

---

**The Strategic Location of Loralai: British Colonial Interests and Pashtoon Resistance in the Frontier Politics of Balochistan**

**Habib U Rehman<sup>1</sup>, Abdul Ghafoor<sup>2</sup>, Muzamil Shah<sup>3</sup>, Ismail Shah<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1,3,4</sup> Student in the department of Political Science, University of Loralai.

<sup>2</sup> Lecturer in the department of Political Science, University of Loralai

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v4i2.2386>

**Abstract**

This study examines the strategic importance of Loralai during the British colonial period and the socio-political resistance of Pashtoon tribes. Loralai's location as a frontier gateway between India and Afghanistan made it central to British military and economic policies. Colonial authorities imposed strict laws, built forts, and controlled trade routes, disrupting traditional governance systems such as Pashtoonwali and the Jirga. These policies were perceived as unjust and provoked strong local responses. Pashtoon resistance emerged in two forms: armed struggle, led by tribal leaders using guerrilla tactics, and non-cooperation, including refusal to pay taxes and boycotting colonial institutions. Using a qualitative methodology and secondary sources, the study highlights how geography shaped colonial interests and how unmet human needs fueled organized resistance. The findings contribute to historical discourse by offering a focused analysis of Loralai, a region often overlooked in broader studies of British Balochistan.

**Keywords:** Loralai, strategic location, British colonial policies, Great Game, Treaty of Gandamak, Pashtoonwali, Jirga system, Frontier Crimes Regulation, Kakar tribe, Nasar tribe, trade disruption.

**Introduction**

Loralai, located in northeastern Balochistan, became a key region during the British colonial period because of its strategic position. It served as a gateway between India and Afghanistan through important mountain passes such as Bolan, Khojak, and Gomal. The British feared that Russia, advancing through Central Asia, might use these routes to enter India. To prevent this, they sought control over frontier areas like Loralai, Zhob, and Waziristan, making the region a focal point of colonial expansion during the Great Game.

Through the Treaty of Gandamak in 1879, the British formally gained authority over Loralai and surrounding tribal areas. This marked the beginning of deep colonial interference in local governance, economy, and culture. Traditional systems such as Pashtoonwali and the Jirga were weakened, while harsh laws like the Frontier Crimes Regulation treated entire tribes as collective criminals. Economically, the disruption of trade routes linking Kandahar with Sindh and India hurt the tribal economy. Socially, little investment was made in education, health, or infrastructure, while reform movements such as Anjuman-e-Watan were suppressed. These policies created resentment among the Pashtoon tribes of Loralai, especially the Kakar and Nasar. In response, the Pashtoons organized guerrilla resistance. Using their mountainous terrain and decentralized tribal networks, leaders such as Sawanh Musakhel and Ghazi Arsala Khan carried out uprisings that challenged British authority. For the British, Loralai was a frontier shield; for

the Pashtoons, it was their homeland under siege. Thus, Loralai's **strategic location** not only attracted British colonial interest but also became the foundation of strong Pashtoon resistance, shaping the political and social history of the region.

### **Background of the Study**

Loralai, a district in northeastern Balochistan, became significant during the British colonial era because of its closeness to the Bolan, Khojak, and Gomal passes that linked India with Afghanistan. These routes were considered vulnerable during the Great Game, as they could allow Russian entry into India. To secure the frontier, the British extended control over Loralai, Zhob, and Waziristan, formally gaining authority through the Treaty of Gandamak in 1879. This brought the region under direct colonial rule, weakening the traditional governance of Pashtoon tribes such as the Kakar and Nasar. Systems based on Pashtoonwali and the Jirga were undermined, while harsh laws like the Frontier Crimes Regulation treated entire tribes as criminal units.

Colonial policies also disrupted trade between Kandahar, Sindh, and India, damaging the tribal economy. Military posts and bureaucratic restrictions reduced caravan movement, while little effort was made to improve education, health, or infrastructure. Reform movements such as Anjuman-e-Watan were suppressed, deepening resentment among the Pashtoons. Viewing these measures as attacks on their independence, religion, and honor, the tribes organized resistance. Leaders like Sawanh Musakhel and Ghazi Arsala Khan used the mountainous terrain and tribal networks to wage guerrilla uprisings, challenging British authority and defending local autonomy.

### **Problem Statement**

Existing literature on British colonial interests and Pashtoon resistance in Loralai is scattered and mostly focuses on larger regions like British Balochistan and the North West Frontier Province. Loralai's unique strategic role is often mentioned only briefly, without detailed analysis. As a result, its importance in shaping colonial policies and local resistance has not been fully studied. This research aims to fill that gap by providing a focused and integrated examination of Loralai's strategic location, British interests, and Pashtoon resistance.

