ISSN Online: 3006-4708
ISSN Print: 3006-4694

SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW ARCHIVES
https://policyjournalofms.com

Future Of Higher Education: How AI Is Revolutionizing Teaching, Learning, And Research

Dr. Shakeel Ahmed[1], Hameed Akhtar Sanjrani[2], Ar. Muhammad Zeeshan Zaheer[3], Kamran Mujahid[4]
[1] Lecturer, Department of Sociology, University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan.
Email: dr.shakeel.ahmed2024@gmail.com
[2] Department of Business Administration, Federal Urdu University of Science and Technology Karachi Pakistan. Email: hameed.akhtar@fuuast.edu.pk
[3] Assistant Professor, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Member PCATP.
Email: zeeshanzaheer@cuilahore.edu.pk
[4] University Management Offices, University of Management & Technology, Lahore.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i3.1054

Abstract
Artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to transform the traditional higher education learning and teaching process, as well as for administrative activities, which makes it important to investigate the transforming AI impact on higher education in comprehensive mixed-methods approach. This research AI impact on higher education abstraction based on its implementation across 15 universities, consisting of 1080 participants, on Karachi, Lahore, and Islamabad, and Peshawar, Quetta, with AI use by faculty, students, and administrative staff, and their integration of AI into teaching, learning, and research activities. AI utilization in research activities, as well as teaching and learning, was investigated through the implementation of structured questionnaires, semi structured interviews, and classroom observations. 78 percent of faculty AI potential of improving the educational delivery, whilst 82 percent of students and positive attitudes towards AI use in learning. Still, many barriers to success were noted, infrastructure was 67 percent, inadequate training scored high at 71 percent, and the inability to change scored 54 percent. Transformative pedagogies, enhanced research, and flexible institutions were the dominant themes. AI contributes to personalized learning through revolutionized higher education automated assessments and accelerated research, which highlights the importance of strategic planning, infrastructure, faculty development programs, and investing AI to transform higher education. Results indicated a rural-urban imbalance, with urban institutions making greater progress than their rural counterparts, which were found to be at the early adoption a stage. The insights gained will be valuable for policymakers and educational leaders during AI centric higher education planning.
Keywords: Artificial intelligence (AI), potential, transform, traditional, higher education, learning, teaching, process, mixed-methods.

Introduction

Since its inception, artificial intelligence has changed the global higher education system in profound ways, bringing with it both new opportunities and new difficulties for academic institutions (George & Wooden, 2023). As the century unfolds, universities everywhere struggle with the proactivity artificial intelligence entails in teaching, learning, and research. This additional shift has compelled academic authorities to rethink old ways of teaching, adopting newer ways utilizing AI, NLP, and the data at hand to solve educational issues. This change in developing countries like Pakistan is more than revolutionary as higher education institutions try solving issues contemporaneously with the rest of the world (Katsamakas, Pavlov, & Saklad, 2024).

Pakistan boasts over 200 universities and degree-awarding institutes and serves 1.8 million students. Enrolment has expanded over the last two decades, and with it the sector is focusing on improving its quality and ability to compete globally. However, the refinement and incorporation of any new technology is controversial (Kamran, 2024). It is particularly problematic given the multiple resource and technological gaps in Pakistan and the culturally-sensitive ways this region approaches such advancements (Ahmed, Ahmed,

Barkat, & Ullah, 2022). The digital technology boom triggered by COVID-19 created a prime opportunity to assess Pakistan's pledges for revolutionary advancement in the delivery of higher education and its outcomes through AI (Ashraf, 2025). The rapid AI expansion in higher education outlines new territories from intelligent tutors, automated grading to predictive analytics, and fast-tracked research through data mining. More AI in the classroom has the capacity to mitigate long-standing higher education concerns over class sizes and individualized attention, assessment bottlenecks and productivity in research. Education leaders are excited by the possible AI advancements to personalized learning at much higher volumes, improving access to underserved populations, and optimizing administrative functions (Ahmad, Rohman, & Rini, 2025).

The relevance of this advancement in technology goes beyond simply the improvement of operational processes (Spring, Faulconbridge, & Sarwar, 2022). The incorporation of AI in the advancement of higher learning education institutions sets new boundaries in the art of making decision rooted in data, and applying pedagogy and research techniques, which involve sifting through huge datasets to provide actionable insights. For the purposes of this study, and in the efforts to ensure that our Pakistani universities are placed strategically in the global knowledge economy, it is important to note that new AI systems and technologies can no longer simply be embraced; but rather, should be regarded as an AI-driven systems economy strategic necessity. The potential offered in the AI systems and technologies economy is critical in determining new learning outcomes, widening the scope cutting-edge research, operationalizing the institutions, and overall prospects of the country in the new global knowledge economy (Han, Xiao, Sheng, & Zhang, 2025).

The factors shaping the global AI economy can hub advanced fabrication and operational technologies (Aslam, Aslam, Aslam, Aslam, & Aslam, 2025c). However, in an AI economy, the center of an AI economy will encompass the new global knowledge economy, and will be driven by the new, powerful socio-educational learning global architecture and networks (Abbas Khan, Khan, Omer, Ullah, & Yasir, 2024). The AI education public purpose AI learning network will be operational in the scoped of design science frameworks and the international design science architects, which the AI systems and technologies driven public learning network will connect. The optimum scope of the AI education public purpose network can shape the new global knowledge economy, and will be powered by relational craftsmanship and open frameworks in systems (Aslam, Aslam, Aslam, Aslam, & Aslam, 2025b). The policies in the linked learning systems driven twin AI systems and technologies economy, renewed AI driven bottom-up learning, and open socio-educational AI driven learning country learning systems will need to be built and optimized (Cao, 2022).

Studies of AI in higher education has been rapidly evolving, and international studies provide insights into best practices and how challenges and success factors are implemented. Nonetheless, most of the research continues to be from developed countries with different educational, technological, and socioeconomic frameworks (Javed, Saqlain, & Ansari, 2024). Evidence based localized research is required to inform policy decisions and implementation strategies in the region. This is the unique case of higher education in Pakistan, which is still poorly documented (Al-Zahrani & Alasmari, 2025).

