

Validation of the Fear of Covid-19 Scale and Its Relationship with Preventive Behavior and Anxiety Disorders in Pakistan

Dr. Qaisar Khalid Mahmood¹, Dr. Muhammad Siddique Akbar², Dr. Ambreen Salahuddin³

¹ Department of Gender Studies, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. qaisar.dgs@pu.edu.pk

² Institute of Social and Cultural Studies, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan.
siddique.iscs@pu.edu.pk

³ Department of Gender Studies, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. qaisar.dgs@pu.edu.pk

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i4.1605>

Abstract

Background: COVID-19 has caused a huge wave of fear across many nations around the globe. From a theoretical point of view, it is argued that fear induced by an imminent threat leads people to take defensive measures and at the same time creates psychiatric disorders. We conducted this study to validate the Urdu (national language in Pakistan) version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale in the Pakistani context. We also examined the relationship between fear and 1) preventive behavior and 2) anxiety disorders among the general population.

Methods: We collected data from 2005 respondents across the country and confirmed the validity of the Urdu version of this scale. Structural analysis uncovered that fear of COVID-19 significantly predicts preventive behavior and anxiety disorder among respondents.

Results: This study confirms that fear of COVID-19 has aroused mixed feelings among the population in Pakistan. Fear of contracting COVID-19 has induced the masses to take preventive measures, while at the same time, it has also produced feelings of anxiety.

Conclusions: Previous research in this field also reported that fear of COVID-19 contributed significantly to mental health problems. Therefore, health authorities and governments must take measures to ensure mental health through psychosocial interventions.

Keywords: Prevention, Infection, Pandemic, SARS-CoV-2, Corona, Psychometric Validation

Background

Fear is an imminent emotional response to dangerous situations. Neuroscientists have long been studying the mechanisms behind fear, which arises after detecting and responding to threats [1] (LeDoux, 2014). If the threat is perceived as uncertain and continuous, like in pandemics, then the fear can become chronic and worry may lead to serious mental health problems [2]. Fear of illness is a kind of fear that includes uncomfortable thinking and feelings of worry about the possibility of having a disease [3]. Similarly, anxiety can be part of any illness experience, but increased irrational fear of a disease needs to be addressed because it can seriously impair the functioning of a person [4]. Understandably, fear is an adaptive defense mechanism that is fundamental for survival and involves several biological processes of preparation for a response to potentially threatening events. However, when it is chronic or disproportionate, it becomes harmful and can be a key component in the development of various psychiatric disorders. Emergencies that occur during natural disasters, such as avalanches, earthquakes, and floods, tend to be sudden, unexpected, ephemeral, and recruit

defensive responses. Defensive behaviors are triggered by activity in survival circuits that detect imminent threats, and fear is the conscious emotion that follows immediately. However, the specific threat of COVID-19 is unstable and mysterious, eliciting anxieties rather than fear [5]. In a pandemic, fear increases anxiety and stress levels in healthy individuals and intensifies the symptoms of those with pre-existing psychiatric disorders [6].

Recently, the COVID-19 pandemic has stirred a massive wave of fear in the population globally. In Pakistan, the first case of COVID-19 was reported in February 2020 [7]. The numbers of infected cases fluctuated over time. The government of Pakistan employed an intermittent lockdown policy, which they called “smart lockdown”. It advised people to stay at home during a lockdown, which ranged 2–6 weeks. The mental health consequences of COVID-19 itself and the repercussions of measures taken to avoid this contagion have been drastic [8]. Growing concerns about the mental health consequences of COVID-19 suggest that this crisis requires behavior changes following its significant psychological burden on individuals [9]. COVID-19 has affected people’s attitudes and perceptions about the disease over time [10]. Mental health concerns related to COVID-19 have risen as a consequence of measures taken to handle the pandemic such as physical distancing [11]. Other than fear of contamination, fears about economic consequences, compulsive checking, and traumatic stress symptoms have been found [12]. Schimmenti et al. [13] found other dimensions of fear, including fear of the body, fear of not knowing, and fear of inaction. Previous studies have reported on fear related to COVID-19 in many parts of the world such as United States [14], Italy [15], Turkey [16], Israel [17], Eastern Europe [18], Bangladesh [19], Saudi Arabia [20], and New Zealand [21].