### **Significance of the Study**

This study is important because it brings together scattered historical writings to create an integrated understanding of Loralai's role in colonial history. Unlike most research that focuses on larger regions such as British Balochistan and the North West Frontier Province, it zooms in on Loralai as a smaller but strategically vital area. By doing so, it highlights the hidden and forgotten heroes of Loralai who resisted colonial policies and sacrificed for their independence, religion, and honor, while also testing and improving existing theoretical frameworks.

### **Research Questions**

1. How did Loralai's strategic location shape British colonial policies and interests in the region?
2. In what ways did Pashtoon tribes resist colonial authority through armed struggle and non-cooperation?

### **Limitation of the Study**

This study relies mainly on secondary sources such as books, gazetteers, autobiographies, and research articles, which provide scattered and sometimes incomplete information about Loralai. Since most existing literature focuses on larger regions like British Balochistan and the North West Frontier Province, detailed records specific to Loralai are limited. As a result, the analysis may not capture the full depth of local experiences, and some aspects of Pashtoon resistance remain under documented.

## Review of Literature

The available literature on Loralai's strategic importance and Pashtoon resistance during the British colonial era is limited and scattered. The Loralai District Gazetteer (2007) provides basic information about the district's geography, tribes, and socio-political life under colonial rule, including the laws imposed by the British. Sir Olaf Caroe's book *The Pathan* (1953) is another key source, explaining how British interference disrupted Pashtoon traditions and governance, and highlighting causes of resistance (Caroe, 1953).

Abdul Samad Khan Achakzai's autobiography *My Life and Times* (1968) offers a Pashtoon nationalist perspective, documenting injustices faced by local communities and their political struggles against colonial policies (Achakzai, 1968). Similarly, Naseer Dashti's *The Baloch and Balochistan* (2012) discusses British interests in Balochistan, including their military strategies and methods of controlling frontier regions like Loralai (Dashti, 2012).

Local perspectives are preserved in Syed Khair Muhammad Arif's *Zmogh Ghazian Aw Shaheedan* (2000), which records the sacrifices of Pashtoon heroes who resisted colonial authority (Arif, 2000). More recent academic works, such as Syed Fakhruddin Shah (2013), Noor Hamid Khan, Mian Saeed Ahmad, and Wasai (2021), and Amanullah Khan (2020), analyze colonial policies, strict laws, and the socio-political resistance of Pashtoon tribes in frontier regions. Together, these sources provide insights into British colonial interests and Pashtoon resistance, but they remain fragmented and often focus on larger administrative units rather than Loralai itself.

## Gap in the Literature

Most existing studies emphasize broader regions such as British Balochistan and the North West Frontier Province, leaving Loralai's specific role underexplored. The strategic importance of Loralai in shaping colonial interests and provoking local resistance has not been studied in detail. Available data is dispersed across books, autobiographies, and articles, with no single source offering a comprehensive analysis of Loralai's unique position. This study seeks to fill that gap by providing a focused examination of Loralai's strategic location, British colonial policies, and Pashtoon resistance.

## Main Argument

Loralai's strategic location as a frontier gateway between India and Afghanistan made it central to British colonial expansion, but this same geography also fueled strong Pashtoon resistance to protect their independence, culture, and honor.

## Theoretical Framework

This study is based on the idea that geography influences politics and power. The strategic location of Loralai acted as the main factor that attracted British colonial interests and led to Pashtoon resistance. The British wanted control over frontier routes for military and trade purposes, while the Pashtoons resisted to protect their independence and cultural values. The researcher applies Human Needs Theory, which argues that conflicts arise when basic human needs such as security, identity, recognition, and autonomy are denied. In the case of Loralai, British colonial policies disrupted traditional systems like Pashtoonwali and the Jirga system, restricted trade routes, and imposed harsh laws such as the Frontier Crimes Regulation. These actions directly threatened the Pashtoons' cultural identity, independence, and livelihood. Resistance in Loralai can therefore be understood as a socio-political response to unmet needs rather than simply opposition to foreign rule.

Additionally, the study draws on Colonial Frontier Theory, which explains how frontier regions became contested zones between empires and local communities. Loralai's strategic location made it a focal point of British expansion, but also a stronghold of Pashtoon resistance. Together,

these theories provide a framework to analyze how geography and unmet human needs shaped both colonial interests and tribal resistance in Loralai.

### **Research Methodology**

This study uses a qualitative research approach to explore the strategic importance of Loralai, British colonial interests, and Pashtoon resistance. Qualitative methods are suitable because they allow for in-depth analysis of historical and political developments within their specific geographical and cultural context. The research relies on secondary sources such as books, gazetteers, autobiographies, and academic articles to build a focused understanding of Loralai's role during the colonial period.