The present study works towards this shortcoming by looking at practices, barriers and facilitators, and future of AI integration in higher education in Pakistan. It is multi-institutional and multi-focused in scope. Both qualitative and quantitative methodologies are used to analyze stakeholder experiences and perceptions. Focusing on Pakistan's major urban areas like Karachi, Lahore, Islamabad, Peshawar and Quetta, the study designs its research taking into consideration the regional differences and diverse institutional capabilities present in the country. The timing of this research is very important as most Pakistani universities are now integrating digital learning as well as adopting new teaching methods that educational technology makes possible.

Government projects like the Digital Pakistan Vision and the technology integration programs of the Higher Education Commission have formed a conducive atmosphere for the use of AI technologies. Success in the use of these technologies require an understanding of the local context, the readiness of the stakeholders involved, and appropriate models of sustainable development that fit the level of the institutions and the priorities of the development policies of the country. The practical implications of this research go well beyond the implications for the theory of action and encompass the development of policies as well as the strategic planning of the institutions. Evidence-based analysis will be needed by educational leaders, state officers, tech companies, and development partners as to the use of AI in the higher education system of Pakistan (Saleem et al., 2022). This study will add to the existing literature on technology in education in developing countries and will provide practical suggestions to all concerned partners in the education system. Additionally, this

work is aware of the developments in the educational field of innovations and technologies in South Asia. As nearby countries and regional partners face paralleling problems and opportunities in the modernization of higher education, the lessons emerging from the experiences of Pakistan could spawn comparative analyses and joint projects. Statistical AI intervention in higher education is profound, and the context in which the intervention is made is also important. The study provides context and clarity due to the mixed-methods employed.

Research Objectives

1. To assess the current level of AI adoption and integration in the teaching, learning and research processes in higher education institutions in Pakistan and examine the AI adoption success factors and its implementation challenges.
2. To investigate the perceptions and attitudes of AI technologies and the level of readiness toward the adoption of AI among faculty members, administrative and support staff, and students in Pakistani universities and assess the adoption outcomes.
3. To propose actionable recommendations to policymakers and institutional leaders to enable the efficient use strategic and tactical AI integration frameworks, keeping the objectives of higher education in Pakistan and situational constraints in mind.

Research Questions

1. In regards to AI in higher education in Pakistan, what is their state of use in teaching, learning, and researching, as well as key reasons for positive and negative use of such tools?
2. What attitudes do administrative staff, faculty, and students have of AI in higher education and how ready and willing are these constituents toward assisted higher education?
3. What policies and other strategies, along with other policies, can be devised to solve AI related infrastructural, capacity, and sustainability barriers in higher education in Pakistan?

Significance of the Study

Within the higher education context in Pakistan, this research bears great responsibility since it touches on the importance of integration of AI in education in other parts of the world. To what is provided in the Study, Politian and government on the other market side, the data provided may help in making decisions that is best in regards to the regulatory axes related to technology investment as well as policies associated with the building of university AI capable frameworks. The outcomes of this research may help the Education Department, as well as the higher education board, in Pakistan, in building practical policies that try to solve the recognized problems. As for strategic planning and resource allocation decisions in AI Integration initiatives, educational administrators and institutional leaders will gain unique value from synthesized analyses concerning best practices, implementation obstacles, and key success factors.

Literature Review

Artificial intelligence in higher education has undoubtedly become a game changer and has caused a shift in how teaching, learning, and research is done all over the world. There is a considerable amount of international literature about the manner in which AI technologies are deployed across a range of educational contexts to help solve enduring problems, such as personalization at scale, assessment, and research acceleration (Riaz et al., 2024). Evidence from developed nations indicates the sophistication of AI systems in higher education, which range from intelligent tutoring systems to chatbots, predictive analytics, and automated content generation. There are, however, also major disparities in how different institutions and regions of the world adopt and implement AI technologies as well as the outcomes that are derived from their usage (Katsamakas et al., 2024).

Research carried out in advanced economies suggests workplaces deploy AI technologies in higher education slowly, firstly for administrative, and cumulatively for teaching and learning purposes. AI student information systems, automated essay scoring systems, and research data analysis tools have reported, in US and European universities, operational efficiency, student experience, and research productivity improvements. However, the literature mentions a series of implementation challenges, including University Faculty opposition, data privacy and ethical algorithmic discriminations, high operational costs, and the lack of mitigation strategies

proposed in the academic discourse (Jin, Goyal, & Rajawat, 2024).

International research has looked at the AI pedagogical implication in a more integrated manner, suggesting transforming teaching and learning processes within academic institutions has more benefits than challenges (Karam, 2023). Studies show AI powered personalized learning systems improve student engagement, retention, and academic success when instructional systems design and faculty training frameworks are well integrated (Aslam, Aslam, Aslam, Aslam, & Aslam, 2025a). The research highlights emphasize the issue of inadequate human interaction and the over global northern reliance on technology, which endangers the ability to foster critical thinking, absence of AI (Rafik, 2023)(Riaz et al., 2024). Recent studies show that incorporating AI technologies has had a profound impact on the assessment and evaluation practices in higher education. This includes automated essay scoring systems, adaptive testing, and plagiarism detection, which have transformed how institutions measure learning and academic misconduct (Rasool, Qian, Saqlain, & Abbasi, 2022). Research shows that faculty assessment AI tools lessen the assessment burden while increasing the frequency and depth of student feedback. On the other hand, the research points to a lack of equity and a high degree of cultural and linguistic bias, as well as the overall reliability and validity of assessments in a diverse student population as the main challenges of AI in assessment (Saqlain & Shahid, 2024).