Fear involves the engagement of preventive behavior. However, previous research on the relationship between fear appeals in health promotions and preventive behavior suggest heterogeneous findings. For example, frequent fear appeals communicated by health authorities are not effective with respect to preventive behavior. People’s coping appraisals are more powerful predictors as compared to perceptions of risk, and fear arousal is less important in activating precautionary measures [22]. A study conducted by Claassen et al. [23] describes the relationship between representation of cardiovascular disease and preventive behavior. According to this, family history was closely associated with preventive behaviors such as healthy lifestyle, selective diet, and physical activity. In the case of COVID-19, people were highly involved in preventive behavior such as frequently washing their hands and avoiding public transport [24]. Infectious diseases arouse fear among the majority of the population. Person-to-person transmission of such diseases create panic and uncertainty among the masses and force human beings to change their behavior quickly [25].

Fear of infectious diseases increases the risk for anxiety disorders among individuals. Previous research has shown a positive relationship between fears related to COVID-19 and anxiety [5,26]. A cross-country study conducted by Fitzpatrick et al. [27] uncovered that fear of COVID-19 induces depression and anxiety in more than 25% of the population. COVID-19 has evoked different types of fears and anxieties, such as fear of the unknown, social isolation, and illness anxiety disorder [5]. COVID-19 induced anxiety was related to coronavirus fear, use of drugs, and suicidal ideation [28]. A wide body of experimental and epidemiological literature highlights that psychological stress, social isolation, and loneliness have a detrimental effect on multiple health-related outcomes, including comorbidity, multimorbidity, and mortality [29].

Several studies have used the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S) to assess the fear of COVID-19 and to check its validity in different contexts [14-21,30,31]. However, only limited evidence is available on the relationship of this scale with different psychosocial variables related to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, such as preventive behaviors and anxiety disorders. We extended the literature by examining the association between fear induced by COVID-19 and preventive behaviors as well as psychosocial consequences (anxiety disorders) among the Pakistani population. The current study reports on the reliability qualities, concurrent validity, and construct (confirmatory) validity of the Urdu version of the FCV-19S.

Methods

Participants and data collection

Participants of this study were social media users in the general Pakistani population who were at least 18 years old and spoke Urdu as their first language. Data from 2,005 participants was gathered through a cross-sectional survey developed online using Google Form and circulated electronically among participants when lockdown restrictions were imposed by the government across the country and the chances of face-to-face data collection were limited. The research instrument was disseminated online through emails and famous social networking apps like Facebook, WhatsApp, LinkedIn, and Twitter. The participants were briefed about the purpose of the research study, and their informed consent was taken electronically. Similarly, it was also communicated to the respondents that their participation in this study is voluntary and the data will only be used for research purposes by ensuring their anonymity and confidentiality. There were no missing values, because all questions were mandatory.

Adaptation of FCV-19S into Urdu

To get a reliable and valid measure of the Urdu version of FCV-19S, we employed a forward-backward translation technique [32] as recommended by the World Health Organization for the translation and adaptation of research instruments [33].

Measures

Sociodemographic characteristics: Gender, age, marital status, employment status, area of residence, education, and participants' information about COVID-19 infected persons among their close contacts/surroundings were collected.

Fear of COVID-19 Scale: FCV-19S has recently been developed to assess the level of fear of COVID-19 [26]. The instrument consists of seven items and participants were supposed to indicate their level of agreement with each item (e.g. "I am afraid of losing my life because of COVID-19"). Responses were assessed on a 5-point Likert-scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, and 5 = strongly agree). This scale has also been validated in many languages including Arabic, Bangla, Chinese, English, French, Hebrew, Italian, Japanese, Portuguese, Spanish, and Turkish.

Preventive behavior related to COVID-19: According to available knowledge regarding COVID-19 and the recommendations provided by the World Health Organization [33], Mahmood et al. [34] developed seven statements to measure preventive behavior related to COVID-19 (e.g. "I regularly wash my hands for twenty seconds" and "I maintain social/physical distancing while meeting others"). These items were measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree).

Generalized anxiety disorder: The Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD) questionnaire was developed to measure anxiety disorders [35]. This scale consists of seven items which describe a number of the most salient diagnostic features of GAD (i.e., feeling nervous, anxious, or on edge, and worrying too much about various things). Items are rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale (1 = not at all to 4 = almost every day).

Statistical analysis

We checked internal consistency using Cronbach's alpha. Furthermore, inter-item correlations were calculated. Confirmatory factor analysis was used to check how well the measured variables described the constructs. Structure Equation Modeling has been applied to cross-check the constructs' concurrent validity of the Urdu version of FCV-19S. SPSS version 25 was used to perform all statistical analysis. A p-value <0.05 was deemed significant.

