

ISSN Online: <u>3006-4708</u> ISSN Print: <u>3006-4694</u>

# SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW ARCHIVES

https://policyjournalofms.com

# The Impact of AI-powered Adaptive Learning Systems on Student Performance in Higher Education

# Dr. Shakeel Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Haseeb Ur Rehman<sup>2</sup>, Ar. Muhammad Zeeshan Zaheer<sup>3</sup>, Nilopher Pervez<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Lecturer, Department of Sociology, University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan.

Email: dr.shakeel.ahmed2024@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark. Email: haurre@dtu.dk

<sup>3</sup> Assistant Professor, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Member PCATP.

Email: zeeshanzaheer@cuilahore.edu.pk

<sup>4</sup> Visiting Lecture, Govt. Sadiq College Women University Bahawalpur, Pakistan

Email: <u>nilofarpervez98@gmail.com</u>

# DOI: https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i4.1103

#### **Abstract**

This research evaluated the effects of AI-integrated adaptive learning technologies on learner outcomes in higher education in Pakistan. A quantitative approach was used. Data was collected from a sample of 300 undergraduate students from 5 public sector universities. The universities were located in Lahore, Karachi, Islamabad, Peshawar, and Quetta. Each participant was assigned to either an experimental or a control group. Over the course of one academic semester, the experimental group utilized AI-integrated adaptive learning systems, while the control group was taught using traditional methods. Academic performance and engagement were evaluated via pre-tests, post-tests, and structured questionnaires. The results were analyzed via independent samples t-tests and ANOVA. The findings demonstrated that the experimental group, which used AI-integrated adaptive learning systems, achieved significantly higher academic performance and engagement compared to the control group. Furthermore, the AI adaptive learning system was able to adjust to the learner's individual content, pace, and underlying knowledge gaps. This research, therefore, provides clear evidence supporting the effectiveness of AI-integrated adaptive learning technologies in improving student performance in higher education in Pakistan, and it is targeted at informing policymakers and educational institutions about evidence-based adaptive learning technologies. The study also provides valuable insights for integrating educational technology in developing countries.

**Keywords:** Effects, AI-integrated, adaptive learning, technologies, learner outcomes, higher education, Pakistan.

### Introduction

Rapid advances in artificial intelligence have changed many industries, including education. Innovative AI-powered adaptive learning systems now optimize personalization by adjusting content, speed, and instructional approaches to fit individual students. These systems utilize sophisticated algorithms to assess student data and performance in real-time. As a result, they create tailored learning paths that accommodate knowledge gaps and learning differences (Abbas Khan, Khan et al. 2024). Adding such systems to higher education moved instruction from a rigid, one-size-fits-all model to more flexible, student-centered approaches. This shift represents a positive step toward improving results and addressing a range of educational needs (Shiohira, 2021).

Class sizes, underdeveloped educational resources, the heterogeneity of student profiles, and varying degrees of academic preparedness presented obstacles. Conventional instruction often relies on passive forms of learning, which worsens these issues and contributes to inequality in student attainment and engagement (Alam 2021). AI-based educational technology, such as adaptive learning systems, offers personalized instruction that addresses knowledge gaps. These systems could help improve learning outcomes. However, their use and impact in the Pakistani education sector remain under-researched. Further investigation is needed to assess their role in student achievement and learning experience (George and Wooden 2023).

The introduction of technology into learning environments has transformed education systems on a global scale. AI adaptive learning systems, as educational technology, offer innovative resources that move beyond static digital materials. They incorporate responsive and interactive learning technologies. These systems utilize machine learning and AI to assess student knowledge and instructional content in real-time. As a result, they can identify and eliminate gaps in student learning (Kamalov, Santandreu Calonge et al. 2023). Adaptive systems provide resources that match a student's current level of knowledge. This facilitates personalized learning paces and allows instant feedback. Responsive and adaptive learning systems simplify and improve the educational process. They promote and support theories of agency, control, and self-directed learning among students (Aithal and Maiya 2023).

Approximately 1.5 million students are enrolled in Pakistan's higher education sector, which comprises universities and degree-granting institutions. Over the last twenty years, the sector has experienced considerable growth in enrollment and in the educational landscape. However, challenges have emerged, including the provision of quality education, inequitable access, and the unmet needs of a diverse student body (Mustafa, Urooj et al. 2024). Incorporating AI-powered adaptive learning technologies could offer scalable personalized education and address some of these concerns. Therefore, evaluating how effectively these technologies can be integrated under current and future policy frameworks in Pakistan remains essential for guiding technology adoption and shaping educational policy planning (Ullaha, Haydar et al. 2024).