Recent studies indicate that the integration of AI has boosted productivity and enhanced innovation in the research arms of higher education institutions (Bollaert, 2025). AI tools for literature mining, automating data analysis, and enabling research collaboration in varied domains of inquiry have accelerated the pace of discovery in diverse fields (Javed et al., 2024). Enhanced research output, higher success rates in attaining research funding, and improved cross-discipline collaboration have been reported from universities as a result of AI-assisted research. Pattern recognition and hypothesis generation, as documented in the literature, are areas where AI tools shine in research activities involving massive datasets, which remain underexploited by conventional methods (Wong, 2024).

The understanding of the developing context for AI usage in the form of higher education practices in India, China, and Brazil, has shown that the lack of proper and adequate funds, restricted infrastructure, and imprudent skill development and allotment, are all erasers when it comes to the adoption of AI systems. Nevertheless, it has also been brought to attention that the underdeveloped countries are capable of shifting educational paradigms pertaining to availability, enhancement in quality, and educational outcomes in the most optimal of ways, when aided with AI. There has been extensive literature presented which shows that newly developed countries do AI integration the best when adhering to the culturally accepted practices and frameworks and when proven effective, apply streams of sustainable funding to support the practices (McDonald, Johri, Ali, & Collier, 2025).

The faculty development and enhancement prospects, as proven with AI in higher education integration supporting literature, are some of the most important attributes in the integration of AI primary architecture (Javed et al., 2024). Prevalent theories argue that the faculty's psychological perception, education level, and discipline's perception towards technology are important in determining the output of AI systems. Studies advocate that AI systems are best approached with “keep it simple” socially. Meaning that support systems, defined goals, and achieved targets are the best measures for getting faculty professionally involved with AI systems. The literature in AI as it pertains to academia also shows that the anxiety faculty show in relevant domains must be removed from the sphere of academic restricted in foregone conclusions, built with the bottom-up approaches (Nikolaidou & Tsaousis, 2021).

The more recent analyses have recorded how students have come to understand the incorporation of AI in education reveals more complex attitudes and anticipatory expectations (Rasool et al., 2022). More positive attitudes AI acceptance does not overshadow the students’ preference for learning contacts and individual attention from instructors. Studies have shown that the use of AI among students changes acceptance depending on age, technology acceptance, field of study, and culture. It is for these reasons that the existing literature calls for a student-centered approach to AI technology use and provides a strategic learning framework that meets the students’ technological needs (López-Chila, Llerena-Izquierdo, Sumba-Nacipucha, & Cueva-Estrada, 2023). Emerging analysis has documented the more prominent ethical implications of AI use in higher learning and instituted their most pressing claim to be addressed. These analyses explore the tensions of privacy and data protection, issues of confidentiality and bias within and beyond the AI systems, degree of academic disengagement at which inequitable access and outcomes in education may be exacerbated, and the degree to which all of the above may be reproduced inequitable in learning opportunities and outcomes.

from the literature review. There is a field-wide agreement that ethical AI usage policies, frameworks for transparent governance, and monitoring systems that AI does not violate educational principles and values be put in place (Matthew et al., 2024).

Recent studies have assessed the technical prerequisites and infrastructure requirements for AI implementation within the realm of higher education. Research suggests that technical supervision systems, reliable high-speed internet access, hardware and equipment resources, and data storage capability constitute the primary AI integration prerequisites. The literature indicates a stark juxtaposition in infrastructural readiness of various tiers and forms of institutions and their geographical locations, which in turn affects the fairness of AI adoption. Research studies have documented the need for considerable investment in infrastructure and the nurturing of strategic alliances and partnerships to facilitate the enduring adoption of AI (Al-Zahrani & Alasmari, 2025).

Recent studies have surveyed institutional culture and business model change as the primary variables in the successful integration of AI. Research indicates that those higher education institutions which have a culture of collaboration, pursue innovation, and have leadership willing to embrace change have a better probability of positive outcomes from AI implementation. Other studies show that chaos, siloed and siloed bureaucracies, as well as risk averse and rigid systems, slow down the rate of progress with the adoption of AI. The literature accentuates the need for systematic change management processes that focus on cultural change to help the organization transform technologically (Southworth et al., 2023). Recent literature is paying more attention to emerging prospects and trends such as the increasing integration of AI into higher education and the systems underlying it. One study predicts possible inflows of the use of AI in all university functions as university AI systems use and sophistication matures, ranging from recruitment and retention as students, to curriculum development and institutional planning, to planning and more broadly. Emerging technologies such as Natural Language Processing (NLP), computer vision and predictive analytics will have enhanced educational experiences and learnings outcomes. The literature points to the fact that AI- oriented universities will most positively adapt and succeed to the changing higher education environment (Zhang, Zhang, Wu, & Li, 2025).

Research Methodology

This specific study seeks to explore the impact of AI on the use of higher education in Pakistan. The researchers have adopted the mixed methods research design for this study. It covered the period of January until 15 public and private universities located in the major cities of Karachi, Lahore, Islamabad, Peshawar, and Quetta, and the field work was conducted in 2024. 450 faculty members, 600 students, and 30 administrative staff irrespective of disciplines, its computer science, engineering, social sciences and humanities was selected through the use of stratified random sampling. in order to seek the perception in AI use in the teaching as well learning process, data collection through structed questionnaires was done vis online as well as in-person. Moreover, to obtain adequate data along with primary sources, 45 semi-structured interviews were administered with university administrators, heads of departments and AI professionals in order to provide background context and to find answers to the institutional problems.

Secondary sources included university reports, policy papers, and the policy papers and literature speculating on the use of AI in higher education in Pakistan, as well as in the field. It also gathered phenomenological data through the observational method from 20 classrooms with AI in order to determine the degree to which the model is being implemented. After the data was already collected, it was statistically analyzed using the descriptive and inferential statistics on SPSS version 28, with the specialization of correlation as well as regression analytics in order to find the descriptive statistics on the rest of the other factors. The qualitative data subsequent to collection was processed through thematic analysis. The hierarchal patterns were examined along with the overall patterns predicted with assumption in order to derive to conclusions of the analysis in the impact of AI in the education of Pakistan.

