
**Navigating Geopolitical Dynamics of Sino-German Competition in the Indo-Pacific Region:
Prospects and Challenges in the Evolving Global Order**

Dr. Arfan Mahmood¹, Maryam Arshad Tarar², Dr. Naudir Bakht

¹ Assistant Professor, Centre for South Asian Studies, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan.

E-mail: arfanmahmood.csas@pu.edu.pk

² PhD Scholar, Centre for South Asian Studies, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan.

E-mail: mariamarshad701@gmail.com

³ Professor, School of Political Science, Minhaj University Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan.

E-mail: naudirmangolvi@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i4.1237>

Abstract

The Indo-Pacific is one of the most rapidly developing areas. The world's fastest-growing economies are located in this area between the Indian and Pacific Oceans, the world's most significant free trade zone. Germany is changing its Indo-Pacific foreign policy strategy on regional dynamics with the help of its policy guidelines published in September 2022. Since Beijing's economic reforms began in the 1970s, Germany has welcomed the Chinese rise worldwide. Germany's view of China threatened the Liberal world order (LWO) and changed from an opportunity to a risk. Germany has enhanced its collaboration in Indo-Pacific with like-minded nations and engaged in soft balancing against China. As a result, several regional states developed their Indo-Pacific strategies, and this region turned into the center of power struggle. Despite opposition from China, Germany's published Indo-Pacific guidelines raise questions about its motivations and long-term strategy in the region. Germany's foreign policy strategy and its alliances are greatly impacted by this shift. It is a qualitative study with both descriptive and analytical viewpoints. This study aims to investigate the reasons behind Germany's decision to publish Indo-Pacific guidelines as well as other facets of Germany's Indo-Pacific policy following the guidelines' publication. This study concludes that maintaining peace and stability in the area necessitates a practical evaluation of each state's policies and the creation of those that would be advantageous for the growth and development of the region.

Keywords: Indo-Pacific, China, Germany, Geo-Politics, Liberal World Order, Foreign Policy Strategy

1. Introduction

For several reasons, the Indo-Pacific region has become more important in terms of global geopolitics, the economy, and security. It is home to some of the world's fastest-growing economies, such as China, India, and the ASEAN countries, and it constitutes a sizable portion of global trade. Due to its extensive trading routes, the Indo-Pacific area is vital to global shipping and energy supply. Particularly between China and the United States, whose aggressive moves in the South China Sea and growing military might may have concerned many worldwide, the region is a focal point for geopolitical struggle. For international peace and prosperity, there must be freedom of navigation, unhindered legitimate trade, and an international system founded on laws. With so many powerful individuals in the Indo-Pacific region, whose interactions have a major impact on world dynamics, the region has also become an epicenter of political influence. Its abundance of marine resources and biodiversity make sustainable management essential to the well-being of the ecosystem. India, South Korea, Japan, and other technologically advanced nations are major players in global technology

developments. The population of the Indo-Pacific region is varied, which promotes mutual understanding and collaboration between cultures. All of these elements emphasize how crucial the Indo-Pacific region is to maintaining global peace, security, and prosperity.

In reaction to the Indo-Pacific region's increasing significance, Germany's approach has undergone substantial changes. When the Bundeswehr was established in 1955, its only objective was national defense for many years. However, with significant deployments in Afghanistan and Kosovo, its importance has grown internationally. Germany's military participation in the Indo-Pacific region now signifies a change in strategy. Germany's commitment to an international order based on norms was demonstrated in 2021 with the deployment of the frigate *Bayern*, which signified a rise in Germany's military presence in the area. Germany's more comprehensive Indo-Pacific Guidelines, which were approved in September 2020 and emphasise the region's increasing political and economic significance, are consistent with this action. Despite its limited military presence, Germany has shown that it supports regional stability and the rule-based order in the face of growing geopolitical rivalry, particularly with China. Additionally, Germany's engagement with regional allies like Australia shows its commitment to strengthening security ties and influencing the Indo-Pacific region's strategic environment.