Results

Among 2,005 respondents, the majority (55.4%) were aged up to 25 years. More than half of the respondents

(55.8%) were unemployed and approximately 61% lived in urban areas. Overall, more males (55.6%) than females (44.4%) participated in this study. Most respondents were either postgraduates (53.2%) or graduates (39.6%). The study population had almost equal representation of people who knew or did not know about people who got infected with COVID-19 (Table 1).

Measures of central tendency and distributions

Table 2 shows the results of measures of central tendency and distributions for each item of FCV-19S. Likewise, earlier findings [34] showed that people had agreement with items 1, 2, and 5, whereas they disagreed with items 3, 4, 6, and 7. For measures of distribution, Byrne and Campbell [36] consider items as normally distributed if their values of skewness and kurtosis range between + 1.5. Results demonstrate that not a single item had a higher or lesser value than +1.00 for skewness and kurtosis. These findings are also aligned with the results of a previous study [34].

Internal consistency

Inter-item correlations ranged from 0.674 to 0.366, showing good internal consistency of the Urdu version of FCV-19S (Table 3). The reliability statistic (Cronbach's alpha = 0.873) for the Urdu version of the FCV-19S was higher than the threshold value (>0.70). Corrected item-total correlations were also reported between 0.579 and 0.711. In addition, the value of Cronbach's alpha for the Urdu version of the FCV-19S does not go down if any item is deleted from the scale (Table 4). To check the construct reliability, the researchers calculated the average variance extracted (AVE) and composite reliability (CR) for the Urdu version of the FCV-19S. The values of AVE = 0.501 and CR = 0.875 indicate evidence of construct reliability. Based upon these findings, it can be concluded that the Urdu version of the FCV-19S has good internal consistency.

Confirmatory factor analysis

For the confirmation of single factor structure of the Urdu version of the FCV-19S scale, a confirmatory factor analysis was run. Fit indices (Table 5) are all within the acceptable limit (RMSR = 0.036; RMSEA = 0.080; GFI = 0.981; AGFI = 0.940; TLI = 0.953; CFI = 0.980). The factor loadings of the Urdu version of the FCV-19S were found to be statistically significant, ranging from 0.622 to 0.767 (Figure 1).

Concurrent validity

The researchers performed structural equation modeling (SEM) to assess concurrent validity of the Urdu version of the FCV-19S. Findings indicate that fit indices (Figure 2) were within the acceptable limit (RMSR = 0.049; RMSEA = 0.053; GFI = 0.941; CFI = 0.948). Fear of coronavirus significantly predicted general anxiety disorder ($\beta=0.45$, $R^2=0.21$, $p<0.001$). Similarly, the FCV-19S also explained preventive behavior related to COVID-19 ($\beta=0.31$, $R^2=0.10$, $p<0.001$).

Discussions

The present study was conducted to evaluate the validity of FCV-19S in the Pakistani context. The study also examined the association between fear of COVID-19, anxiety disorder, and preventive behavior. Pakistan has been struggling to fight against COVID-19 since February 2020. Statistical data analysis confirmed the validity of FCV-19S in Pakistan. The findings of this study corroborate with many studies conducted across the globe to check the validity of FCV-19S in different contexts [14-21,30]. The results depict the universality of FCV-19S. The study also demonstrated that fear of coronavirus significantly predicted general anxiety disorder. Similarly, the FCV-19S also explains preventive behavior related to COVID-19. As the onset of this viral disease has crippled life across the globe, people have adequate information about the risks associated with this disease. That is why the FCV-19S has demonstrated its validity in the developing as well as the developed world.

