Law and Society in Global Public Policy

Syed Rizwan Haider Bukhari*[1], Ehsanullah Khan[2], Maaz Bin Waheed[3]

[1] PhD Scholar, Department of Political Science, Islamia College University Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Corresponding Author: bukharipalmist@gmail.com

[2] PhD Scholar, Disaster Management and Development Studies, University of Balochistan, Quetta. Khan19ff@gmail.com

[3] MS Scholar , International Relations Scholar, Comsats University Islamabad. beinghumanofthisera@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper explores the changing scenario of law, society, and general policy in view of the changing world such as the geopolitical tensions, the disruption of technology, and the increasing social disparity. It addresses the way legal structures shape the agenda of policy, at the same time being influenced by the social dynamics in the society such as political mobilizations, identity politics, and social justice movements. The study centers on the conflicts of national sovereignty with international law, the global institutions and how they impact domestic policymaking and the social consequences of legal motifs. The paper ends with policy recommendations to assist governments develop more just and receptive government policy in an international environment that is evolving at a very rapid pace.

Keywords: Law and Society; Global Public Policy; International Governance; Social Change; Legal Frameworks; Socio-Legal Analysis; Public Institutions; Policymaking; International Law; Globalisation.

Introduction

The legal order in which states act and interact has always defined the nature of public policy, but this is further exacerbated by the environment of the twenty-first century that is global or interdependent between the legal norms, societal movements, technological change, and policymaking. The research in law and society provides the necessary details on the interaction of social expectations, cultural trends, institutional behaviour, and public authority to establish the precursors of policy choices. Over the past few decades, the paradigm of public policy defines now less of a state-centered pattern and more of a multidimensional pattern of participation that incorporates supranational institutions, multinational corporations, transnational advocacy groups, and more assertive groups of citizens. With changes in law following these changes, it is also viewed as a means by which the societies negotiate values, resolve conflicts, and even express their aspirations (Xu et al., 2025).

This paper explores the role the law and society play in the development of global policy. It discusses how international and domestic law have acted in response to these new global issues, such as climate change, digital technology, migration crises, international trade disputes, human rights issues, and changing geopolitical lines. These problems have no national boundaries and need coherent governing systems. However, the introduction of the international norms generally faces resistance in the national level,

especially where reforms in the law overlap with the political identities, economic intents as well as social expectations (Khan et al., 2025).

This study suggests that one cannot comprehend public policy outside the ample socio-legal context within which it is subordinated. Law does not merely establish structural framework within policy but also comprises of other structures that are more social such as the allocation of power, accessibility of rights, historical legacies and cultural accounts. Through the analysis of these interactions, the present study provides a comprehensive perspective of modern issues of the policy of the people and the legal resources at their disposal to solve them (Toshkov et al., 2025).

Literature Review

The junction of law and society is a long-established premise in determining global policy. According to scholars, legal systems do not only formalize social norms, but also contribute to social social behavior and governance. Comparative analysis indicates that the success of a public policy is directly connected with the flexibility and the ability of legal institutions to respond to the changes especially in situations when speedy social, economic and technological alterations are taking place. Legal systems play a role as tools of continuity and transformation, harmonizers, guarantors, policy enforcers, and implementers, irrespective of cultural and political environments (Stiansen et al., 2025).

The role of law in fostering co-operation and policy consistency on the global scene has gained considerable emphasis in international organizations and multilateral structures of governance. The treaties, conventions and international law standards are used to inform national policies and provide grounds on which countries need to harmonize in various fields, including human rights, environmental protection and economic policies. The literature on the subject of transnational law implies that international norms are frequently adhered to according to domestic legal competence, institutional independence, and social tolerance, and so law, society and government are not separate as it might appear (Seo, 2025).