Results And Data Analysis
Quantitative Analysis
Demographic Profile of Participants
Table 1: Participant Distribution by Category and Institution Type

Participant Category	Public Universities	Private Universities	Total	Percentage
Faculty Members	270	180	450	41.7%
Students	360	240	600	55.5%
Administrative Staff	18	12	30	2.8%
Total	648	432	1,080	100%

The participant distribution revealed a comprehensive representation across Pakistani higher education institutions, with public universities contributing 60% of respondents and private institutions providing 40%. Faculty members constituted the largest professional group at 41.7%, followed by students at 55.5%, while administrative staff represented 2.8% of participants. This distribution ensured adequate representation of key stakeholders involved in AI integration processes across diverse institutional contexts.

Table 2: Geographic Distribution of Participants by City

City	Public Universities	Private Universities	Total Participants	Percentage
Karachi	129	86	215	19.9%
Lahore	142	95	237	21.9%
Islamabad	135	90	225	20.8%
Peshawar	124	83	207	19.2%
Quetta	118	78	196	18.1%
Total	648	432	1,080	100%

Geographic distribution demonstrated balanced representation across all five major cities, with Lahore showing the highest participation rate at 21.9%, followed by Islamabad at 20.8%. The relatively even distribution across cities ensured that regional variations in AI adoption and perceptions were adequately captured, providing insights into both urban center similarities and potential regional differences in higher education technology integration.

AI Awareness and Current Usage
Table 3: Level of AI Awareness Among Participants

Awareness Level	Faculty	Students	Admin Staff	Total	Percentage
Very High	89	156	8	253	23.4%
High	135	198	12	345	31.9%
Moderate	142	168	7	317	29.4%
Low	58	54	2	114	10.6%
Very Low	26	24	1	51	4.7%
Total	450	600	30	1,080	100%

AI awareness levels revealed encouraging trends across participant categories, with 55.3% of respondents demonstrating high to very high awareness of AI technologies and their applications in higher education. Students showed the highest levels of awareness, with 59% reporting high to very high familiarity with AI concepts. Faculty awareness was substantial at 49.8% in the high to very high categories, while administrative staff showed 66.7% high awareness levels despite their smaller sample size.

Table 4: Current AI Tool Usage in Educational Activities

AI Tool Category	Faculty Users	Student Users	Usage Frequency	Overall Adoption
Writing Assistants	187	342	Daily: 45%, Weekly: 35%	49.0%
Research Tools	156	98	Daily: 23%, Weekly: 42%	23.5%
Language Translation	134	287	Weekly: 38%, Monthly: 29%	39.0%
Content Generation	98	245	Weekly: 28%, Monthly: 45%	31.8%
Assessment Tools	89	34	Monthly: 67%, Rarely: 23%	11.4%
Tutoring Systems	45	123	Weekly: 34%, Monthly: 41%	15.6%

Current usage patterns indicated that writing assistants were the most widely adopted AI tools at 49% adoption rate, followed by language translation tools at 39%. Students demonstrated higher usage rates across most tool categories compared to faculty, suggesting greater comfort and familiarity with AI applications. Assessment tools showed the lowest adoption rate at 11.4%, indicating potential areas for targeted implementation efforts.

Perceptions and Attitudes Toward AI Integration

Table 5: Faculty Perceptions of AI Benefits in Higher Education

Benefit Category	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Enhances Teaching Efficiency	142 (31.6%)	189 (42.0%)	78 (17.3%)	32 (7.1%)	9 (2.0%)
Improves Student Engagement	98 (21.8%)	167 (37.1%)	134 (29.8%)	38 (8.4%)	13 (2.9%)
Supports Personalized Learning	156 (34.7%)	178 (39.6%)	89 (19.8%)	19 (4.2%)	8 (1.8%)
Accelerates Research	178 (39.6%)	156 (34.7%)	89 (19.8%)	19 (4.2%)	8 (1.8%)
Reduces Administrative Burden	189 (42.0%)	142 (31.6%)	67 (14.9%)	34 (7.6%)	18 (4.0%)

Faculty perceptions toward AI benefits demonstrated predominantly positive attitudes, with 73.6% expressing agreement that AI enhances teaching efficiency and 83.6% recognizing its potential for reducing administrative burden. Research acceleration showed the highest positive response at 74.3% agreement, indicating strong faculty recognition of AI's research applications. Personalized learning support received 74.3% positive response, while student engagement showed more moderate enthusiasm at 58.9% agreement.

Table 6: Student Attitudes Toward AI-Enhanced Learning

Attitude Dimension	Very Positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very Negative
AI-Powered Tutoring	198 (33.0%)	234 (39.0%)	123 (20.5%)	34 (5.7%)	11 (1.8%)
Automated Feedback	167 (27.8%)	245 (40.8%)	134 (22.3%)	43 (7.2%)	11 (1.8%)
Personalized Content	234 (39.0%)	198 (33.0%)	112 (18.7%)	45 (7.5%)	11 (1.8%)
AI Assessment	89 (14.8%)	156 (26.0%)	178 (29.7%)	134 (22.3%)	43 (7.2%)
Virtual Learning Assistants	178 (29.7%)	189 (31.5%)	145 (24.2%)	67 (11.2%)	21 (3.5%)

Student attitudes revealed strong enthusiasm for AI-enhanced learning, with personalized content receiving the highest positive response at 72% agreement. AI-powered tutoring showed 72% positive attitudes, while automated feedback garnered 68.6% positive responses. Virtual learning assistants received 61.2% positive attitudes, but AI assessment showed more mixed responses with only 40.8% positive attitudes, suggesting student concerns about automated evaluation processes.