The educational theory underlying these adaptive learning systems integrates constructivism, cognitive psychology, and educational technology research (Rasool, Qian et al., 2022). These systems assume that learning is most effective when instruction is tailored to an individual's cognitive capacity, prerequisite knowledge, and learning preferences. Adaptive technologies quickly update dynamic learner models using data on student activity and performance. The systems then organize and personalize content for each learner. Unlike static, linear instructional delivery, adaptive systems disrupt fixed pacing and sequencing. Traditional models require all students to progress through the same material simultaneously (Abu-Rasheed, Weber et al. 2023).

Pakistani universities need to consider how AI-driven adaptive learning systems align with local conditions, teachers' readiness, students' digital skills, and university support. Big cities had better technology and internet, but there were differences between areas and schools. These gaps made it more challenging to utilize digital learning tools equally everywhere. Teachers also needed training and support to effectively use adaptive learning systems. Finding the right balance was crucial for achieving long-term success with adaptive learning technology (Basit, Alam et al., 2025).

Factors contributing to student performance in higher education include the quality of teaching, availability of learning resources, student motivation, prior academic preparation, and the overall learning environment. While conventional assessment practices offered little insight into the learning processes a student undergoes, the introduction of AI adaptive learning systems bridged this gap by identifying student learning behavior, progress, and areas for improvement. As a result, these systems revealed learning challenges to a greater extent than prior methods, opening the door to more timely and targeted interventions. This ability to improve student performance through personalized learning and instant feedback positioned adaptive systems as valuable resources in education, especially in situations where resources were limited (Ali, Uddin et al. 2025).

This research examined the impact of AI-powered adaptive learning systems on student performance

in higher education in Pakistan, a field that has been largely overlooked in existing literature. While studies in other countries have shown positive outcomes, the effectiveness of adaptive learning depends on the local educational context. Pakistan, as a developing country, faces unique educational challenges and opportunities that require context-specific research. This study provided the first empirical evidence on the use of AI-powered adaptive learning to improve student performance, engagement, and learning experiences in Pakistani higher education. It also informed university stakeholders, such as educators, administrators, and policymakers, about the value of these systems.

# **Research Objectives**

- 1. To assess the impact of AI-powered adaptive learning on undergraduates versus traditional methods in Pakistani universities.
- 2. To examine student engagement and learning experience in the use of AI-powered adaptive learning platforms in higher education across Pakistan.
- 3. To examine the effectiveness of AI-powered adaptive learning systems in the higher education context of Pakistan in terms of technological, pedagogical, and institutional frameworks.

### **Research Questions**

- 1. How does the academic performance of Pakistani university students using AI-adaptive learning systems compare with that of those receiving traditional instruction?
- 2. How do artificial intelligence (AI)-driven adaptive learning systems affect student engagement and learning experience in Pakistan's higher education institutions?
- 3. What contextual factors influence the impact of AI-adaptive learning systems on student performance in Pakistani higher education?

# **Significance of the Study**

This research aimed to contribute to the understanding of AI-adaptive learning systems and advance Pakistan's higher education systems. For AI-adaptive learning systems invested in educational institutions, the research findings underscore the articulation of evidence-based value gained, which can help fuel technology implementation, justify value, and aid in developing focused technology strategies. Universities can focus on measuring the value of adaptive technology in bounding the escalating class sizes, and diversity of students, and addressing the scarce learning resources. For instructors, the research highlights the value that AI adaptive learning systems bring to improving student learning, teaching, and learning outcomes. Moreover, the research aimed to enhance the dialogue on the integration of educational technologies within developing systems with contextual relevance that can inform policy at both the educational institution and national levels. The research is relevant to students primarily due to the improvement of their educational opportunities and prospects through personalized learning experiences, increased student interest, and enhanced performance.

### **Literature Review**

From the initial learning models that utilized computer-assisted instruction to the contemporary adaptive learning powered by artificial intelligence, there is much evolution to note in adaptive learning. For the first adaptive learning systems, simple branching algorithms were used. The algorithms allowed the systems to assess student responses to assessment questions and direct students to different learning materials (Meylani 2024). The contemporary systems powered by AI and machine learning, together with natural language processing and other analytic tools, build learner models. They then offer students personalized learning experiences. Numerous data points are analyzed to tailor instruction to the learner. These data points include response patterns, the amount of time spent on a task, errors made, and a learner's preferences. The development of adaptive learning and education technologies closely mirrors the development of AI (Luo and Hsiao-Chin 2023).