The struggle for dominance of power continues in the region of Indo-Pacific and several countries are making their strategies for this region. The countries, including Australia, Japan, India, France, England, Indonesia, Germany, the Netherlands, and the USA among them, prepare the strategies for Indo-Pacific. An Indo-Pacific strategy has also been released by ASEAN (Tan., 2020). The government of Germany's decision to create Indo-Pacific policy guidelines was also motivated by the implication of the regional situation of Germany. Angela Merkel's government foreign minister Heiko Mass put it: "How we cooperate with the nations of the Indo-Pacific region will determine our prosperity and geopolitical influence in the coming decades." Where is the future's global, rule-based system which will take shape, according to the Federal Foreign Office (Mass, 2020)? In German government's 2020 announcement regarding the guidelines of Indo-Pacific garnered a lot of interest due to German's importance and its strong economic ties with China. They led to the creation of a growing body of literature that discussed them (Federal Government of Germany, 2020). Numerous commentators focus on the difficulties that the growing rivalry between the United States and China will present for Germany's policies in the Indo-Pacific area (Maull & Jaeger, 2020). Göran Swistek focuses on Germany's constrained ability to participate militarily in Indo-Pacific affairs (Swistek, 2021). Some researcher views Germany more as an EU member and analyzes the Indo-Pacific in the light of the French and German relationship (Jaeger, 2021).

Germans first saw China's emergence as an opportunity. Later on, in the 21st century both states had considered each other as potential rivals. Germany has increased its collaboration with the countries in the Indo-Pacific region and has tried to balance the China's involvement in the region. In Angela Merkel's administration, Germany's defense minister, Annegret Kramp-Karrenbauer, termed the collaboration with the countries in the Indo-Pacific region as a networking of the like-minded states rather than alliance. She declined to reveal a strategy for containing China, stating that Germany will defend itself against it when necessary (Fleischer, 2020).

The Indo-Pacific area has continued to rise in importance on both a geo-strategic and geo-economic level over the past few decades. The "Guidelines on the Indo-Pacific," released by the regime of Germany in response to this trend in September 2020, centered foreign policy on diversifying the region's geographic and thematic relations. Behind this is also Germany's self-declared intention to play a significant role in defining the still-evolving Indo-Pacific political notion. The groundwork for more extensive international collaboration is laid out by existing alliances, such as that with the ASEAN and Germany's "like-minded partners" in the area. Therefore, Germany is dedicated to strengthening the global rules-based order.

The Indo-Pacific is one of the most rapidly developing areas. The world's fastest-growing economies are located in this area between the Indian and Pacific Oceans, which is also the site of the largest free trade zone in the world, according to the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP). The Strait of Malacca in Southeast Asia is the most significant sea route in the modern, globalized world.

There is growing competition for raw material supplies, trading routes, and strategic access by land and water in the strategically susceptible region. China is extending its influence in the area and making its goals for political power. Many littoral states are creating security measures for the area as they feel more pressure on them. At the same time, Australia and India are pursuing a more assertive foreign policy and aiming to increase collaboration with ASEAN or the other participants in the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) (Taussig, 2019).

2. The Background and Significance of Germany's Indo-Pacific Strategy

In China and Germany's growing strategic partnership, Germany has felt secure ever since the end of the Cold War. Due to the fall of the USSR, it has continued to be protected by the US security net and has not faced any immediate military threats. Instead, friendly nations and allies have encircled it. Because of this, it was believed that the post-Cold War and the emergence of the Liberal World Order (LWO) mainly benefited it. Germany quickly joined the effort, supporting the growth of both NATO and the EU, as the United States worked to spread the LWO throughout the post-Cold War era.

Germany adopted a 'change through trade' strategy in its dealings with China instead of the US engagement strategy. Asia received little attention from West Germany's foreign policy throughout the Cold War. However, this altered when Germany's security improved, and Asia's political, economic, and strategic significance grew in the early 1990s. Since then, Asia has received more attention from the German foreign policy elite. Germany released its first Asian Strategy in 1993, focusing primarily on the prospects presented by Asian markets for that country's export-driven economy. A novel Asian strategy was released in 2002. It indicated the growing requirement for varied cooperation between Germany and the Asian nations.

German foreign policy favored 'the rest' as power shifted from the West to the rest (Zakaria, 2011). A 'New Players Concept,' announced by the German government in 2012, was aimed at all countries with which Germany had not collaborated before with the EU, G8, or NATO. Compared to other nations or regions, it was stated that these nations "have substantial economic influence or are undergoing rapid economic growth" (Ulatowski, 2022).