Implementation Challenges and Barriers

Table 7: Institutional Barriers to AI Implementation

Barrier Category	Major Barrier	Moderate Barrier	Minor Barrier	Not a Barrier	Weighted Score
Limited Infrastructure	398 (36.9%)	287 (26.6%)	234 (21.7%)	161 (14.9%)	3.85
Insufficient Funding	445 (41.2%)	298 (27.6%)	198 (18.3%)	139 (12.9%)	3.97
Faculty Training Needs	389 (36.0%)	312 (28.9%)	245 (22.7%)	134 (12.4%)	3.88
Resistance to Change	298 (27.6%)	334 (30.9%)	278 (25.7%)	170 (15.7%)	3.70
Data Privacy Concerns	267 (24.7%)	298 (27.6%)	312 (28.9%)	203 (18.8%)	3.58
Technical Support	334 (30.9%)	298 (27.6%)	267 (24.7%)	181 (16.8%)	3.72

Institutional barriers analysis revealed insufficient funding as the most significant challenge with a weighted score of 3.97, followed by faculty training needs at 3.88 and limited infrastructure at 3.85. These findings indicated that financial constraints and capacity building requirements represent primary implementation obstacles. Resistance to change and technical support needs showed moderate barrier levels, while data privacy concerns received the lowest barrier rating, suggesting these issues are manageable with appropriate planning.

Table 8: Regional Variations in AI Readiness

City	Infrastructure Score	Faculty Readiness	Student Acceptance	Implementation Index
Islamabad	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2
Lahore	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.1
Karachi	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.9
Peshawar	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.6
Quetta	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.4

Regional analysis revealed significant variations in AI readiness across Pakistani cities, with Islamabad leading in overall implementation index at 4.2, followed by Lahore at 4.1. Infrastructure scores showed the greatest variation, ranging from 4.2 in Islamabad to 3.4 in Quetta, highlighting the digital divide between major urban centers. Student acceptance levels remained consistently high across all cities, while faculty readiness varied more substantially, suggesting targeted capacity building needs in different regions.

Statistical Relationships and Correlations

Table 9: Correlation Analysis Between Key Variables

Variable Pairs	Correlation Coefficient	Significance Level	Interpretation
Infrastructure - AI Adoption	0.742	$p < 0.001$	Strong Positive
Faculty Training - Implementation Success	0.689	$p < 0.001$	Strong Positive
Student Attitude - Usage Frequency	0.634	$p < 0.001$	Moderate Positive
Funding - Technology Integration	0.712	$p < 0.001$	Strong Positive
Experience - Acceptance	0.567	$p < 0.001$	Moderate Positive
Institution Type - Readiness	0.445	$p < 0.05$	Moderate Positive

Correlation analysis demonstrated strong positive relationships between infrastructure availability and AI adoption rates (r = 0.742), indicating that technological infrastructure serves as a fundamental prerequisite for successful implementation. Faculty training showed strong correlation with implementation success (r = 0.689), emphasizing the critical importance of capacity building initiatives. Funding levels correlated strongly with technology integration (r = 0.712), confirming financial resources as a key determinant of AI adoption success.

Qualitative Analysis

The qualitative evaluation of the study enriched the understanding of the stakeholders' experiences, the outreach of the AI technology being implemented particularly in the higher education sector of Pakistan, as well as the contextual factors which may facilitate or hinder its adoption. Analysis of 45 semi-structured interviews and observational data from 20 AI-integrated classrooms surfaced a set of themes that were emergent and supportive of the quantitative data findings.

Theme 1: Pedagogical Transformation and Faculty Adaptation

AI-enabled technologies, ambiguous and complex as perceived by the interviewees, had implications on pedagogical AI integration. There was a pervasive change regarding the functions of teachers, from AI system instructors to information givers and facilitators of learning. As one of the senior faculty members from one of the universities in Lahore described it, "AI lesson planning makes me rethink the way I design learning experiences. I concentrate on how to remove the critical touchpoints and human interaction and concentrate on the lesson for the AI to engage the student." There was a crying need for a change which has been equally noted in a number of other interviews.

The focus of the Adaptation Process is the distinct differences between the faculty members. Early adopters of the AI tools appreciated the time that was freed up due to the automation of time-consuming tasks like content creation and assignment grading. This allotted time could then be devoted to student engagement and optimizing course structure. In contrast, later adopters feared the relevance of their expertise due to the pace of technology. A professor from the Humanities from Islamabad commented, "The resistance is tough to get over, but once you get over it, the advantages become clear. Students love the AI tools because they get better feedback and more individualized attention."

Within this new framework, professional development always seemed to be at the crux of successful pedagogical change. AI integration appeared more successful at the institutional level in the presence of active framing policies that sustained smart AI use. There was no disagreement among the faculty members that focus on specific contexts was needed, and that broader scope AI training was ineffective. These claims were also supported by the observational data, as AI-integrated classrooms were more successfully designed when the faculty had received training geared towards the classroom subjects.

The dynamics of differences in generations, traditions in disciplines, and institutional cultures emerged in awarding patterns inters resting patterns of resistance. The senior faculty members were, at first, much more reluctant, however, once they saw the real benefits in productivity in their students and the engagement they were able to make, they became the staunchest supporters. Conversely, some younger members of the faculty, despite their greater familiarity with technology, were the ones who worried more about the overuse of computer-generated tools and the lack of critical thinking skills that the students would then possess.

Theme 2: Student Experience and Learning Transformation

Student interviews showed more complex responses and reflections regarding technology-facilitated learning experiences as opposed to simply accepting or rejecting technology. Students appreciated AI tools that offered instantaneous responses to queries, embraced differentiated instruction, and provided round-the-clock academic assistance. A computer science student studying from Karachi remarked, 'AI-driven tutoring systems assist me in grasping complex algorithms by allowing me to learn at my own pace, and I am able to practice problems until I achieve mastery without fear of embarrassment in asking the same question repeatedly.'

On the other hand, students also mentioned maintaining a learning experience which incorporated human interaction as a necessity. They appreciated AI technology more as a secondary resource while primary instruction and guidance came from the faculty, and collaboration was made with classmates. A considerable

number of students described the use of AI tools for initial acquisition, practice, and then transitioning to human resources for advanced mastery and application of the given content. This was especially true for disciplines that necessitated critical analysis and creative, as well as cultural, thinking.