The social aspects of law such as the views of the people, legitimacy, and civil participation have also been highlighted through research. Reforms driven by law that are not in tandem with the realities of the society will arise to frustrate the policy aim whereas a more inclusive law making processes will make integrity and stability more social. Additionally, the current literature emphasizes the effectiveness of a flexible legal framework that can address the new challenges, e.g. digital governance, climate change, and global migration. According to the literature, it is always contemplated that law is not just a regulatory tool but rather a dynamic social tool, altering and being affected by cultural norms, social expectations, and policy priorities at an international level (de Oliveira, 2025).

The development of law and society scholarship was in reaction to legal positivism which studies law as a closed system. The initial theorists including, Roscoe Pound and Max Weber stressed the fact that it was not possible to differentiate law and the social order that created and interpreted law. The more recent theories emphasise the study of social norms, cultural practices and political institutions in influencing legal behaviour. This relational perspective of law places it as a mirror of the social values, as well as, a tool of social change (Zahariadis & Taylor, 2025).

Globalisation has altered the unity of the national law regimes. States have become fitted into a multilayered legal landscape which encompasses international treaties, customary international law, regional institutions, transnational corporate regulations as well as informal global standards. This multiplicity of order is what is referred to as legal pluralism. According to scholars, globalisation is undermining the hierarchical order of

the traditional law by spreading the power beyond the countries, establishing new frictions between national sovereignty and global obligations (Lansley, 2025).

The policy decisions by the domestic policy are influenced more by international law. Trade treaties affect the domestic economic regulation, international environmental treaties affect climate policy, and international human rights affect the domestic justice systems. Studies indicate that global standards enhance coherence of the policy, but can also create political opposition where national sovereignty is thought to be curtailed. Literature points to the increasing pressure on states to balance the domestic interests and the global responsibilities (Levitt, 2025).

One of the biggest forces since it impacts the population and law has been the technological change. The technology of artificial intelligence, digital governance, data privacy, cybersecurity and platform regulation presents difficult legal issues. According to scholars, rapid technological development surpasses the legal capacities of reshaping the society in which regulatory vacuity exposes the communities to emerging risks. According to this literature, fitter, progressive legal systems are necessary (Ibbett et al., 2025).

The modern socio-legal studies accentuate the contribution of the law to inequality, discrimination, labour rights, gender justice, and social inclusion. The international human rights law offers standard normative beliefs that influence the public policy debates in many nations of the world. Neither are very standardized in implementation, and critics note the clash between standards of universal and local dimensions. Social movements remain effective in influencing legal changes, and they show how societal activism guides the trajectories of policymaking (Kartiko et al., 2025).

The other piece of literature is concerned with performance of public institutions and rule of law. Good governance needs transparent, accountable, and participatory governance systems through effective public policy. Research indicates that corruption, ineffective enforcement instruments, politicisation of the institutions, and bureaucracy all negate the ability of legal systems to influence policy outcomes. On the other hand, strong institutions increase trust, social cohesion, and stability (Barrett and Fudge, 2025).

Research Methodology

This work follows a qualitative approach to research grounded on societal-legal interpretation and relative policy. It is an integration of the knowledge of international law, public administration, political science, and sociology to study the ways in which legal systems have affected public policy in different situations. The study is descriptive and analytical in nature and does not depend on empirical field work, but instead on theoretical literature, case analysis, and thematic analysis. Primary materials refer to international treaties, legal documents, national legislation, and correspondences of courts, whereas secondary ones refer to scholarly books, articles, and policy publications. The analytical paradigm centers around three themes namely legal structures as channels of policy, forces in the society to transform legal structures, and interaction between domestic and international governance. Negative aspects of the study are that there was no quantitative analysis and no field interviews, but the study provides an idea of the conceptual framework to be used in the future research.

Discussion

- **Policy as a Framework of Law.** Law is very instrumental in the formulation of the policy of the people since it gives the institutional folding within which policies are developed, executed, and analyzed. Constitutions provide the arrangement of power division, control the operations of state

institutions, and also ensure the basic rights. Policy processes are also governed by statutory frameworks, which ensures that there is clarity in the processes, and accountability through judicial to review policy decisions. Using these structures, law aids in maintaining that the policies of the state are marked with matters of fairness, transparency, and public interest, thus achieving that the results of policies are only fair and yet consistent with the values of the society (Van Noordt et al., 2025).