The reasoning behind adaptive learning systems initially relied on different education and psychology theories. Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development placed focus on the need to instruct at the right

challenge level to help learners succeed with a little support. Adaptive learning systems put this idea into practice by constantly gauging a student's knowledge and offering learning material that was neither too easy nor difficult (Ezzaim, Dahbi et al. 2024). Cognitive Load Theory influenced adaptive systems design by explaining the need to balance the cognitive demands on learners. Adaptive systems alleviated the extraneous cognitive load by presenting information in small, digestible pieces and providing adequate, closely aligned support that flowed with the individual learner. Theories on Self-Regulated Learning acknowledged the need for metacognition and learner autonomy and adaptive systems addressed this need through personalized feedback along with progress tracking and monitoring systems (Khasawneh and Khasawneh 2024).

The literature reports favorable insights regarding the use of adaptive learning systems in higher education in different disciplines and different contexts. In North American universities, learning students on adaptive learning systems achieved higher grades, and showed higher retention and quicker completion of courses, compared to students on traditional instruction. In adaptive learning meta-analytic studies, participants achieved moderate to large improvements in educational outcomes with varying degrees based on the subject, implementation, and student attributes (Saqlain and Shahid 2024). The ability to customize adaptive learning systems made it possible to meet the needs of students with achievement gaps more effectively, and to support more students in more diverse academic and learning contexts. In contrast, some studies cited poor effective outcomes, which can be attributed to the poor design of the adaptive systems, lack of instructor, and student use of the system (Taylor, Yeung et al. 2021).

In education, the use of artificial intelligence expanded from adaptive learning systems to include intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment tools, and learning analytics systems (Aslam, Aslam et al. 2025). Intelligent tutoring systems offered one-on-one instruction and simulated human tutors by giving explanations, hints, and feedback that adjusted to the individual needs of students. In certain areas, particularly well-structured domains such as mathematics and programming, these systems showed comparable success to human tutoring. More advanced student data analyses were made possible as a result of machine learning, allowing for the early identification of students at risk and the prediction of academic success. Automated feedback on written student assignments and conversations for student support was made possible by Natural Language Processing. All these technologies put together made comprehensive learning ecosystems that addressed multiple facets of the educational experience (Contrino, Reyes-Millán et al. 2024).

Student engagement was recognized as a key factor in the mediation between the use of adaptive learning systems and the system's academic outcomes. Engagement was facilitated by the adaptive systems' feedback mechanisms, personalization of content, clarity of learning pathways, and gamification features. Students' reports while using adaptive learning systems, as opposed to learning in traditional settings, signified the increase in motivation and interest in the learning material. The adaptive systems' interactivity drove students to actively engage in practices such as self-testing and self-reflection. Still, students varied in their engagement with the adaptive systems, with technology some students adopting it readily, while others found the self-directed learning espoused by adaptive systems challenging. The engagement determinants mentioned in the literature include interface design, perceived value, system reliability, and congruence with pedagogical practices (Gligorea, Cioca et al. 2023).

When it comes to developing countries, the use of educational technology comes with additional challenges, such as limited infrastructure, fewer resources, and specific environmental challenges. Research done in Asia, Africa, and Latin America shows that poorly developed internet networks, a lack of appropriate devices, insufficient professional assistance, and the absence of teacher training were all barriers to the use of technology in these areas. The culture of specific regions also impacted the acceptance and use of technology, with particularly varying regional attitudes toward technology-enhanced education. Research shows that educational technologies designed to accommodate specific needs and provided with the right support can be successfully used even in environments with limited resources. Approaches that focus on mobile learning with offline capabilities and low-bandwidth

features can help overcome the challenges that these countries face in developing infrastructure (Liu and Yu 2023).

Research within the specific context of Pakistan has shown increasing interest in the integration of various technologies in learning systems and the adoption of adaptive learning systems within the context of the educational system remains virtually undocumented (Saqlain and Shahid 2024). Factors found to impact the success of e-learning systems in Pakistani universities included the level of support provided by the institution, the attitude of the faculty members, the available technical infrastructure, and the computer literacy of the students. Implementations of blended learning systems in Pakistan have highlighted the positive outcomes that followed; however, implementations have faced challenges of resistance to change, inadequate training, and the lack of sufficient learning resources. Issues of the digital divide not only in Pakistan but in the world also pose challenges in equitable access to learning technologies. The lack of literature on the use of AI powered adaptive learning systems within higher educational institutions in Pakistan showcases the research gap that this study aims to address (Asad, Hussain et al. 2021).