3. Growing Geopolitical ties between China and Germany

Germany had welcomed China's rise to power for over 30 years, ever since Beijing's economic reforms started in the 1970s. The two countries had no geopolitical rivalry and no shared history, and it was thought that China's massive population and expanding wealth would be good for the German economy. German Foreign Minister from 2009 to 2013, Guido Westerwelle, asserted that concerns about China's ascent were unfounded and the outcome of a zero-sum mindset. A middle class in China with hundreds of millions of members that is interested in German products was mentioned by Westerwelle. He shared the idea of German Chancellor Angela Merkel that, under the slogan "change through trade," there would be a globalization of values and beliefs in addition to economics (Westerwelle, 2011). No civilization that permits a market economy and private property would ultimately succeed if it rejected civil liberties, according to Westerwelle, and economic development would convey liberal concepts to a nation (Westerwelle, 2012). China has been Germany's strategic partner in the twenty-first century. Despite the legal inclusion of cultural, economic, political, scientific, and sociological cooperation in the German-Chinese partnership, this cooperation is limited to and centered on trade (Heiduk, 2014). The success of their exports has led some German observers to theorize that their nation has increased collaborations with China than that of the other states of the globe (Gutschker, 2012). Their economies are export-driven, yet they have worked well together for many years. Germany imported low-cost consumer items and exported capital goods for China's emerging industries (Kundnani & Parello-Plesner, 2012). The two nations' divergent ideologies did not significantly hinder their diplomatic ties. Germany's attitude towards China was driven more by economic considerations than concerns for human rights (Kundnani, 2011). The "change through trade" approach was fashioned after West Germany's Ostpolitik in the 1970s (Kundnani, 2013). The strategic alliance between Germany and China peaked during this time. Germany started to view its connections with China less favorably in the middle of the decade, despite certain politicians, like

Peter Altmaier, Minister of Economy and Energy, still supporting the "change through trade" approach (Karnitschnig & Vela, 2020). A comprehensive investment agreement (CAI) between the China and EU, unveiled in December 2020, was the utmost recent effort to develop strong regulations for trade with China (Fallon, 2021).

4. The Collapse of the German-Chinese Alliance

The German-Chinese cooperation suffered as their clashing interests and divergent worldviews became apparent. Realists claim that economic interdependence can become a battlefield, while liberals contend that it lessens the likelihood of conflict.

Firstly, Trouble areas in German-Chinese ties started to appear with Xi Jinping's rise to power and China's assertive foreign policy, primarily in the economic sphere and later spreading to international security issues (Xuetong, 2014). Germany was forced to reevaluate the effects of China's rise. As a result, they realized that it constituted a serious threat to their foreign policy. Due to concerns about unfair trade practices, the downturn began when Chinese companies outperformed their German rivals in crucial areas (Gabriel & Larres, 2016). In order to safeguard its innovations, Germany responded by enforcing investment restrictions (Miller, 2020).

Concerns about openness, fairness, and the impact of Chinese interests were raised by Germany about Chinese geoeconomic programs such as the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) (Stanzel, 2019). According to Secretary of State Markus Ederer's 2016 plan, China wants to take central control of the global economy through the BRI (Office, 2016). German elites were aware of China's global strategy, but neither Germany nor the West had a comparable strategy to protect their interests abroad. This alteration demonstrated how Germany and China's trajectories were diverging and signalled a significant change in their cooperation.

According to research by the Federation of German Industries, Germany saw that its social market economy model was in jeopardy due to China's state capitalism. In an effort to lower risks without completely severing ties with China, German business leaders emphasised the need for free trade agreements with nations in the Indo-Pacific region that share comparable values and objectives. To characterise Germany's relationship with China, several German politicians have used Chancellor Merkel's terms "strategic competitor" and "strategic partner" (Merkel, 2019).

German businesses that depend on the Chinese market face financial danger due to the misconception that China maintains a liberal economic order, particularly in sectors like the automotive industry (Hall, 2020). Despite playing a small part in German trade, the Chinese market still poses a threat to the German economy. Germany is worried about losing its participation in standard-setting and digital sovereignty, especially in computer and telecommunications technology (Maas, 2019). The US and China's supremacy in key industries have compelled Germany to abide by the regulations. Additionally, the US has called on allies to prevent Huawei from using domestic networks. Chinese vendors may face challenges as a result of Germany's 2021 approval of regulations enforcing stringent security requirements for businesses that provide telecom equipment (Cerulus, 2021). Wu Ken, China's ambassador to Berlin, warned that the Chinese government may target German automakers if Huawei were prohibited from entering the German market, but Germany nevertheless took this measure in December 2019 (Bennhold & Ewing, 2020).