- **International Issues and Domestic Policy.** Another important feature of contemporary governance is the increasing role of the global institutions in the domestic public policy. Since states are obligated by international agreements on issues like climate protection, human rights, trade, finance, and maritime regulation, they have to reconcile the domestic laws with the international standards. This leads to a dual government system, in which the local laws must conform to the global standards. Although this convergence is viewed to enhance policy coherence and even international cooperation by many governments, it is being understood by other governments as a violation of national sovereignty. This constant bargain between the international standards and national political objectives is still expressing itself in the way countries advance their policymaking (Kaplaner et al., 2025).

- **The Law, Law, Social Movements.** Legal regimes are not the sole sources of public policy but social movements and aggregate social needs are also important in its formation. The grassroots activism related to such issues as gender justice, labor rights, protection of minorities, environmental sustainability, and securing digital freedoms has contributed critically to the impetus exerted by states to change or develop new regulatory frameworks. These social movements bring to light the weakness of the policy, digs at any existing inequalities, and agitate modifications that meet the changing needs in the society. They compel the government to reconsider the priorities and redefine national policies, turning them more responsive to the interests of oppressed or marginalized populations (Wallace et al., 2025).

- **The Future of Governance and Technology.** The fast development of digital technologies has led to the appearance of new problems which governance faces which needs corresponding legal changes. Governments now have a challenge of walking the fine line between innovation and regulation, particularly in new areas such as algorithmic decision-making, data security, cybercrime, computer surveillance, online misinformation, and the effects of automation on the labour market. The underregulation of these technological areas should pose threats to the increase of the current social disparities and the erosion of the democratic institutions. Some nations are struggling with their legal frameworks to stay spirited to the fast changing technology, leaving these jurisdictions with gaps in regulation, which may have horrific societal impacts unless vacuums are filled (Thomas, 2025).

- **International Law and Social Equity.** The international human rights law still has a massive impacts on the welfare, labor standards, gender equality and minority protection. Nevertheless, the actuality of these rights is tied to the political will and effectiveness of the capacity of the national governments. This is because compared to other nations where governments are effectively applying the human rights norms in their national policies, some countries interpret the human rights obligations selectively or do not comply at all. This imbalanced application serves to remind the weaknesses of the global governance instruments and demonstrate the importance of the role of domestic politics in determining whether the outcomes of the international legal instruments get actual implementation or not (Leeuw, 2025).

- **Law, Identity, and Social Cohesion.**   National identity, cultural heritage, religious beliefs and shared memory often become the focus of debate in society which can largely shape public policy. Any legal changes that cause a contradiction with the accepted norms or values about individual liberties, minority rights or domestic law may expose a backlash among society. When developing policies in a multicultural society, consideration should be made at both ends to retain the individual rights and preserve the social cohesion. This necessitates laws that are liberal enough to adapt to the cultural diversity without undermining the universal values of justice and equality in the sense that the policy making tends to foster cohesiveness without trampling essential rights (Khairanis and Istiadah, 2025).

- **Strongness of the institutions and effectiveness of the policies.**   The quality of state institutions is much more decisive of the effectiveness of the public policy. Even the best laws can lack effectiveness due to hampered enforcement mechanisms, or poor governance due to corruption that has undermined people. To have a successful government, the government must also have independent courts, clear regulatory bodies, responsible police and a participatory process of decision-making involving all layers of society. Moreover, organizations should show resilience during crises and be able to hold the law high at all times, irrespective of the crisis at hand. In a country that is well governed, law is a sure way of influencing effective public policy. But in poorly institutionalized states, the law can turn into a weapon to manage politics, preventing social development and eroding the confidence of the population (Hariyasasti, 2025).