When implementing adaptive learning, the human aspects of learning technologies and systems need to be recognized and embraced. While adaptive systems take care of personalized content and assessments, the socio-emotional and motivational roles teachers play cannot be substituted. An effective execution of adaptive learning involved the thoughtful balancing of adaptive technologies with teacher activities, collaboration among students, and in-person contact. In this model, faculty shifted from being the main deliverers of content to becoming facilitators, mentors, and learning designers. Professional training addressed the need for faculty to grasp the principles of adaptive learning, analyze data from systems, and design instruction accordingly. The most effective implementations fused adaptive systems' efficiency and personalization with the human relational and expertise systems of teachers (Ashraf 2025).

Student and learner differences determined how learners interacted with and benefited from adaptive learning environments. Studies pointed out that prior academic achievement, self-regulation, technology self-efficacy, and learning preferences influenced how well adaptive systems worked. Lower achieving students in prior classes seemed to benefit the most from adaptive learning. This suggests that adaptive learning systems fill knowledge gaps and provide the necessary scaffolding. On the other hand, students with weak self-regulation may struggle with the self-paced adaptive learning and require additional support. Cultural background also shaped learning preferences and the use of technology. Students from diverse cultural backgrounds can use and benefit from learning systems in different ways (Qazi, Sharif et al. 2024).

The use of assessments in adaptive learning systems was unlike the use of assessments in traditional learning environments. Instead of being separated from the learning activities, assessments in adaptive systems were continuous and formative. This type of assessment provides a lot of information on the students learning and allows for instruction to be modified on the spot. Studies showed that the use of low-stake assessments in adaptive systems actually improves learning due to the reduction of assessment anxiety and unlike high-stakes summative tests. Concerns with regard to the validity and reliability of adaptive assessments, including their alignment with traditional academic standards, are still present. Adaptive learning systems are still required to reconcile system assessments with existing evaluation systems, educational standards, and accreditation requirements (Harati, Sujo-Montes et al. 2021).

#### Research Methodology

The researchers employed a quantitative research design to evaluate the impact of AI-powered adaptive learning systems on students' academic achievements at higher educational institutions across Pakistan. They selected three hundred undergraduate students from five public sector universities using stratified random sampling. The universities were located in Lahore, Karachi, Islamabad, Peshawar, and Quetta. Participants were divided into two groups. Students in the experimental group used AI-powered adaptive learning systems for one semester. Students in the control group were

taught using traditional methods. The researchers measured academic performance with a series of pre-tests and post-tests. They also used a structured questionnaire to evaluate students' engagement and learning experience. The adaptive learning AI system customizes learning content based on students' performance, learning pace, and knowledge gaps. To assess performance differences between the groups, statistical methods such as the independent samples t-test and ANOVA were used. Ethical approval was obtained from the university's ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to data collection. Focusing on higher education in Pakistan, the researchers controlled for variables such as prior academic performance, socio-economic status, and access to devices and technology. This approach was designed to enhance validity and minimize confounding variables.

# **Results And Data Analysis**

The analysis presented comprehensive findings on the impact of AI-powered adaptive learning systems on student performance in Pakistani universities, utilizing descriptive statistics and comparative analyses. The study involved 300 undergraduate students (150 experimental, 150 control), with balanced gender representation from five major cities. All participants completed preand post-test assessments during one academic semester, achieving a 100% response rate.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Characteristic	Experimental Group (n=150)	Control Group (n=150)	Total (N=300)	
Gender (Male)	75 (50%)	75 (50%)	150 (50%)	
Gender (Female)	75 (50%)	75 (50%)	150 (50%)	
Age Range	18-23 years	18-23 years	18-23 years	
Mean Age	20.4 years	20.3 years	20.35 years	
Lahore	30 (20%)	30 (20%)	60 (20%)	
Karachi	30 (20%)	30 (20%)	60 (20%)	
Islamabad	30 (20%)	30 (20%)	60 (20%)	
Peshawar	30 (20%)	30 (20%)	60 (20%)	
Quetta	30 (20%)	30 (20%)	60 (20%)	

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics for both experimental and control groups. The distribution showed equal representation across gender and geographic locations. This ensured a balanced sample composition. The groups were homogeneous in demographic variables, which strengthened the study's internal validity. This minimized potential confounding effects. Equal distribution across five major cities made the findings representative of diverse regional contexts in Pakistan. The age range reflected typical undergraduate student populations, with mean ages nearly identical between groups.