The relationship between Germany and China has changed significantly in recent years, especially in the area of the economy. China's "Made in China 2025" initiative, which aspires to establish self-reliance in innovative technology, may pose a danger to German machinery exports to China. If this plan is effective, German machinery exports may have drastically dropped by 2030. The two countries' economic tensions have only grown as a result of China's ascent to prominence as a global supplier of machinery. However, Germany still strives to maintain strong political and economic connections with China while maintaining China's significance as a partner (Meijer, 2022). The South China Sea, Taiwan, Hong Kong, and China's military modernisation are only a few of the geopolitical, political, and economic issues that Germany and China must deal with. Disparities in social programs, human rights safeguards, and legal systems add to the complexity of this interaction. While acknowledging China's growth, German policymakers are worried by Chinese propaganda and disinformation. While

acknowledging the limited impact of Western principles on China, Germany's foreign policy places a strong emphasis on a transatlantic agenda and strong trading cooperation. Germany's evolving approach to China is a reflection of its economic might and strives to strengthen future technologies, form alliances, advance rule-based order in the Indo-Pacific, and enhance military preparedness. Interestingly, compared to the countries in the Indo-Pacific region, Germany's economic reliance on China is far lower. In the Indo-Pacific area, China has a double-digit export share: for Australia, it is over 30%, and for Japan, it is over 20%. However, in 2018, China's export share to Germany was only 7.07%. It is even more noteworthy that German GDP and exports to China are more important to German exports than vice versa (Kramp-Karrenbauer, 2021). Angela Merkel's administration saw China from three perspectives: as a significant partner and also a competitor, and as an opponent. Germany is increasing its investment in new technologies, growing trade with the US and EU, and supporting a rules-based order in the Indo-Pacific region (Maas, 2020).

5. Germans' Policy in the Indo-Pacific: Strategic and Economic Factors

Several economic and strategic aspects of German policy cover the Indo-Pacific area. While resisting hegemonic or bipolar systems, the country seeks to establish cultural, security, educational, and scientific ties with neighboring nations that uphold democracy. Germany is focused on working collaboratively with regional partners to foster economic growth that is ecologically benign and socially acceptable, sustainable resource utilization, and biodiversity preservation to avert a new Cold War. There is a need to access various fact-based information sources, which are essential to combat authoritarian regime manipulation. Australia, India, Japan, Singapore, and other countries have welcomed Germany's Indo-Pacific principles, but China has responded aggressively (Grare, 2020). Germany has had extensive diplomatic contacts with regional countries, concentrating on similar values and interests, open maritime communication channels, territorial disputes, cyber cooperation, and increased security cooperation. The COVID-19 epidemic intensified the ideological struggle between authoritarian China and the free world, according to Minister Kramp-Karrenbauer (Fleischer, 2021). Kramp-Karrenbauer and her Indian colleague did not meet in person, despite the country's continued strategic importance to Germany (Maas, 2019b). During a video call in January 2021, among other things, Prime Minister Narendra Modi and Chancellor Merkel discussed the Indo-Pacific area.

Germany's policy in the Indo-Pacific region takes both economic and strategic considerations into account. As part of its quest for strategic alliances, Germany has increased its strategic cooperation with Australia in order to establish multi-layered security connections. Although China is not specifically named in the official documents, they acknowledge that it poses a threat to the international order and call for increased collaboration with democratic countries and other allies that share their values. Germany dispatched the frigate Bayern to the region as a gesture of support for its democratic allies. As seen by its planned visit to Shanghai to demonstrate to China that it is amicable and stays away from disputed waterways, Germany's military presence is still cautious. Although the German Navy considers the Indo-Pacific area to be vital, Germany's military constraints restrict it from actively engaging in the region's affairs. The Navy's modernisation efforts must overcome challenges brought on by cost-cutting measures (Schneider, 2012).

China is Germany's largest trading partner, and economic commerce is the main basis of Germany's relations with the region. China accounts for half of Germany's Indo-Pacific commerce. Germany has a successful history of creating and exporting weapons while having a small military. The growing tensions in the Indo-Pacific region offer an opportunity for armament exports, despite some challenges Germany has had in obtaining contracts. Notably, Australia's submarine contract was terminated in favour of the United States, and Germany lost it to France. These incidents raise questions about the dependability of US security assurances for European allies as well as the exchange and coordination of intelligence.

6. The Indo-Pacific Policy Guidelines of Germany

The "Policy Guidelines for the Indo-Pacific" were published by the German government in early

September 2020. Germany joined the US, Australia, France, India, Japan, and ASEAN in publicly outlining an Indo-Pacific vision (Choudhury, 2022). The statement highlights how "the development and stability of the region have an impact on German prosperity and security both directly and indirectly." This led to a reassessment of German and European involvement in the Indo-Pacific region. Stanzel (2022).