In addition to confirming the validity of the Urdu version of FCV-19S, we investigated the outcome of the COVID-19-induced fear. Existing literature has stressed the importance of assessing the socio-psychological consequences of fear triggered by infectious diseases in general and coronavirus in particular [6,24,25]. Health authorities and the state governments have always been curious regarding the prevention of behaviour during the spread of infectious diseases. Our study shows a positive trend among the Pakistani masses. Fear of coronavirus has made people aware of the dangers of coronavirus. The preventive measures taken the government and ordinary citizen have proved fruitful. The study's findings also reflect the ground reality in Pakistan, where the spread of coronavirus has remained under control, unlike in its neighboring country, India. The findings of this study insinuate the complexity of human behavior. The fear of COVID-19 has been found to be associated with anxiety disorder and, at the same time, it is also related to preventive behavior. The anxiety disorder can be partly explained by the fear of coronavirus and, at the same time, it may be attributed to other factors associated with the spread of COVID-19 such as social isolation, physical constraints, one's level of self-efficacy, and coping strategies [5]. Regarding prevention behavior, as human beings, we are motivated to protect ourselves when we perceive that measures taken by us are adequate and efficacious [37]. Nevertheless, given that in the present work, fear of COVID-19 was also associated with anxiety disorder, at the same time, it is unclear whether fear of coronavirus directly caused anxiety disorder and preventive behavior. Descriptive analysis revealed that the majority (48.7%) had known the individual who had been infected by COVID-19. This might be one of the reasons to take preventive measures. Furthermore, the coronavirus has aroused a serious level of hypochondria among the masses. COVID-19 hypochondria exacerbates mental health issues and reduces productivity [38].

Limitations

Our study has only focussed on direct links. Therefore, future research may focus on anxiety as a mediating factor between fear of COVID-19 and preventive behavior and vice versa. This is a major limitation of the study. Another limitation of this study is that it did not explain the fear of coronavirus, anxiety disorder, and protective behavior at a disaggregated level with respect to socio-demographic factors. Other potential causal factors of preventive behaviour and anxiety disorders, such as risk perceptions, personal or vicarious experience with the disease, perceived severity of the disease, and perceived vulnerability, may be investigated in future studies, particularly in the Pakistani context.

Conclusions

Keeping in view the findings of the study, we can conclude that FCV-19S has demonstrated validity in the Pakistani context. Also, a positive relationship has been observed between corona-induced fear, anxiety disorder, and protective behavior. This statistical relationship has also been observed in the real world, where protective behavior adopted by people has proved fruitful for the country. Based upon such findings, we can conclude that fear serves as a positive force that compel the people to take precautionary measures.

List of abbreviations

AGFI	Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index
AVE	Average variance extracted
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
CR	Composite reliability
FCV-19S	Fear of COVID-19 Scale
GAD	Generalized anxiety disorder
GFI	Goodness of Fit Index
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
RMSR	Root Mean Square Residual

TLI Tucker-Lewis Index
WHO World Health Organization

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We received an ethical waiver for this study from the Ethical Review Board of the Department of Sociology, International Islamic University, Islamabad. The data was collected with informed consent from the participants. The study has been conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

Data is available from corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research received no supporting funds from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

Authors' contributions

QKM and RZ conceptualized the study. QKM led the analysis, interpretation of the study findings, and manuscript writing. MMS, MSA, WAQ, RZ and FF contributed to data analysis and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

References

1. LeDoux JE. Coming to terms with fear. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2014;111(8):2871–2878.
2. Mertens G, Gerritsen L, Duijndam S, Saleminck E, Engelhard IM. Fear of the coronavirus (COVID-19): Predictors in an online study conducted in March 2020. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 2020;74:102258.
3. Vrinten C, Van Jaarsveld CH, Waller J, Von Wagner C, Wardle J. The structure and demographic correlates of cancer fear. *BMC Cancer*. 2014;14:597.
4. Kennedy JC, Huffman JC, Stern TA. Fear of medical illness: differential diagnosis, workup, and treatment. *Primary Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2008;10(5):403.
5. Coelho CM, Suttiwan P, Arato N, Zsido AN. On the nature of fear and anxiety triggered by COVID-19. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2020;11:3109.
6. Ornell F, Schuch JB, Sordi AO, Kessler FHP. “Pandemic fear” and COVID-19: mental health burden and strategies. *Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2020;42(3):232–235.
7. Sarwar S, Waheed R, Sarwar S, Khan A. COVID-19 challenges to Pakistan: Is GIS analysis useful to drawn solutions?. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2020;730:139089.
8. Galea S, Merchant RM, Lurie N. The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing: The need for prevention and early intervention. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 2020;180(6):817–818.