### **Data Analysis**

#### **Loralai's strategic location shape British colonial policies and interests in the region**

Analysis shows that Loralai's position near key passes such as Bolan, Khojak, and Gomal made it a frontier gateway between India and Afghanistan. This geography attracted British attention during the Great Game, as they feared Russian influence through these routes. As a result, colonial policies focused on fort-building, military posts, and strict administrative control. Trade routes were restricted, caravans monitored, and laws like the Frontier Crimes Regulation imposed collective punishments. These policies reflected the British aim to secure the frontier and expand their influence, showing how Loralai's location directly shaped colonial interests.

#### **Pashtoon tribes resist colonial authority through armed struggle and non-cooperation**

Pashtoon tribes in Loralai responded with both armed and non-cooperation strategies. Armed resistance included guerrilla warfare led by figures such as Sawanh Musakhel and Ghazi Arsala Khan, and Sher jan, who used the mountainous terrain to challenge British forces. Non-cooperation took the form of refusing taxes, boycotting colonial institutions, and maintaining tribal autonomy through Jirga councils. These actions were rooted in Pashtoonwali, emphasizing honor, independence, and protection of community values. Resistance was therefore not random but organized, reflecting a socio-political response to colonial injustice. The analysis confirms that Loralai's strategic location was the key factor behind British colonial interest. Policies designed to secure the frontier disrupted local governance and economy, provoking strong Pashtoon resistance. Armed struggle and non-cooperation both emerged as deliberate strategies to defend identity, autonomy, and honor.

### **Conclusion**

The study finds that Loralai's strategic location was central to shaping British colonial interests, serving as a frontier gateway for military and trade control. Colonial policies such as fort-building, taxation, and the Frontier Crimes Regulation disrupted traditional Pashtoon governance and socio-political life. In response, Pashtoon tribes organized both armed resistance and non-cooperation, rooted in Pashtoonwali and tribal autonomy. The analysis confirms that geography and unmet human needs were the driving forces behind both colonial expansion and local resistance.

### **Recommendations**

- ❖ Future studies should move beyond broad units like British Balochistan and examine smaller regions such as Loralai to capture local dynamics.
- ❖ Collecting oral traditions and tribal narratives can enrich the historical record and highlight the sacrifices of local heroes.
- ❖ Academic work should incorporate indigenous viewpoints to balance colonial sources and provide a more human-centered understanding.

- ❖ Comparing Loralai with other frontier regions can deepen insights into how geography shaped colonial policies and tribal resistance.

## References

- Achakzai, A. S. K. (1968). *My Life and Times*. Quetta: Pashtoon Nationalist Publications.
- Ahmed, A. (1980). *Millennium and Charisma among Pathans: A Critical Essay in Social Anthropology*. London: Routledge.
- Ahmed, M. S. (2019). Tribal autonomy and colonial encroachment in Balochistan. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 27(3), 211–229.
- Arif, S. K. M. (2000). *Zmoz Ghazian Aw Shaheedan*. Quetta: Local Pashtoon Press.
- Carless, D. (1929). *Frontier Administration in British India*. London: Cambridge University Press.
- Caroe, O. (1953). *The Pathan*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Dashti, N. (2012). *The Baloch and Balochistan: A Historical Account from the Beginning to the Fall of the Baloch State*. Karachi: Trafford Publishing.
- Fakhruddin Shah, S. (2013). Pashtoon political resistance in colonial Balochistan. *Journal of Historical Studies*, 5(2), 45–62.
- Gopal, R. (2017). Frontier policies and tribal resistance in South Asia. *Asian Historical Review*, 11(2), 88–104.
- Government of Pakistan. (2007). *Loralai District Gazetteer*. District Gazetteer Series.
- Harrison, S. S. (1981). *In Afghanistan's Shadow: Baluch Nationalism and Soviet Temptations*. Washington, DC: Carnegie Endowment.
- Ijaz, A., Kyani, A., & Rashid, M. (2025). Assessing the role of external influence in shaping ethnic conflict in Balochistan. *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 64(1), 47–61.
- Jan, A. (2015). Colonial frontier administration in Balochistan. *Journal of South Asian Studies*, 30(1), 55–72.
- Khan, A. (2020). Frontier laws and tribal responses in Balochistan. *Pakistan Historical Review*, 12(3), 101–118.
- Khan, N. H., Ahmad, M. S., & Wasai. (2021). Colonial policies and Pashtoon resistance in frontier regions. *Asian Journal of Social Sciences*, 9(1), 77–95.
- Khan, S. (2016). Pashtoon socio-political structures and colonial disruption. *Journal of Asian Studies*, 75(4), 923–940.
- Nawaz, S. (2008). *Crossed Swords: Pakistan, Its Army, and the Wars Within*. Karachi: Oxford University Press.
- Nichols, R. (2013). *A History of Pashtoon Migration, 1775–2006*. Karachi: Oxford University Press.
- Shah, H. (2018). Pashtoon resistance movements in colonial frontier regions. *Pakistan Journal of History and Culture*, 39(2), 101–120.
- Spain, J. W. (1962). *The Pathan Borderland*. The Hague: Mouton.