Germany used to lack a defined strategy for the Asia-Pacific region, but this has changed. By establishing a distinct directorate and releasing certain regulations, Germany has recognised the growing significance of the area. While denouncing China's forceful behaviour, especially when addressing global concerns, Germany seeks partnerships and cooperation. The EU and Germany strongly support ASEAN-led multilateralism, which aligns with the region's values.

6.1 Defense Operations

Strengthening its regional presence through port visits and defense exercises in Southeast Asia is one of the key elements of Germany's security policy for the area. Germany intends to send a message that it "will stand by its international partners when it comes to securing the freedom of the sea routes and upholding international law in the region" (Indo-Pacific Deployment, 2020). In order to showcase its capability to deploy globally establish connections, and promote regional stability, the Royal Australian Air Force (RAAF) recently held the biennial air exercise Pitch Black 2022. Participation was "full for the first time" for Germany, Japan, and the Republic of Korea (Exercise Pitch Black, 2022). It distinguished the later years' exercises. Fighter jets and supply planes were sent from Germany to Singapore in less than 24 hours during the German Air Force's Operation Rapid Pacific 2022, a component of Pitch Black 2022. This revealed Berlin's capacity for strategic deployment. Regular deployments and defensive drills show Germany's desire to enhance its collaboration with the states in the Indo-Pacific region (Möller, 2022).

6.2 Multiple Actors - Potential German Actions

Germany desires to build its collaboration in the Indo-Pacific region within a global framework that includes friends and partners who uphold similar principles. Germany promotes European cooperation, preferably inside the EU but not solely, since it recognizes that individual nations cannot act alone to protect their interests in the marine area. Instead, it sees value in assessing diverse actors' goals, passions, and skills and forging suitable coalitions.

Germany might first work with the four Indo-Pacific nations of the United States, Australia, India, and Japan regarding security policy. The Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) has concentrated chiefly on informal security and military cooperation to preserve a free and open Indo-Pacific. The quad states are trying to formalize the forum and invite other participants.

The ASEAN has security-related policy problems from its operations in favor of maritime cooperation, sustainable development, economic cooperation, and networking. However, Germany sees a chance to indirectly help ASEAN politically through programs and actions that influence security issues in a networked way. Additionally, there are individual actors with their activity in the Indo-Pacific, frequently on a national level, including European governments. Because of its overseas territories, France, for instance, carries out a variety of programs throughout the region. France has established several alliances and aimed for an EU-wide sustainable Indo-Pacific policy. The French viewpoint primarily emphasizes security and uses already-existing infrastructure, including port facilities and logistical supply choices for ships. The overseas territories of France offer a base for creating regional situational awareness and carrying out challenging research initiatives.

With cooperation from US forces, the UK is sending its aircraft carrier Queen Elizabeth to the Indo-Pacific and South China Sea as part of its "Global Britain" mission. The UK has kept its influence in the area through bilateral and multilateral collaboration, surviving overseas possessions, and military installations. Long-term issues for the UK include juggling self-imposed objectives, tasks related to national defense, international commitments, and finite resources.

The South China and East China Seas are essential as trade routes in the Pacific and Indian Oceans, according to the Netherlands' recently released Indo-Pacific policy. The Netherlands intends to deploy

a frigate with the British aircraft carrier Queen Elizabeth to show its presence there. It is plausible for France, Germany, the UK, and the Netherlands to take a combined stance in light of the commonalities in strategic objectives in the relevant texts. Their resources and abilities may complement one another, providing specific advantages. German officers have boarded French ships as part of an ongoing bilateral military exchange program between Germany and France. In 2020, the attachment of a German naval officer to the Singapore Navy's information center as a liaison element attracted interest in the neighborhood. Germany's contributions provide a message of presence (Swistek, G., 2021). As indicated by its "Free and Open Indo-Pacific" strategy, which refers to the area between India's western border and the US West Coast as the Indo-Pacific, the US is also a prospective partner for a joint commitment. The operations of the US Indo-Pacific Command and its 5th and 7th Fleets show that the US perspective is primarily focused on security policy. German and US policies regarding interests and intended actions in the area are comparable, notwithstanding the US documents' clear focus on China. On the other hand, German policy tends to be more diplomatic and circumspect regarding the structural, economic, technological, and security aspects of China's growing Indo-Pacific rivalry.

