, M., Froeschl, G., Möller-Leimkühler, A. M., Müller, N., & Dehning, S. (2013). Facility based cross-sectional study of self stigma among people with mental illness: Towards patient empowerment approach. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 7(1), 21.
 - Gureje, O., Lasebikan, V. O., Ephraim-Oluwanuga, O., Olley, B. O., & Kola, L. (2005). Community study of knowledge of and attitude to mental illness in Nigeria. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 186(5), 436–441.
 - Hughes, C. C., & Wintrob, R. M. (1995). Culture-bound syndromes and the cultural context of clinical psychiatry. *Review of Psychiatry*, 14(1), 565–597.
 - Jang, Y., Kim, G., & Chiriboga, D. A. (2009). Knowledge of Alzheimer's disease, feelings of shame related to dementia, and sensitivity to stigma among Korean American older adults. *Dementia*, 8(3), 395–405.
 - Karam, E. G., Mneimneh, Z. N., Dimassi, H., Fayyad, J. A., Karam, A. N., Nasser, S. C., Chatterji, S., & Kessler, R. C. (2008). Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in Lebanon: First onset, treatment, and exposure to war. *PLOS Medicine*, 5(4), e61.
 - Kirmayer, L. J. (2012). Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health: Epistemic communities and the politics of pluralism. *Social Science & Medicine*, 75(2), 249–256.
 - Kirmayer, L. J., & Pedersen, D. (2014). Toward a new architecture for global mental health. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 51(6), 759–776.
 - Link, B. G. (1987). Understanding labeling effects in the area of mental disorders: An assessment of the effects of expectations of rejection. *American Sociological Review*, 52(1), 96–112.
 - Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (2001). Conceptualizing stigma. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27(1), 363–385.
 - Pinfold, V., Toulmin, H., Thornicroft, G., Huxley, P., Farmer, P., & Graham, T. (2003). Reducing psychiatric stigma and discrimination: Evaluation of educational interventions in UK secondary schools. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 182(4), 342–346.
 - Pitt, V., Lowe, D., Hill, S., Prictor, M., Hetrick, S. E., Ryan, R., & Berends, L. (2013). Consumer providers of care for adult clients of statutory mental health services. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2013(3), CD004807.
 - Ritsher, J. B., Otilingam, P. G., & Grajales, M. (2003). Internalized stigma of mental illness: Psychometric properties of a new measure. *Psychiatry Research*, 121(1), 31–49.
 - Sirey, J. A., Bruce, M. L., Alexopoulos, G. S., Perlick, D. A., Raue, P., Friedman, S. J., & Meyers, B. S. (2001). Perceived stigma as a predictor of treatment discontinuation in young and older outpatients with depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(3), 479–481.
 - Thoits, P. A. (2011). Mechanisms linking social ties and support to physical and mental health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 52(2), 145–161.
 - Thornicroft, G., Mehta, N., Clement, S., Evans-Lacko, S., Doherty, M., Rose, D., Koschorke, M., Shidhaye, R., O'Reilly, C., & Henderson, C. (2016). Evidence for effective interventions to reduce mental-health-related stigma and discrimination. *The Lancet*, 387(10023), 1123–1132.
 - Truong, M., Paradies, Y., & Priest, N. (2014). Interventions to improve cultural competency in healthcare: A systematic review of reviews. *BMC Health Services Research*, 14(1), 99.
 - Yang, L. H., & Kleinman, A. (2008). 'Face' and the embodiment of stigma in China: The cases of schizophrenia and AIDS. *Social Science & Medicine*, 67(3), 398–408.