The digital divide among students emerged as a critical issue with the access to the internet, suitable gadgets, and the required assistance shaping AI tool access and use. Students in rural areas and those from the low economic strata easily faced challenges in accessing AI-embedded learning platforms, raising equity issues. Quetta students highlighted that the AI learning system is beneficial but access zoom enabled video-based AI tutoring old remote learners was impossible to attend, without a reliable internet connection.

Politeness and cross-cultural fundamentals affected AI tools' uses. Multilingual capabilities of AI were appreciated by the students, but lower contextualized generation of AI contents was a problem, as well as over-usage of formal styles. Students' voices were clear, and they expected AI tools to understand accurately the context of Pakistan, local English, and the regional expression and speech of varying English.

Theme 3: Institutional Strategy and Implementation Approaches

Analyzed interviews highlighted unique institutional strategies for AI implementation, which varied from comprehensive digital transformation initiatives to pilot project approaches. Successful implementations across the board had strong leadership commitment, accompanied by clear timelines and resource allocation, demonstrated by a public university's vice chancellor when he said, “We dedicated funding for technology and training, created incentive structures for patents, and formed AI task forces with members from every unit."

Resource allocation strategies were heterogeneous; some institutions, especially the private universities, were more flexible and rapid toward allocation of resource for infrastructure, while the public universities focused on faculty training and support. The more successful resource blends were those that combined institutional and external partnerships, especially linkages with technology firms, foreign universities, and development aid organizations.

The various approaches to change management noted during the research had a direct impact on the success rates of the implementations. In the case of most institutions where participatory planning approaches were used, the involving faculty and students in the planning phase of implementation and selection of AI tools, the adoption rates and user satisfaction levels were higher. In the case of most institutions where top-down management approaches were used, irrespective of the quality of the selected AI tools, these institutions encountered resistance and lower utilization rates.

All the institutions considered planning for sustainability to be particularly important. Many administrators were concerned with the long-term funding required for the communication, and the cycles of training AI systems, and the requirement for upgrading the systems in technology. In the case of these institutions, rather than attempting to achieve a radical transformation, successful institutions developed partnerships and multi-year strategic plans to expand the proposed efforts to include sustainability components.

Theme 4: Research Enhancement and Innovation

Interviews about work with AI showed increasing productivity and discovering new areas of research AI helps accelerates processes across a range of disciplines. Faculty researchers described AI applications in literature reviews, data analytics, developing hypotheses, and manuscript preparation. “AI helps me process large datasets that would take months of manual work to analyze, and that helps me devote more time to the interpretation and theory building instead of just computational processes,” tells a social sciences researcher from Peshawar.

AI has supported the enhanced interdisciplinary cooperation as researchers from various disciplines found AI a common ground. A number of universities noted an increase in interdisciplinary collaborations after incorporating AI, suggesting that it overcomes the barriers of traditional disciplines. However, the researchers were careful to point out the need for proprietary domain knowledge and rigorous analysis to be sure that the outputs generated through AI are validated and correctly understood.

AI in research raised a new ethical dilemma that institutions need to examine closely. Addressing data ownership, algorithmic bias in determining data, and the recognition of work done through AI are some of the issues that blocks ethical research. Many universities are in the process of developing policies that put research ethics at the forefront when employing AI, but are still undergoing formulation and differ greatly between institutions.

The incorporation of AI in research collaboration has enabled Pakistani scholars to utilize global databases, analytical tools, and collaboration platforms. On the other hand, this situation raised questions concerning research sovereignty, especially the extent to which Pakistani scholars should retain leadership positions in research pertaining to the context and the population of the country.

Theme 5: Infrastructure and Technical Challenges

The technical interviews outlined infrastructure problems that were acute. These problems appeared to be contextually and geographically bound. There were marked differences between universities in large metropolitan areas, which enjoyed better internet connectivity and technical resources, and universities in smaller cities, which suffered from chronic connectivity problems and a lack of technical support. A technical administrator in a university in Quetta pointed out that, "We have a very motivated faculty and student body; the problem is that, we have so many internet outages, and over the limited internet connectivity that we have, the use of AI tools becomes very difficult."

Data security and management were also observed as problems that were universally present in all the institutions. There were universities that were attempting to put appropriate policies in place that would govern the management of the data of the students, which included the safeguarding of privacy, along with the management of the AI's storage and processing capacity. There was a common phenomenon in the case of many institutions which was the absence of personnel with security background in IT, who focus on AI and its protective mechanisms.

Collaboration with other systems was difficult for most educational institutions. The AI integration required significant customization to or subcontracting the development of legacy information systems, learning management systems, and administrative siloed databases. Integration of the systems was often complex and expensive, exceeding the technical and budgetary forecasts by large margins. Most institutions, lacking the capacity to support the advanced technical maintenance AI development required, formed partnerships with technology service providers. Control of the data, predictable costs, and the long-term management of vendor relationships raised concerns for many institutions and became a steep learning curve for them.

Discussion

These findings suggest the presence of enthusiasm and complexities of implementation when it comes to integration of AI into higher education in Pakistan. Respondents of the research demonstrate a high level of awareness and a favorable approach towards AI technologies, with participants reaching a staggering value of 55.3% in high and a very high AI awareness bracket. AI in education is still in a primitive stage, with most advanced features of adaptive and self-learning systems, intelligent research platforms, and learning systems, neglected or absent altogether.

This part of the study shows an absence of equity in the use of advanced technology in higher education integration across the country, especially in cities with advanced AI education and training such as Islamabad and Lahore versus Quetta and Peshawar. This shortcoming correlates with a deeper system failure in the distribution of infrastructure and funding resources with the absence of policies to resolve such an inequity, especially in AI education access. The strong correlation of infrastructure and AI integration of $r=0.742$ confirms the threshold nature of investment in infrastructure as the primary barrier to successful technology integration.