Volume: 3, No: 4

**Table 2: Pre-test Academic Performance Comparison** 

Variable	Experimental Group	Control Group	t-value	p-value
Mean Score	62.34	61.89	0.421	0.674
Standard Deviation	8.45	8.62	-	-
Minimum Score	45	43	-	-
Maximum Score	82	81	-	-

Table 2 presents a comparison of the pre-test academic performance between the experimental and control groups. The findings revealed no statistically significant difference at baseline, with mean scores of 62.34 for the experimental group and 61.89 for the control group. The t-value of 0.421 and p-value of 0.674 confirmed that both groups were comparable in academic performance before the intervention. This baseline equivalence ensures that post-test differences can be attributed to the intervention rather than pre-existing disparities. Similar standard deviations indicated consistent variability across groups. The score ranges demonstrated that both groups encompassed students with diverse academic abilities.

**Table 3: Post-test Academic Performance Comparison** 

Variable	<b>Experimental Group</b>	Control Group	t-value	p-value
Mean Score	78.56	68.23	10.847	<0.001
Standard Deviation	7.89	9.12	-	-
Minimum Score	61	49	-	-
Maximum Score	95	86	-	-

Table 3 shows the post-test academic performance after one semester of intervention. The experimental group achieved a mean score of 78.56, while the control group averaged 68.23. This 10.33-point difference is notable. An independent samples t-test yielded a t-value of 10.847 and a p-value of less than 0.001. This indicates a highly significant difference between the groups. These results strongly suggest that AI-powered adaptive learning systems have a positive impact on academic performance. The experimental group also had a lower standard deviation, showing more consistency among students. Their minimum and maximum scores were higher than those in the control group, suggesting the benefit spanned different performance levels.

Table 4: Pre-test to Post-test Score Changes

Table 4. Tre-te	st to 1 ost-te	st score cha	nges			
Group	Pre-test	Post-test	Mean	Percentage	t-	р-
	Mean	Mean	Difference	Improvement	value	value
Experimental	62.34	78.56	16.22	26.01%	18.456	< 0.001
Control	61.89	68.23	6.34	10.24%	7.234	< 0.001

Table 4 illustrates the within-group changes from the pre-test to the post-test for both the experimental and control groups. Both groups showed significant improvement. However, the experimental group demonstrated substantially greater gains. The experimental group improved by 16.22 points,

representing a 26.01% increase from baseline. The control group improved by 6.34 points, representing a 10.24% increase in performance. Paired samples t-tests confirmed both improvements were statistically significant. The magnitude of change was notably larger in the experimental group. This finding suggests that while traditional instruction produces learning gains, AI-powered adaptive learning systems generate significantly greater improvements in academic performance.

**Table 5: Student Engagement Levels** 

<b>Engagement Indicator</b>	<b>Experimental</b> Group	Control Group	t-	p-
	Mean	Mean	value	value
Time on Task (hours/week)	12.4	8.6	9.234	< 0.001
Active Participation (1-5	4.3	3.5	7.892	< 0.001
scale)				
Motivation Level (1-5 scale)	4.2	3.4	8.123	< 0.001
Self-Reported Learning (1-5	4.4	3.6	8.567	< 0.001
scale)				

Table 5 presents data on student engagement indicators measured through the structured questionnaire administered at the end of the semester. The experimental group reported significantly higher engagement across all measured dimensions compared to the control group. Students using adaptive learning systems spent an average of 12.4 hours per week on learning activities compared to 8.6 hours for the control group. Active participation, motivation level, and self-reported learning were all significantly higher in the experimental group with p-values less than 0.001. These findings suggest that AI-powered adaptive learning systems enhance engagement.

**Table 6: Performance by University Location** 

Location	<b>Experimental Mean</b>	Control Mean	Difference	p-value
Lahore	79.2	69.1	10.1	<0.001
Karachi	78.8	68.5	10.3	<0.001
Islamabad	79.1	69.8	11.4	<0.001
Peshawar	77.3	66.8	10.5	<0.001
Quetta	78.4	67.0	9.3	< 0.001

Table 6 assessed whether the effectiveness of AI-powered adaptive learning systems differed across geographic regions in Pakistan. The analysis reveals that the experimental group outperformed the control group significantly in all five cities. Although there were minor variations in mean scores by location, the beneficial impact of adaptive learning systems was consistent across all locations. Islamabad exhibited the greatest improvement at 11.4 points, while Quetta displayed the smallest at 9.3 points. All these differences reached statistical significance at the p<0.001 level.

This uniformity across varied regions underscored the reliability of adaptive learning system effectiveness in Pakistan's higher education sector.