6.3 Contemporary Germans influence in Indo-Pacific

On June 04, 2023, Defense Minister Boris Pistorius declared that Germany intends to send two warships to the Indo-Pacific area and in May, 2024 during his speech at the Shangri-La Dialogue in Singapore. The decision is made in light of escalating tensions between China and Taiwan and the South China Sea conflicts. Pistorius emphasized the significance of maintaining the international legal order and protecting important marine routes. He made it clear that the deployments were not directed against any particular country, apparently referring to China. Instead, whether in the Mediterranean, the Bay of Bengal, or the South China Sea, they were concentrating on preserving the rules-based international system that all countries have agreed to (Reuters, 2024). The difficult balancing act between Germany's security interests and its economic relations with China, which is its main trading partner, can be seen in the country's expanded military presence in the region. For the first time in over two decades, a German warship entered the South China Sea in 2021, joining other Western countries in boosting their military presence in response to worries about China's territorial aspirations. Despite an international tribunal's finding that China's claims to sovereignty over practically the entire South China Sea lack any legal support, China continues to make them. On man-made islands in the area, which are rich in natural resources like gas fields and teeming fishing grounds, China has built military outposts. The South China Sea is a vital trade route, with approximately 40% of Europe's foreign trade passing through its waters. Frankfurt am Main, the German navy's supply ship, sailed from Wilhelmshaven with 200 soldiers and Defense Minister Boris Pistorius (Reuters, 2023). This ship was intended to join the frigate Baden-Wuerttemberg, which departed a month earlier. Up to December, both ships were required to be in service in the Indo-Pacific region, which includes the South China Sea. The expedition was in line with Western powers' attempts to make a statement in reaction to China's broad claims of sovereignty over the majority of the South China Sea, which were at odds with those of Brunei, Malaysia, the Philippines, Taiwan, and Vietnam.

6.4 Germany and India Forge Indo-Pacific Strategic Shift

Germany and India are anticipated to pursue a joint submarine construction contract for \$5.2 billion during Chancellor Olaf Scholz's visit to India on February 25 and 26, 2023 (Jain, 2023). By working together, Germany hopes to lessen India's dependency on Russian military hardware. India has been actively pursuing cooperation with other nations to enhance its domestic weapon manufacturing capacities in order to replace its aging submarine fleet and counter China's growing influence in the Indian Ocean. The current project calls for a foreign submarine manufacturer to collaborate with an Indian business to build six conventional submarines in India.

Germany is enhancing its defense ties with India, marking a significant shift in its Indo-Pacific strategy (Agarwal & Hussain, 2023). An important development in Germany's military engagement in the area was the deployment of the German frigate Bayern in 2021. Germany's commitment to the rule-based international order was intended to be demonstrated by this expedition. But because the ship called at

Diego Garcia, a disputed area, it came under fire (Pejsova, 2023). Germany's military involvement in the Indo-Pacific represents its strategic objectives and desire to promote regional stability notwithstanding these disputes.

6.5 Cooperation with Indo-Pacific Partners

Germany is strengthening its security cooperation with countries in the Indo-Pacific region. The increasing determination for bilateral security cooperation is demonstrated by the deployment of the Luftwaffe to Australia and the visit of Australian Defence Minister Richard Marles to Germany (Marles, 2022). NATO's new Strategic Concept, which emphasises the importance of the Indo-Pacific and the necessity of collaboration with regional allies, is in line with this cooperation. Germany's rising engagement in the Indo-Pacific is a reflection of larger strategic themes, such as the need to strike a balance between geopolitical security concerns and economic connections with China. Inherent conflicts in the Indo-Pacific strategy include the requirement for a unified Western approach to China and the need to strike a balance between economic reliance and geopolitical rivalry with China. It is anticipated that Germany would engage in more military operations in the Indo-Pacific, including possible future naval deployments. This involvement is a component of a larger plan to strengthen security alliances in the area and promote an international order based on norms. Nonetheless, Germany's strategy will continue to be shaped by the difficulties of striking a balance between strategic imperatives and economic interests as well as negotiating challenging geopolitical environments.

7. Conclusion

In the Indo-Pacific area, Germany is extending its reach. China, which is making significant investments in the Indo-Pacific area and seeks to keep a firm grip on the resource-rich area, is opposing the regional allies and trans-regional powers that are establishing ties with Germany in order to acquire maximum influence. By strengthening their military and economic ties in the area, the US, Germany, Australia, and India are getting closer to one another. This new military and economic chessboard has created several exciting opportunities for regional security and stability. Only prudent policies can establish a balance that would promote economic cooperation and competition, which could eventually result in the regional states' economic prosperity.