Findings and Analysis

- **The society has shaped and also is shaped by law creates social order.**   As pointed out in the research, the interaction between law and society is two-way. The legal rules control conduct, bring order and guard rights yet social movements and societal expectation are what can lead to changes in legislation as well. This dynamic interaction underlines the imperative to move policymakers to understand the legal boundaries and equally consider the social situations and contexts where the application of laws apply. The knowledge of this interaction is vital in ensuring the development of policies that are not only sound in law but also socially concerned and responsive.

- **The world policy is becoming more legalised.**   The research shows that international treaties, regulatory frameworks and international standards have become instrumental in influencing domestic policymaking something that was inconceivable a century ago. Through the governance on trade to environmental protection, the law has formed the strength of global public policy. Although this legalisation brings predictability and stability in international affairs, it also opens the domestic politics to the external influences as states must conform their policies and international norms and obligations.

- **Tensions Tied to Sovereignty.**   Research confirms that the dilemma between national and international commitments is still one of the hallmarks of the present-day public policy. As other nations may use the international commitments as the means of reform and policy development, others oppose them, considering the agreements as the encroachment into national sovereignty. These perpetual conflicts underscore the nature of international governance and the challenge of striking the right balance between local priorities and the international duties.

- **The legal Reform Is Outmatched by technological Change.** The fast rate of technological innovation has been leaving legal systems behind, which have led to large gaps in regulations that

subject societies to novel risks and disparities. Some issues like data governance, AI ethics, and digital rights are not well tackled in most legal regimes. The paper has highlighted why it is essential to have a more responsive and technologically progressive legal framework with the ability to serve adequately in the face of technological innovation and in upholding societal interests in the digital era.

- **The Policy Outcomes are determined by Institutional Capacity.** The results indicate that effective legal structures are not enough to ensure proper policy. The institutional capacity is a very important concept in policy outcomes. It is the strength of the institutions in societies and their independence, open governance, and the presence of an effective enforcement system that is more likely to help societies cope with social conflicts, create accountability, and respond to the challenges that are both domestic and international. The paper underlines the need to empower institutions to facilitate successful execution of policies.

- **Social Equity needs active Lawful commitment.** The study confirms the claim that legal regulations that are based on human rights principles are more prone to provide more fair policy. Nationalities that incorporate rights-based policies in their policy formulation processes tend to be more successful in their manifestations of robust social welfare, gender equality, and minority custodianship. The paper finds that its active legal adherence to social equity is indeed a vital ingredient to ensure just and inclusive social policies, which must consider the needs of marginalized groups and support social justice.

Conclusion

The relationship between law and society is a phenomenon that is continuing to influence the public policy in both more difficult and intricate ways. The world is getting increasingly difficult to govern because of climate crises, geopolitical atomization, and technologic disturbances, yet the political system has to be amenable to the emerging circumstances preserving the social solidarity and equity. The analysis demonstrates that legal rules are not the sole factors leading to the development of public policy; it is the outcome of an unceasing discussion between an organization of institutional power, the demands of society, the commitments to other states, and political rivalry. The demand to have unified legal frameworks that lead to responsible policymaking has never been as acute as it is in an uncertain and fast-paced global environment. States find themselves the way in new legal pluralisms, face the challenges of sovereignty and react to mass mobilizations that call them to change. The international institutions should act as guides without compromising the legitimacy of nations. Above all, the policy makers should be able to create laws that are socially oriented and internationally oriented. The aspects of law and society will constantly interact, and will be controversial, yet they will be essential in determining good public policy in the twenty first century.

Recommendations

- **Enhance Institutional Capacity.** Improving the independence of the judiciary system, regulatory transparency, and administrative accountability should be on the agenda of governments. Enhancing such institutional pillars makes legal frameworks operational and results in real outcomes of the policy to the people. Strong institutions can enhance the management problems of governments to promote the rule of law and integrity of legal systems.