9. Van Bavel JJ, Baicker K, Boggio PS, Capraro V, Cichocka A, Cikara M et al. Using social and behavioural science to support COVID-19 pandemic response. *Nature Human Behavior*. 2020;4(5):460–471.
10. Abdelhafiz AS, Mohammed Z, Ibrahim ME, Ziady HH, Alorabi M, Ayyad M, Sultan EA. Knowledge, perceptions, and attitude of egyptians towards the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19). *Journal of Community Health*. 2020;45(5):881–890.
11. Knipe D, Evans H, Marchant A, Gunnell D, John A. Mapping population mental health concerns related to COVID-19 and the consequences of physical distancing: a Google trends analysis. *Wellcome Open Research*. 2020;5:82.
12. Taylor S, Landry C, Paluszek M, Fergus TA, McKay D, Asmundson GJ. Development and initial validation of the COVID Stress Scales. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 2020;72:102232.
13. Schimmenti A, Billieux J, Starcevic V. The four horsemen of fear: An integrated model of understanding fear experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*. 2020;17(2):41–45.
14. Perz CA, Lang BA, Harrington R. Validation of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale in a US College Sample. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2020;20(1):273–283.
15. Soraci P, Ferrari A, Abbiati FA, Del Fante E, De Pace R, Urso A, Griffiths MD. Validation and psychometric evaluation of the Italian version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2020;20(4):1913–1922.
16. Satici B, Gocet-Tekin E, Deniz ME, Satici SA. Adaptation of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Its association with psychological distress and life satisfaction in Turkey. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2020;19(6):1980–1988.
17. Bitan DT, Grossman-Giron A, Bloch Y, Mayer Y, Shiffman N, Mendlovic S. Fear of COVID-19 scale: Psychometric characteristics, reliability and validity in the Israeli population. *Psychiatry Research*. 2020;289:113100.
18. Reznik A, Gritsenko V, Konstantinov V, Khamenka N, Isralowitz R. COVID-19 fear in Eastern Europe: Validation of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2021;19(5):1903–1908.
19. Sakib N, Bhuiyan AI, Hossain S, Al Mamun F, Hosen I, Abdullah AH et al. Psychometric validation of the Bangla Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Confirmatory factor analysis and Rasch analysis. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2022;20(5):2623–2634.
20. Alyami M, Henning M, Krägeloh CU, Alyami H. Psychometric evaluation of the Arabic version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2021;19(6):2219–2232.
21. Winter T, Riordan BC, Pakpour AH, Griffiths MD, Mason A, Poulgrain JW, Scarf D. Evaluation of the English version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale and its relationship with behavior change and political beliefs. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2020;21:372–382.
22. Ruiter RA, Abraham C, Kok G. Scary warnings and rational precautions: A review of the psychology of fear appeals. *Psychology and Health*. 2021;16(6):613–630.
23. Claassen L, Henneman L, Kindt I, Marteau TM, Timmermans DR. Perceived risk and representations of cardiovascular disease and preventive behaviour in people diagnosed with familial hypercholesterolemia: a cross-sectional questionnaire study. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 2021;15(1):33–43.
24. Yıldırım M, Geçer E, Akgül Ö. The impacts of vulnerability, perceived risk, and fear on preventive behaviours against COVID-19. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*. 2021;26(1):35–43.
25. Weston D, Hauck K, Amlôt R. Infection prevention behaviour and infectious disease modelling: a review of the literature and recommendations for the future. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;18:336.
26. Ahorsu DK, Lin C-Y, Imani V, Saffari M, Griffiths MD, Pakpour AH. The Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Development and initial validation. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2022;20(3):1537–1545.

27. Fitzpatrick KM, Harris C, Drawve G. Fear of COVID-19 and the mental health consequences in America. *Psychological Trauma*. 2020;12(S1):S17–S21.
28. Lee SA, Mathis AA, Jobe MC, Pappalardo EA. Clinically significant fear and anxiety of COVID-19: A psychometric examination of the Coronavirus Anxiety Scale. *Psychiatry Research*. 2020;290:113112.
29. Porcelli P. Fear, anxiety and health-related consequences after the COVID-19 epidemic. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*. 2020;17(2):103–111.
30. Haktanir A, Seki T, Dilmaç B. Adaptation and evaluation of Turkish version of the fear of COVID-19 scale. *Death Studies*. 2022;46(3):719–727.
31. Nikopoulou VA, Holeva V, Parlapani E, Karamouzi P, Voitsidis P, Porfyri GN et al. Mental Health Screening for COVID-19: a Proposed Cutoff Score for the Greek Version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S). *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2022;20(2):907–920.
32. Henning M, Alyami M, Melyani Z, Alyami H, Al Mansour A. Development of the Cross-Cultural Academic Integrity Questionnaire-Version 3 (CCAIQ-3). *Journal of Academic Ethics*. 2020;18(1):35–53.
33. World Health Organization. Process of Translation and Adaptation of Instruments; 2010. https://www.who.int/substance_abuse/research_tools/translation/en/. Accessed 22 August 2022.
34. Mahmood QK, Jafree SR, Qureshi WA. The psychometric validation of FCV19S in Urdu and socio-demographic association with fear in the people of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) province in Pakistan. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2022;20(1):426–436.
35. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2006;166(10):1092–1097.
36. Byrne BM, Campbell TL. Cross-cultural comparisons and the presumption of equivalent measurement and theoretical structure: A look beneath the surface. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*. 1999;30:557–576.
37. Prentice-Dunn S, Rogers RW. Protection motivation theory and preventive health: Beyond the health belief model. *Health Education Research*. 1986;1(3):153–161.
38. Yazdanirad S, Sadeghian M, Naeini MJ, Abbasi M, Mousavi SM. The contribution of hypochondria resulting from Corona virus on the occupational productivity loss through increased job stress and decreased resilience in the central workshop of an oil refinery: A path analysis. *Heliyon*. 2021;7(4):e06808.