References

- Angela Stanzel, (10 September 2022) Germany's Strategic Vision for the Indo-Pacific <https://spfusa.org/publications/germanys-strategic-vision-for-the-indo-pacific/>
- Angshuman Choudhury, (26 August 2022) Europe in the Indo-Pacific: Germany and France Flex Their Muscles, <https://thediplomat.com/2022/08/europe-in-the-indo-pacific-germany-and-france-flex-their-muscles/>
- Bundesregierung. (2021). *Siebter Bericht der Bundesregierung über die Entwicklung und Zukunftsperspektiven der maritimen Wirtschaft in Deutschland [Seventh report of the federal government on the development and future prospects of the maritime industry in Germany]*. <https://www.bmwi.de/Redaktion/DE/Downloads/B/bericht-der-bundesregierung-ueber-die-entwicklung-und-zukunftsperspektiven-der-maritimen-wirtschaft-in-deutschland.pdf>
- Bennhold, K., & Ewing, J. (2020). In Huawei battle, China threatens Germany “where it hurts”: Automakers'. *NewYorkTimes*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/01/16/world/europe/huawei-germany-china5g-automakers.html>.
- Cerulus, L. (2021). *Germany falls in line with the EU on Huawei*'. <https://www.politico.eu/article/germany-europe-huawei-5g-data-privacy-cybersecurity/>.
- Exercise Pitch Black. (2022). *Exercise Pitch Black Air Force*. <https://www.airforce.gov.au/news-and-events/events/exercise-pitch-black>
- Fallon, T., Mansfield, E. D., & Pollins, B. M. (2021). The strategic implications of the China–EU investment deal'. In E. D. Mansfield, B. M. Pollins, & eds (Eds.), *Economic interdependence and international conflict: new perspectives on an enduring debate*. University of Michigan

- Press. <https://thediplomat.com/2021/01/the-strategic-implications-of-the-China-eu-investment-deal/>.51
- Federal Foreign Office. (2020, September 1). Germany—Europe—Asia: shaping the twenty-first century together’: the German government adopts policy guidelines on the Indo-Pacific region.<https://www.auswaertigesamt.de/en/aussenpolitik/regionaleschwerpunkte/asien/german-government-policy-guidelines-indo-pacific/2380510>
- Federal Government of Germany. (2020, August). Policy guidelines for the Indo-Pacific. Germany—Europe—Asia: shaping the twenty-first century together. <https://www.auswaertigesamt.de/blob/2380514/f9784f7e3b3fa1bd7c5446d274a4169e/200901-indo-pazifik-leitlinien--1--data.pdf>
- Fleischer, J. (2020). *Federal minister of defence underlines relevance of Indo-Pacific region*. Federal Ministry of Defence. <https://www.bmvg.de/en/news/akk-underlines-relevance-of-indo-pacific-region-5013700>.
- Fleischer. (2021). *Federal minister of defence underlines relevance of Indo-Pacific region’; Federal Ministry of Defence, Fokus Indo-Pazifik: Ministerin tauscht sich mit Amtskollegen aus Singapur aus [Focus on Indo-Pacific: Minister exchanges views with counterparts from Singapore*. <https://www.bmvg.de/de/aktuelles/fokus-indo-pazifik-ministerin-amtskollegin-singapur-5206970>.
- Gabriel, S., & Larres, K. (2016). *China and Germany: The honeymoon is over*. <https://thediplomat.com/2016/11/china-and-germany-the-honeymoon-is-over/>.
- Göran Swistek. (2021). ‘Quadratur des Kreises im Indo-Pazifik’ [Squaring the circle in the Indo-Pacific], *SWP-Aktuell*,
- Grare. (2020). *Germany’s new approach to the Indo-Pacific’; Till Fährnders, ‘Deutsche Strategie für Indo-Pazifik erzürnt China*. <https://www.faz.net/aktuell/politik/ausland/deutsche-indo-pazifik-strategie-warnsignal-anChina-17042642.html>.
- Gutschker, T. (2012). *Zwei Streber* (p. 10).
- Hall, B. (2020). *Germany frets over its corporate dependency on China*. <https://www.ft.com/content/0387a039-944f-4de5-8d41-7e22b7600563>.
- Hans Kundnani, Q. (2015). Leaving the West behind: Germany looks east’. *Foreign Affairs*, 94,1.
- Heiduk, F. (2014). What is in a name? Germany’s strategic partnerships with Asia’s rising powers. *Asia Europe Journal*, 13, 2.
- Indo-Pacific Deployment. (September 2, 2020). <https://www.bundeswehr.de/en/organization/navy/news/indo-pacific-deployment-2021>
- Jaeger, M. (2021) ‘Germany between a rock and a hard place in China–US competition’, *DGAP Commentary*.
- Jain, R. K. (2023). India and Germany. In *India and Europe in a Changing World: Context, Confrontation, Cooperation* (pp. 19-63). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. Agarwal, S. K., & Hussain, T. (2023). Indo-German relationship: Expanding India’s Influence at the Global Stage. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 3(2).
- Karnitschnig, M., & Vela, J. H. (2020). *Germany’s economy minister defends Berlin’s muted response to China’s crackdown in Hong Kong*. Politico. <https://www.politico.com/news/2020/07/15/germany-hong-kong-china-365499>.
- Kramp-Karrenbauer. (2021). *Multilateralismus nach vorne bringen*. 93 *Ross*, ‘On the fungibility of economic power’. 94 *World Bank*. World integrated trade solution. <https://wits.worldbank.org/countrysnapshot/en/>
- Kundnani, H. (2011). Germany as a Geo-economic Power. *The Washington Quarterly*, 34(3), 31-45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2011.587950>
- Kundnani, H. (2013). *The Ostpolitik illusion*. <https://internationalepolitik.de/de/dieostpolitik-illusion>.
- Kundnani, H., & Parello-Plesner, J. (2012). *China and Germany: Why the Emerging Special Relationship Matters for Europe*. United Kingdom: European Council on Foreign Relations.
- Maas, H. (2019a). *European digital sovereignty is a long overdue, interview*. <https://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/en/newsroom/news/maas-zeit/2285502>.