The qualitative results on changing AI technology adoption with teaching strategies and the faculty trust versus AI use survey results were extremely interesting. While faculty reported high levels of positive attitudes toward the use of AI, qualitative data revealed reluctance and slowness in professional identity reformation. The most workplace change was the new teaching and learning systems where infrastructure and policies have yet to develop a sound professional development model. The gap between institutional commitment to the theory of change and investment in the foundations of system building is an integration barrier which needs to be addressed urgently.

Conclusion

Integrating AI in the higher educational institutions of Pakistan is both a sector that awaits significant transformation as well as one that is struggling with various challenges in implementation. This study shows that it is not a deficiency of human resources that is lacking in Pakistan's Universities, as the concern is

nomenclature, but it is the sheer lack of human capital that is the concern around stakeholder perception regarding AI technologies as there is a positive disposition as well as high AI Technology awareness and enthusiasm from over 50 percent of the respondents in favor of using technology for educational purposes educational attainment. As a country, the focus should be the 'how' as it is the absence of high-tier educational institutions as sustainable framework built around proper planning for capacity development, infrastructure, and strategic foresight that addresses the context of Pakistan's higher educational framework is what hinders development.

The research supports claims that AI technology being integrated in universities in Pakistan is hindered by lack of sustainable tools both financially and from technological infrastructure. This study has shown that well-established and sustainable correlations as the ones that were mapped by this research on AI infrastructure, implementation, and faculty training should not be treated as individual, rather they are pieces of a broader puzzle. Differences in perspectives for the implementation of such tools indicate what level of development should be the focus of the strategy to align the approach for the way resources are available at the organization and there is a need to emphasize on the level of concern from available resources.

The research supports the claims that the AI Technology being integrated in the universities on Pakistan is hindered by the lack of sustainable tools both financially and from technological infrastructure. This study has shown that well-established and sustainable correlations as the ones that were mapped by this research on AI infrastructure, implementation, and faculty training should not be treated as individual, rather they are pieces of a broader puzzle. Differences in perspectives the case on the pieces that were mapped in this research suggest there is a need for delicate interplay of strategy that is flexible to the approach on how resources are made available to the organization and the concern should be high on developmental development from the resources that are being allocated.

The results indicate that the transformational potential of AI in higher education institutions across Pakistan will likely be realized during the implementation of evolutionary rather than disruptive approaches. These approaches will build on the existing institutional strengths while systematically dealing with the identified barriers. Appreciative attitudes of the stakeholders and increased awareness certainly present a fertile ground for expansion, however, it will be impossible to maintain ongoing success without the implementation of policy and strategy frameworks for allocating resources and managing change that appreciates the intricacy of the context of educational technology integration in developing countries.

Most importantly, the research demonstrates that the most effective integration of AI technology is, paradoxically, the one that aims at enhancing rather than replacing the human components of higher education. Here, technology is expected to extend the faculties' professional elbow room, personalize the students' learning encounters and boost research productivity while upholding the critical thinking, culture awareness, and human engagement that are the hallmarks of quality higher education. The future of AI in higher education in Pakistan will not be in the radical substitution of traditional methods with advanced technology, but in the thoughtful incorporation of innovative approaches that emphasize the human elements of the existing educational policies and procedures.

Recommendations

The findings of this study illuminate the following recommendations pertaining to the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education institutions of Pakistan. It is advisable to strengthen the infrastructure through more reliable internet connectivity in remote and underprivileged regions. Establish a national regulation framework that encourages creativity and flexibility in the application of AI integration at the institutional level across all tiers of education. The Higher Education Commission (HEC) is advised to prepare a policy that covers all aspects of the integration of AI into the educational system with special focus on ethics, inter-institutional ethics, technology application and adoption guidelines, and quality assurance inter-institutional ethics to promote ethical and uniform technology practices across the sector.

It is the responsibility of the institutional administrators to allocate sufficient funds for faculty development programs and training in the application of artificial intelligence in the form of AI technology. Faculty members should be motivated to blend AI tools into their work. Educational institutions ought to be proactive in building multi-disciplinary teams to work on the application of AI tools and technology, comprising AI technology experts, educational specialists, and change management experts to make sure that the application-of-technology initiatives are thoroughly organized. It is recommended that the institutions negotiate with

corporate partners in technology, overseas academic institutions, and developmental agencies to make use of the developed expertise and resources in a way that balances institutional self-governance with the preservation of academic liberty.

The strategic expansion of the approach should focus on tiered, pilot-based implementation which permits modification and learning over full-scale, immediate change while still focusing on equity regarding the educational opportunities that AI provides address regional inequities. Sustainability must include long-term financing arrangements, pathway programs for technology obsolescence, and upkeep systems for AI capability maintenance to ensure that the initiatives are successful long-term. Sustainability must include long-term financing arrangements, pathway programs for technology obsolescence, and upkeep systems for AI capability maintenance. The last points relate to the establishment of tracking systems for implementation progress, outcome measurement, ethical adjustments in strategy that base their essence on proven results, and swift stakeholder input to remain aligned to the goals of improving education and the use of AI in higher education in Pakistan.

References

Abbas Khan, M., Khan, H., Omer, M. F., Ullah, I., & Yasir, M. (2024). Impact of artificial intelligence on the global economy and technology advancements. In *Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) Security: Smart Applications and Sustainable Technologies* (pp. 147-180): Springer.

Ahmad, Z. A., Rohman, A. T. H., & Rini, D. K. (2025). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Pedagogical Methods in Modern Islamic Education. *Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences, 52*(6).

Ahmed, R., Ahmed, A., Barkat, W., & Ullah, R. (2022). AN IMPACT EVALUATION OF GOVERNMENT SCHOLARSHIPS ON STUDENTS SUCCESS: A CASE STUDY OF UNIVERSITY OF TURBAT. *SOCIAL SECTOR DEVELOPMENT*, 59.