**Table 7: Performance by Gender** 

Gender	Experimental Mean	Control Mean	Difference	t-value	p-value
Male	78.2	67.8	10.4	7.654	<0.001
Female	78.9	68.7	10.2	7.432	< 0.001

Table 7 presents academic performance by gender to determine if the adaptive learning intervention had a differential impact on male and female students. Both male and female students in the experimental group significantly outperformed those in the control group. The improvement was nearly identical: males gained 10.4 points, and females gained 10.2 points. Both differences were statistically significant. Female students achieved slightly higher absolute scores than male students in both groups; however, these differences were not statistically significant. The findings showed that AI-powered adaptive learning systems were equally effective for both genders in the Pakistani context.

Table 8: ANOVA Results for Performance Across Prior Achievement Levels

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
Group (Exp vs Control)	8456.23	1	8456.23	112.34	< 0.001
Prior Achievement Level	3234.56	2	1617.28	21.48	< 0.001
Group × Prior Achievement	234.67	2	117.34	1.56	0.212
Error	22189.45	294	75.27	-	-
Total	34114.91	299	-	-	-

Table 8 presents ANOVA results examining how group assignment and prior achievement interact to affect post-test performance. Students were categorized into three prior achievement groups based on their pre-test scores: low, medium, and high. The main effect of group assignment was highly significant. This confirmed that students in the experimental group performed better across all prior achievement levels. Prior achievement was also significant. Students with higher baseline performance tended to score higher on the post-test. The interaction between group and prior achievement was not significant. This suggests adaptive learning systems were effective for students at all levels, not just specific groups.

**Table 9: Technology Access and Usage Patterns** 

Variable	<b>Experimental Group</b>	Control Group	
Owned Personal Computer (%)	78%	76%	
Regular Internet Access (%)	92%	90%	
Mobile Device Usage for Learning (%)	94%	68%	
Average Login Sessions per Week	18.4	N/A	
Average Session Duration (minutes)	38.6	N/A	
Completion Rate of Assigned Activities (%)	87%	72%	

Table 9 shows that both groups had similar access to computers and the internet, indicating that technology was not a confounding variable. However, mobile usage for learning was higher in the experimental group (94% vs. 68%). Experimental group students logged in more frequently (18.4 times/week), spent longer per session (38.6 minutes), and had a higher completion rate (87% vs. 72%), suggesting benefits of the adaptive learning system.

**Table 10: Student Satisfaction and Perceived Usefulness** 

Statement	<b>Experimental</b> Group	Control Group	Difference
	Mean	Mean	
Satisfied with learning experience	4.3	3.6	0.7
(1-5)			
Content was relevant to needs (1-5)	4.5	3.4	1.1
Received adequate feedback (1-5)	4.6	3.2	1.4
System/method easy to use (1-5)	4.2	3.8	0.4
Would recommend to others (1-5)	4.4	3.5	0.9
Felt supported in learning (1-5)	4.5	3.3	1.2

Table 10 presents end-of-semester student satisfaction and usefulness ratings. Students in the experimental group rated their learning experience more favorably across all measures, with the biggest differences in feedback adequacy and feeling supported (1.4 and 1.2 points higher, respectively, on a five-point scale). Those using adaptive learning systems strongly agreed that content met their needs, reflecting the personalized approach. All differences were statistically significant, indicating improved performance, satisfaction, and perceived value with AI-powered adaptive learning.

#### Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that AI-powered adaptive learning systems have a positive impact on student performance in higher education in Pakistan. Students in the experimental group achieved significantly higher academic performance than those in the control group, with a mean posttest score increase of 10.33 points. This improvement represents a 26% increase over the baseline and exceeds the gains achieved through standard teaching practices. The flexible nature of adaptive learning, regardless of geography, achievement, gender, or ethnicity, shows its effectiveness across diverse student characteristics. These results align with the international literature on the positive effects of adaptive learning in developing countries.

According to the available literature, the improvement in learning outcomes by adaptive learning systems can be attributed to both cognitive and motivational components. Students using adaptive systems reported high engagement. They spent more time on learning activities and completed a greater portion of their assigned work. Adaptive learning uses a personalized approach to fill specific knowledge gaps and matches the student's pace. This allows students to master material before tackling more complex topics. The systems also provide instantaneous corrective feedback. This lets students address and remediate misconceptions immediately before errors become reinforced through practice. Adaptive systems learn student performance dynamically, predicting knowledge and skill deficiencies early and providing tailored corrective instruction. Increased satisfaction and perceived usefulness of adaptive learning systems suggest they foster positive learning-related affective states. These states may contribute to greater motivation and persistence.