Figure 1: Factor loadings of the Urdu version of the FCV-19S

Figure 2: Structural equational modeling to assess validity of the Urdu version of the FCV-19S

Tables

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample (n=2,005)

<i>Variables</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Gender		
Male	1114	55.6
Female	891	44.4
Age		
Up to 25 years	1110	55.4
26-50 years	824	41.1
51 years and above	71	3.5
Marital status		
Not married	1296	64.6
Married	709	35.4
Employment status		
Employed	887	44.2
Unemployed	1118	55.8
Area of living		
Rural	782	39.01
Urban	1223	60.99
Education		
PhD	116	5.8
MSc/M. Phil	951	47.4
BSc/BSc/BA	793	39.6
HSSC and below	145	7.2
Knowledge of anyone infected with COVID-19		
Yes	977	48.7
No	1028	51.3

Table 2: Item properties of FCV-19S

	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Std. Deviation</i>	<i>Skewness</i>	<i>Kurtosis</i>
1. I am most afraid of Corona	3.10	1.100	-0.253	-0.603
2. It makes me uncomfortable to think about Corona	3.17	1.113	-0.398	-0.772
3. My hands become clammy when I think about Corona	2.05	0.941	0.927	0.697
4. I am afraid of losing my life because of Corona	2.54	1.152	0.353	-0.796
5. When I am watching news and stories about Corona on social media, I become nervous or anxious	3.43	1.116	-0.689	-0.356
6. I cannot sleep because I worry about getting Corona	2.02	0.964	0.950	0.579
7. My heart races or palpitates when I think about getting Corona	2.29	1.101	0.611	-0.487

Table 3: Inter-item correlations

<i>Items</i>	<i>FCV1</i>	<i>FCV2</i>	<i>FCV3</i>	<i>FCV4</i>	<i>FCV5</i>	<i>FCV6</i>	<i>FCV7</i>
FCV1	1.000	-	-	-	-	-	-
FCV2	0.625	1.000	-	-	-	-	-
FCV3	0.452	0.464	1.000	-	-	-	-
FCV4	0.500	0.498	0.544	1.000	-	-	-
FCV5	0.467	0.531	0.366	0.459	1.000	-	-
FCV6	0.397	0.401	0.605	0.539	0.380	1.000	-
FCV7	0.448	0.484	0.603	0.573	0.466	0.674	1.000

Table 4: Factor loadings, Cronbach's alpha and corrected total correlation for FCV-19S in Urdu

<i>Items</i>	<i>Factor loadings</i>	<i>Cronbach's alpha if item deleted</i>	<i>Corrected-item total correlation</i>
FCV1	0.622	0.858	0.632
FCV2	0.670	0.854	0.661
FCV3	0.767	0.855	0.660
FCV4	0.727	0.851	0.682
FCV5	0.664	0.865	0.579
FCV6	0.736	0.856	0.650
FCV7	0.755	0.847	0.711

Table 5: Psychometric testing

	<i>Value</i>	<i>Suggested Cut-off</i>
Confirmatory factor analysis		
χ^2 (df)	136.27(9)*	Non-significant
Goodness of Fit (GFI)	0.981	≥ 0.95
Adjusted Goodness of Fit (AGFI)	0.940	≥ 0.90
Comparative Fit Index (CFI)	0.980	≥ 0.90
Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)	0.953	≥ 0.90
Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA)	0.080	≤ 0.08
Root Mean Square Residual (RMSR)	0.036	≤ 0.08
Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	0.501	≥ 0.50
Composite Reliability (CR)	0.875	≥ 0.60