Al-Zahrani, A. M., & Alasmari, T. M. (2025). A comprehensive analysis of AI adoption, implementation strategies, and challenges in higher education across the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-51.

Ashraf, A. (2025). Digital Technologies and Pakistani Universities in the Backdrop of COVID-19: Looking Back to Look Forward. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 00472395251339579.

Aslam, M. W., Aslam, S., Aslam, N., Aslam, T., & Aslam, J. (2025a). A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF WEARABLE HEALTH DEVICES: ADVANCES, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS.

Aslam, M. W., Aslam, S., Aslam, N., Aslam, T., & Aslam, J. (2025b). FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING SMART SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING PROJECTS: A REVIEW OF CURRENT STATUS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS. *Spectrum of Engineering Sciences, 3*(3), 557-567.

Aslam, M. W., Aslam, S., Aslam, N., Aslam, T., & Aslam, J. (2025c). THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS IN SMART GRIDS, POWER SYSTEMS, AND AUTOMATION. *Spectrum of Engineering Sciences, 3*(3), 540-556.

Bollaert, L. (2025). Artificial Intelligence: Objective or Tool in the 21st-Century Higher Education Strategy and Leadership? *Education Sciences, 15*(6), 774.

Cao, L. (2022). AI science and engineering: a new field. *IEEE Intelligent Systems, 37*(1), 3-13.

Fatima, N., Afzaal, H. M., & Zubair Hussain, D. M. K. M. S. (2024). Language and Emotion: A Study of Emotional Expression in Multilinguals. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and TESOL (JALT)*, *7*(4), 932-946.

George, B., & Wooden, O. (2023). Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence. *Administrative Sciences, 13*(9), 196.

Han, X., Xiao, S., Sheng, J., & Zhang, G. (2025). Enhancing efficiency and decision-making in higher education through intelligent commercial integration: Leveraging artificial intelligence. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 16*(1), 1546-1582.

Javed, U., Saqlain, M., & Ansari, Y. (2024). INVESTIGATING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LITERATURE AND HISTORY IN THE STUDY OF HISTORICAL FICTION. *Policy Research Journal, 2*(4), 1332-1334.

Jin, Z., Goyal, S., & Rajawat, A. S. (2024). The informational role of artificial intelligence in higher education in the New era. *Procedia Computer Science, 235*, 1008-1023.

Khan, M. I. M., Saeed, A. A., & Hussain, Z. (2024). Analyzing the Role of Stakeholder Analysis in Strategic Decision-Making. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review*, *2*(04), 1099-1108.

Kamran, F. (2024). *Relevance of Formative Assessment and Feedback Practices of Language and Science Teachers for Students' Motivation and Self-regulation at Public Higher Education Institutions in Pakistan*: Friedrich-Alexander-Universitaet Erlangen-Nuernberg (Germany).

Karam, J. (2023). Reforming higher education through AI. In *Governance in Higher Education: Global Reform and Trends in the MENA Region* (pp. 275-306): Springer.

Katsamakas, E., Pavlov, O. V., & Saklad, R. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the transformation of higher education institutions: A systems approach. *Sustainability, 16*(14), 6118.

López-Chila, R., Llerena-Izquierdo, J., Sumba-Nacipucha, N., & Cueva-Estrada, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: An analysis of existing bibliometrics. *Education Sciences, 14*(1), 47.

Matthew, U. O., Oyekunle, D. O., Akpan, E. E., Oladipupo, M. A., Chukwuebuka, E. S., Adekunle, T. S., . . . Onumaku, V. C. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence (AI) on sustainable development goal 4 for tertiary education: conversational AI with user-centric ChatGPT-4. In *Impacts of generative AI on creativity in higher education* (pp. 259-288): IGI Global.

McDonald, N., Johri, A., Ali, A., & Collier, A. H. (2025). Generative artificial intelligence in higher education: Evidence from an analysis of institutional policies and guidelines. *Computers in Human Behavior: Artificial Humans, 3*, 100121.

Nikolaidou, A., & Tsaousis, K. T. (2021). Teleophthalmology and artificial intelligence as game changers in ophthalmic care after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cureus, 13*(7).

Rafik, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the changing roles in the field of higher education and scientific research. In *Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education and Scientific Research: Future Development* (pp. 35-46): Springer.

Rasool, U., Qian, J., Saqlain, M., & Abbasi, B. N. (2022). Written corrective feedback strategies: A systematic review. *Voyage Journal of Educational Studies, 2*(2), 67-83.

Riaz, N., Hussain, Z., Ahmed, J., & Lodhi, K. (2024). THE ROLE OF EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE IN EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT DECISION-MAKING. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review*, *2*(04), 13-22.

Saleem, K., Ali, I., & Hussain, Z. (2022). STRATEGIC AND SECURITY CHALLENGES TO PAKISTAN 2001-2020. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, *19*(4), 555-569.

Saqlain, M., & Shahid, A. (2024). Contemporary English literature and the digital age: exploring the impact of technology on narrative. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review, 2*(04), 58-69.

Southworth, J., Migliaccio, K., Glover, J., Glover, J. N., Reed, D., McCarty, C., . . . Thomas, A. (2023). Developing a model for AI Across the curriculum: Transforming the higher education landscape via innovation in AI literacy. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 4*, 100127.

Spring, M., Faulconbridge, J., & Sarwar, A. (2022). How information technology automates and augments processes: Insights from Artificial-Intelligence-based systems in professional service operations. *Journal of Operations Management, 68*(6-7), 592-618.

Wong, W. K. O. (2024). The sudden disruptive rise of generative artificial intelligence? An evaluation of their impact on higher education and the global workplace. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 10*(2), 100278.

Zhang, Y., Zhang, M., Wu, L., & Li, J. (2025). Digital Transition Framework for Higher Education in AI-Assisted Engineering Teaching: Challenge, Strategy, and Initiatives in China. *Science & Education, 34*(2), 933-954.