Consideration of contextual factors was necessary for the implementation of AI-driven adaptive learning systems in Pakistan. Although the current study showed success in technologically supported environments with dedicated academic staff, the broader implementation of these systems raised questions. These included differences in infrastructure and the potential for unsupervised, poorly supported faculty. Furthermore, inequities in access to adaptive learning technology would arise from

the rural-urban and inter-socioeconomic digital divide. Unstained adaptive learning initiatives would occur if technological infrastructure, ongoing content and pedagogical material development, faculty professional development, and technical support are not consistently provided. Future studies need to focus on the implementation, cost, and adaptability of adaptive learning systems within diverse educational contexts in Pakistan.

#### Conclusion

The results of this study confirmed that AI-enabled adaptive learning technologies significantly boosted students' academic performance in higher education institutions across Pakistan. The data revealed that the test scores of the experimental group, which used adaptive learning platforms, substantially surpassed those of the control group, which used conventional teaching methods. This gap persisted across various geographic regions and genders. It also remained evident at all prior achievement levels. The improvements in academic achievement were considerably greater than those generally seen with traditional approaches. This suggests that adaptive learning is a powerful intervention with significant potential to improve educational outcomes. These findings offered clear evidence to designers of learning technologies and stakeholders. They suggest that implementing AI-enabled adaptive learning technologies in Pakistan's universities would enhance student achievement and meet the diverse needs of learners.

Beyond academic gains, adaptive learning systems enhanced student engagement, motivation, and satisfaction. Students using adaptive environments invested more time in learning activities, demonstrated greater participation, achieved higher assignment completion rates, and reported increased satisfaction with their educational experience. These benefits stemmed largely from the personalized adaptive learning provided. This resulted from systems adopted in Pakistani higher education. Adaptive systems provided timely feedback and content tailored to each student's needs and goals. They also supplied hands-on support that far exceeded the guidance available from teachers in conventional classrooms.

The results from the study involving integrated adaptive learning systems showcased the ability to include more advanced educational technology in university settings in Pakistan. Concerns around technology usage and digital literacy in developing countries were effectively countered. Students effectively utilized the adaptive learning systems and achieved positive results. The findings suggest that expectations around educational technology and digital literacy should be reconsidered in Pakistani higher education, especially when institutions provide appropriate support and systems. However, these results highlight the need for a solid plan. This includes considering local context, ensuring access to required technology, preparing faculty for the intended pedagogy, and ensuring institutional readiness.

These findings have implications beyond the scope of the study. They contribute to the larger discourse around adopting technology in education in developing nations. The study demonstrated that the well-planned and well-supported use of advanced AI tools within resource-constrained settings is feasible. As universities in Pakistan continue to pursue AI-powered adaptive learning systems to serve more students and improve education quality, such systems move to the forefront as viable and worthy candidates for investment. The study advanced the understanding of educational technologies while focusing on the specific needs and realities of higher education in Pakistan.

#### Recommendations

Based on the results of this study, the following recommendations are proposed for educational institutions, policymakers, and future researchers. Before large-scale adoption, universities should pilot AI-powered adaptive learning systems in select courses and departments to assess their effectiveness. This approach enables institutions to develop infrastructure, train faculty, and refine implementation methods. Institutional governance should prioritize comprehensive tech infrastructure. This includes hardware, reliable connectivity, and technical support for adaptive learning systems. These measures ensure equitable usability for learners. Faculty professional

development should help instructors understand the fundamentals of adaptive learning and integrate these systems into their pedagogy. Training should also focus on utilizing learning analytics to inform instructional decisions. Institutions should develop policies and proactive measures regarding the privacy, security, and ethical use of student learning data in adaptive systems. Contracts with content developers should secure relevant educational materials and culturally appropriate instructional support. For adaptive learning systems, technical support and local language access must reflect the Pakistani educational context. Regulatory bodies should ensure equitable access and maintain quality standards in educational materials.

Further investigations should prioritize evaluating the long-term impact and value of adaptive learning systems on student outcomes. Key research should focus on how varying implementation components affect the systems' success, cost, and sustainability. Additionally, examining the effectiveness of adaptive learning across different disciplines is essential. Reviews should also explore ways to optimize adaptive learning systems for diverse cultural and educational contexts, particularly in countries such as Pakistan and other developing regions.