- Maas, H. (2019b). Germany and India: “Pulling in the same direction on security policy issues”. In *Interview, Federal Foreign Office*. <https://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/en/newsroom/news/maas-interviewsindia/2262494>.
- Maas, H. (2020). *We’ve been waiting for this for a long time*. interview, Federal Foreign Office. <https://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/en/newsroom/news/maas-spiegel/2424958>.
- Marles, H. R. (2022). *Opinion Piece, Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, 30 August 2022*. Australian Government Defense
- Mauil, H. (28 Dec. 2020). ‘Germany’s painful wriggle between China and the US, *Nikkei Asia*, <https://asia.nikkei.com/Opinion/Germany-s-painful-wriggle-between-China-and-the-US>
- Meijer, H. (2022). *Awakening to China's Rise: European Foreign and Security Policies Toward the People's Republic of China*. United Kingdom: OUP Oxford.
- Merkel, A. (2019). *Quoted in Deutscher Bundestag Stenografischer Bericht* (p. 10482).
- Miller, J. (2020). *Germany flexes its muscles on foreign investment*. <https://www.ft.com/content/54f92ca5-5380-466b-95f8-3e98b40ebc82>.
- Office, F. F. (2016). *Rede von Staatssekretär Markus Ederer „China’s Belt and Road Initiative in context”*. <https://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/de/newsroom/161109-sts-e-China/285218>.
- Pejsova, E. (2023). *The EU’s Naval Presence in the Indo-Pacific: What is it Worth?* Hague Centre for Strategic Studies (HCSS).
- Reuters (2024). *Germany sends two warships to Indo-Pacific amid China and Taiwan tensions*. <https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/germany-sends-two-warships-indo-pacific-amid-china-taiwan-tensions-2024-05-07/>
- Reuters (2024). *Germany to send two warships to Indo-Pacific in 2024 amid South China Sea tensions*. <https://www.reuters.com/world/germany-send-two-warships-indo-pacific-2024-amid-south-china-sea-tensions-2023-06-04/>
- Schneider, P. (2012). German maritime security governance: a perspective on the Indian Ocean region. *Journal of the Indian Ocean Region*, 8(2), 142-164.
- Siemtje Möller., (2022, November 2). *German defense state secretary: What “Zeitenwende” really means*. Atlantic Council. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/new-atlanticist/german-deputy-defense-secretary-what-zeitenwende-really-means/>
- Swistek, G. (2021). Squaring the circle in the Indo-Pacific. *SWP News*. doi:10.18449/2021A29
- Tan, S. S. (2020). Consigned to hedge: Southeast Asia and America's ‘free and open Indo-Pacific’ strategy. *International Affairs*, 96(1), 131-148. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiz227>
- Taussig, T. (2019). Germany’s Incomplete Pivot to the Indo-Pacific. In S. Stirling (Ed.), *Mind the Gap: National Views of the Free and Open Indo-Pacific* (pp. 22–26). German Marshall Fund of the United States. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep21474.7>
- Ulatowski, R. (2022). Germany in the Indo-Pacific region: Strengthening the liberal order and regional security. *International Affairs*, 98(2), 383-402. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iia008>
- Welt, C. (2019). Ukraine: background, conflict with Russia, and US Policy. Congressional Research Service, 1-46.