- **Strengthen Civil society Involvement in Policy making.** The legitimacy of public policies should be enhanced by the active participation of the civil society and citizen engagement. Through consultative

processes with inclusive persons, differing views can be used in the influences of the reforms in the legal systems to make sure that the policies met the needs of every group in the society. Governments should facilitate broad involvement of the society to make more equitable, inclusive, and representative policies.

- **Incorporate Human Rights Frameworks into Government Policy.** Addressing social inequity through meeting international human rights norms should be in line with national policies to ensure the protection of the rights of the vulnerable groups. Through integrating the human rights principles in the public policies the governments will be able to promote the just governance, promote the social justice and develop more inclusive societies. Such a strategy makes sure that legal reforms are not merely legal, but have the ethical basis.

- **Encourage Technological Governance Laws of adaptation.** Considering the ever-changing and quick technology, the states are supposed to come up with proactive legal mechanisms addressing upcoming problems of data security, algorithmic responsibility, AI morality, cybersecurity, and digital rights. These legal systems ought to be molded to sustain with technological innovation in a way that safeguards the rights of the citizens as well as encouraging innovation as well as accountability in the cyber world.

- **Sovereignty and Balance in the World.** States are expected to engage in legal changes that mitigate the domestic interests against international commitments. Finding a compromise between national independence and international regulation, nations may be aligned to international practices and safeguard their domestic policies without undermining the democratic responsibility and nationhood. This strategy enables collaboration in solving international problems without jeopardizing national interests.

- **Promote International Cooperation.** Since global problems are connected, the governments need to enhance international cooperation, address the international bodies, regional institutions, and transnational networks. The more effective solutions, the advantage of the common knowledge and collective action could be gained by the coordinated legal and policy responses to such problems as climate change, the human rights and global trade.

- **Research and Education in Law and Public Policy.** To produce a new breed of policymakers, academic institutions are advised to invest in socio-legal studies, comparative policy research and interdisciplinary training. With the help of research and education that enables the development of insights into the connection of law, public policy, and global challenges, institutions can enable future leaders to have the weapons needed to wade through the complexity of the ever-changing international environment and provide effective and informed change to policy.

References

- Barrett, S., & Fudge, C. (2025). *Policy and action: Essays on the implementation of public policy*. Taylor & Francis. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7AxlEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Law+and+Society+in+Global+Public+Policy&ots=hr0mi7oryo&sig=9QABX7xV3JwWKuJiemt7xUUETgc

- de Oliveira, O. P. (2025). Global public policy studies. In *Taking Risks and Breaking New Frontiers in Policy and Politics* (pp. 64–86). Policy Press. https://bristoluniversitypressdigital.com/edcollchap/book/9781447369004/ch005.xml

- Hariyasasti, Y. (2025). The Role of Digital Transformation on the Performance of Public Elementary Schools in the Industrial Revolution 4.0 and Society 5.0 Era. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL, POLICY AND LAW*, *6*(1), 42–47. https://www.ijospl.org/index.php/ijospl/article/view/185

- Ibbett, H., Dorward, L., Jones, J. P. G., Kohi, E. M., Dwiyahreni, A. A., Sankeni, S., Prayitno, K., Mchomvu, J., Kaduma, J., Saputra, A. W., Agustin, I. Y., Tryswidiarini, T., Mawenya, R., Supriatna, J., & St John, F. A. V. (2025). Improving compliance around protected areas through fair administration of rules. *Conservation Biology*, *39*(1), e14332. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.14332

- Kaplaner, C., Knill, C., & Steinebach, Y. (2025). Policy integration in the European Union: Mapping patterns of intersectoral policy-making over time and across policy sectors. *Journal of European Public Policy*, *32*(1), 26–51. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2023.2288932

- Kartiko, A., Arif, M., Rokhman, M., Ma'arif, M. A., & Aprilianto, A. (2025). Legal Review of Inclusive Education Policy: A Systematic Literature Review 2015-2025. *International Journal of Law and Society (IJLS)*, *4*(1), 22–46. https://najahaofficial.id/najahajournal/index.php/IJLS/article/view/152