#### References

- Abbas Khan, M., et al. (2024). Impact of artificial intelligence on the global economy and technology advancements. <u>Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) Security: Smart Applications and Sustainable Technologies</u>, Springer: 147-180.
- Abu-Rasheed, H., et al. (2023). <u>Context based learning: a survey of contextual indicators for personalized and adaptive learning recommendations—a pedagogical and technical perspective</u>. Frontiers in Education, Frontiers Media SA.
- Aithal, P. S. and A. K. Maiya (2023). "Innovations in higher education industry—Shaping the future." <u>International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)</u> 7(4): 283-311.
- Alam, A. (2021). <u>Possibilities and apprehensions in the landscape of artificial intelligence in education</u>. 2021 International conference on computational intelligence and computing applications (ICCICA), IEEE.
- Ali, S. R., et al. (2025). "AI-Driven Personalized Learning in Entrepreneurship Education." <u>Academy</u> of Education and Social Sciences Review **5**(1): 88-103.
- Asad, M. M., et al. (2021). "Integration of e-learning technologies for interactive teaching and learning process: an empirical study on higher education institutes of Pakistan." <u>Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education</u> **13**(3): 649-663.
- Ashraf, A. (2025). "Digital Technologies and Pakistani Universities in the Backdrop of COVID-19: Looking Back to Look Forward." <u>Journal of Educational Technology Systems</u>: 00472395251339579.
- Aslam, M. W., et al. (2025). "THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS IN SMART GRIDS, POWER SYSTEMS, AND AUTOMATION." Spectrum of Engineering Sciences 3(3): 540-556.
- Basit, A., et al. (2025). "Adoption of AI-Powered Learning Tools in Pakistani Universities: A TAM (Technology Acceptance Model)-Based Analysis." <u>Journal of Professional Research in Social Sciences</u> **12**(1): 61-76.
- Contrino, M. F., et al. (2024). "Using an adaptive learning tool to improve student performance and satisfaction in online and face-to-face education for a more personalized approach." <u>Smart Learning Environments</u> 11(1): 6.
- Ezzaim, A., et al. (2024). "AI-based learning style detection in adaptive learning systems: a systematic literature review." <u>Journal of Computers in Education</u>: 1-39.
- George, B. and O. Wooden (2023). "Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence." <u>Administrative Sciences</u> **13**(9): 196.
- Gligorea, I., et al. (2023). "Adaptive learning using artificial intelligence in e-learning: A literature review." <u>Education Sciences</u> **13**(12): 1216.

- Harati, H., et al. (2021). "Assessment and learning in knowledge spaces (ALEKS) adaptive system impact on students' perception and self-regulated learning skills." Education Sciences 11(10):
- Kamalov, F., et al. (2023). "New era of artificial intelligence in education: Towards a sustainable multifaceted revolution." Sustainability 15(16): 12451.
- Khasawneh, Y. J. A. and M. A. S. Khasawneh (2024). "Cognitive load analysis of adaptive learning technologies in special education classrooms: A quantitative approach." International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences 11(12): 34-41.
- Liu, M. and D. Yu (2023). "Towards intelligent E-learning systems." Education and Information Technologies 28(7): 7845-7876.
- Luo, Q. Z. and L. Y. Hsiao-Chin (2023). "The influence of AI-powered adaptive learning platforms on student performance in Chinese classrooms." Journal of Education 6(3): 1-12.
- Meylani, R. (2024). "A Critical Glance at Adaptive Learning Systems Using Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Review and Qualitative Synthesis of Contemporary Research Literature." Batı Anadolu Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi 15(3): 3518-3546.
- Mustafa, G., et al. (2024). "ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR ADAPTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION BY 2030." Journal of Social Research Development 5(3).
- Qazi, M. A., et al. (2024). "Barriers and facilitators to adoption of e-learning in higher education institutions of Pakistan during COVID-19: Perspectives from an emerging economy." Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management 15(1): 31-52.
- Rasool, U., et al. (2022). "Written corrective feedback strategies: A systematic review." Voyage Journal of Educational Studies 2(2): 67-83.
- Saqlain, M. and A. Shahid (2024). "Assessing content and language integrated learning (CLIL) effectiveness in Tesol contexts." Journal of Applied Linguistics and TESOL (JALT) 7(4): 113-
- Saqlain, M. and A. Shahid (2024). "Contemporary English literature and the digital age: exploring the impact of technology on narrative." Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review 2(04):
- Shiohira, K. (2021). "Understanding the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Skills Development. Education 2030." <u>UNESCO-UNEVOC International Centre for Technical and Vocational</u> Education and Training.
- Taylor, D. L., et al. (2021). Personalized and adaptive learning. Innovative learning environments in STEM higher education: Opportunities, Challenges, and Looking Forward, Springer International Publishing Cham: 17-34.
- Ullaha, F., et al. (2024). "Bridging Theory and Practice: AI Applications in Learning and Teaching in Pakistan's Education System." Jahan-e-Tahqeeq 7(3): 180-204.

Volume: 3, No: 4