- Khairanis, R., & Istiadah, I. (2025). The Impact of Post-Potivism and Constructivism on Public Policy: A Review of Philosophy of Science in Indonesia. *J-CEKI: Jurnal Cendekia Ilmiah*, *4*(2), 2101–2108. https://ulilalbabinstitute.co.id/index.php/J-CEKI/article/view/7225

- Khan, J., Oladosu, S. A., Ike, C. C., Adeyemo, P., Adepoju, A. I. A., Hamzah, F., Liang, W., John, B., & Oluwaferanmi, A. (2025). *Data Science as a Strategic Asset: Leveraging Big Data and AI for Evidence-Based Policymaking and Public Sector Innovation*. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Aremu-Oluwaferanmi/publication/392693681_Data_Science_as_a_Strategic_Asset_Leveraging_Big_Data_and_AI_for_Evidence-Based_Policymaking_and_Public_Sector_Innovation/links/684d85ca26f43051a5809332/Data-Science-as-a-Strategic-Asset-Leveraging-Big-Data-and-AI-for-Evidence-Based-Policymaking-and-Public-Sector-Innovation.pdf

- Lansley, S. (2025). *Housing and public policy*. Taylor & Francis. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=gQZlEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1947&dq=Law+and+Society+in+Global+Public+Policy&ots=pz85zP6BxP&sig=a1T-Ihz3nOqQSDOAcfoVUpRPmcA

- Leeuw, F. L. (2025). The Algorithmization of Policy and Society. *Artificial Intelligence and Evaluation*, 242. https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/94001/9781040128510.pdf?sequence=1#page=257

- Levitt, R. (2025). *Implementing public policy*. Taylor & Francis. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=kwZlEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA10&dq=Law+and+Society+in+Global+Public+Policy&ots=7Y2TmOFIDS&sig=vVB14LrwSO8estlo4qDXMlz8sdU

- Seo, J. (2025). From boom to transformation: Assessing the paradigm shift in public policies for the Social Economy in South Korea. *Public Management Review*, *27*(6), 1489–1512. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2023.2296627

- Stiansen, Ø., Naurin, D., Michailidou, A., & Purzycka, A. (2025). Enforcing the rule of law in the EU: Effects on public opinion. *Journal of European Public Policy*, *32*(2), 522–549. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2024.2351989

- Thomas, P. (2025). *The aims and outcomes of social policy research*. Taylor & Francis. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=uftgEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT9&dq=Law+and+Society+in+Global+Public+Policy&ots=NGSU2UV7OT&sig=ZsQlsZDjNiVGk-3L15VEPGAtQlw

- Toshkov, D., Mazepus, H., Yordanova, N., & Piqani, D. (2025). Enforcement and public opinion: The perceived legitimacy of rule of law sanctions. *Journal of European Public Policy*, *32*(2), 550–577. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2024.2320274

- Van Noordt, C., Medaglia, R., & Tangi, L. (2025). Policy initiatives for Artificial Intelligence-enabled government: An analysis of national strategies in Europe. *Public Policy and Administration*, *40*(2), 215–253. https://doi.org/10.1177/09520767231198411

- Wallace, H. S., Pollack, M. A., & Young, A. R. (2025). *Policy-making in the European Union*. Oxford university press. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=umeHEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Law+and+Society+in+Global+Public+Policy&ots=i8j1XR_OIN&sig=AnfdbfirM_GdhLtZ3T_xset-Yb8

- Xu, W., Zhu, J., Xi, W., & Cui, J. (2025). Creating Age-Friendly Environments in a Smart Society in China: A Policy Review. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, *37*(2), 216–235. https://doi.org/10.1080/08959420.2023.2284058

- Zahariadis, N., & Taylor, K. (2025). *Handbook of public policy agenda setting*. Edward Elgar Publishing. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=A8iGEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=Law+and+Society+in+Global+Public+Policy&ots=2FsIxXitl_&sig=_Y9XHWBaoqhsKETkStGIUvsNW1I