

Neuroplasticity and Emotional Resilience: The Brain's Adaptive Role in Stress Recovery and Positive Mental Health

Khadija Nadeem¹

¹ Master of Science in Clinical Psychology, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan
Email: khadijanadeem705@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i3.1045>

Abstract

Emotional resilience—the capacity to adapt to stress and regain psychological balance—depends in part on neuroplasticity, the brain's ability to reorganize structure and function across the lifespan. This narrative review synthesizes evidence that prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus, and amygdala circuits adapt with training and experience to support emotion regulation, stress recovery, and a more positive outlook. We summarize how cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), mindfulness practices, and regular physical activity leverage plasticity mechanisms, including synaptic remodeling, functional reorganization, and neurochemical change. Converging studies show that CBT strengthens prefrontal control over limbic reactivity, mindfulness alters large-scale networks related to attention and interoception, and aerobic exercise enhances hippocampal structure and memory while dampening stress responses. We discuss moderating factors (development, stress load, genetic variability), ethical considerations (equity, access, privacy), and the promise of personalized, mechanism-targeted interventions (e.g., neurofeedback, non-invasive brain stimulation). We outline research gaps—causal mediation, long-term durability, and real-world generalization—and propose a practical framework to match interventions to neural targets and resilience goals. Understanding neuroplastic pathways of resilience may refine mental-health care by prioritizing skills and environments that help the brain flexibly recover from adversity.

Keywords: Neuroplasticity; Resilience; Stress; Prefrontal Cortex; Hippocampus; Amygdala; CBT; Mindfulness; Exercise

Introduction

Modern life exposes individuals to increasing psychological stress—ranging from chronic workplace pressure and financial strain to traumatic events and global crises. While many people experience stress, their ability to recover and maintain a positive outlook varies widely. This adaptive capacity, known as emotional resilience, refers to the ability to endure, recover from, and grow through adversity (Southwick & Charney, 2018). Understanding what makes some individuals more resilient than others is a critical goal for mental health research and for designing effective interventions to prevent anxiety, depression, and stress-related disorders. Over the past two decades, neuroscience has revealed that the human brain is not fixed after early development but remains plastic, meaning capable of structural and functional reorganization throughout life. This property, called neuroplasticity, includes the strengthening or weakening of synaptic connections, formation of new neurons, and large-scale network reconfiguration in response to experience (McEwen, 2016). Neuroplasticity provides a biological foundation for resilience: it allows the brain to adapt to stress, recover from trauma, and learn more flexible coping strategies. Evidence from neuroimaging and cellular studies shows that key brain regions—including the prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus, and amygdala—are central to stress regulation and

emotional balance. The PFC supports executive functions such as planning, decision-making, and cognitive reappraisal, exerting top-down control over the amygdala to reduce fear responses (Ochsner & Gross, 2005; Quirk & Beer, 2006). The hippocampus contributes to contextual memory and inhibits stress hormone release, while the amygdala detects threats and generates emotional responses (Milad & Quirk, 2012; Boldrini et al., 2018). Stress can weaken these circuits, but targeted experiences and interventions can rebuild and strengthen them. Recent research highlights interventions that harness neuroplasticity to cultivate resilience. Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) retrains maladaptive thinking patterns and has been shown to increase PFC activity and decrease amygdala hyperreactivity (Goldapple et al., 2004; DeRubeis et al., 2008). Mindfulness and meditation practices enhance self-awareness and reduce default mode network overactivity, improving emotional regulation and stress recovery (Hölzel et al., 2011; Tang, Hölzel, & Posner, 2015). Regular aerobic exercise raises brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), promotes hippocampal neurogenesis, and supports mood stability (Voss et al., 2013; Erickson et al., 2011). Together, these findings suggest that emotional resilience is not merely a psychological trait but a trainable neurobiological process. Despite significant progress, important gaps remain. Many studies focus on one intervention at a time, and relatively few have examined how different forms of neuroplasticity—structural, functional, and network-level—interact to support resilience across diverse populations and life stages. In addition, the causal pathways by which neural changes translate into improved coping and recovery are not fully understood. Addressing these gaps is essential for developing personalized, evidence-based approaches to mental health that go beyond symptom management to build lasting resilience. This article reviews and integrates recent research on neuroplasticity and emotional resilience. It examines how changes in key brain circuits, such as the PFC, hippocampus, and amygdala, contribute to stress regulation and positive adaptation. It also evaluates leading interventions—including CBT, mindfulness, and exercise—that have demonstrated the ability to induce neuroplastic changes and enhance resilience. The paper further explores the ethical and clinical implications of these findings and outlines future directions for designing personalized interventions that strengthen the brain's capacity for recovery and growth. By linking neuroplasticity with emotional resilience, this review underscores a fundamental shift in mental health science: the brain is not merely a passive victim of stress but an active, adaptive organ capable of repair and renewal. Recognizing and applying this insight can help clinicians, researchers, and policymakers design more effective prevention and treatment strategies for stress-related disorders and promote well-being across the lifespan.

Literature Review

Neuroplasticity: Foundations and Mechanisms

Neuroplasticity refers to the brain's ability to change its structure, function, and connectivity in response to experience, environment, or injury (McEwen, 2016). These changes occur through mechanisms such as synaptogenesis (formation of new synapses), dendritic remodeling (growth of dendritic spines), long-term potentiation (strengthening of synaptic connections), and neurogenesis (birth of new neurons), especially in the hippocampus (Boldrini et al., 2018). Such changes allow neural circuits to reorganize and optimize cognitive and emotional functions after stress, trauma, or learning. Structural and functional neuroimaging studies consistently show that prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus, and amygdala are key regions in resilience. The PFC, particularly dorsolateral (dlPFC) and ventromedial (vmPFC) subdivisions, underlies executive functions such as planning, inhibitory control, and cognitive reappraisal (Ochsner & Gross, 2005). It exerts top-down regulation over limbic regions, including the amygdala, dampening excessive stress and fear responses (Quirk & Beer, 2006). Chronic stress or depression is often associated with reduced PFC volume and weaker connectivity to the amygdala; interventions that strengthen this circuit are linked to improved stress recovery (Goldapple et al., 2004; DeRubeis et al., 2008).

The amygdala, in contrast, is the brain's threat detector and is essential for fear learning and emotional salience. High amygdala reactivity is common in anxiety and post-traumatic stress, and persistent hyperactivity can prolong negative mood states (Milad & Quirk, 2012). Successful regulation of amygdala activity—through therapy, mindfulness, or exercise—has been repeatedly associated with increased emotional resilience. The hippocampus supports contextual memory, stress inhibition, and the regulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis. Chronic stress can impair hippocampal neurogenesis and shrink its volume, reducing cognitive flexibility (McEwen, 2016). Conversely, lifestyle interventions like aerobic exercise and mindfulness enhance hippocampal volume and connectivity, which are linked to better memory and reduced stress vulnerability (Erickson et al., 2011; Voss et al., 2013). Finally, large-scale functional networks such as the default mode network (DMN), salience network, and executive control network play key roles in attention and self-referential thinking. Overactive DMN activity, often seen in depression and rumination, can maintain negative thought loops. Mindfulness and CBT reduce maladaptive DMN dominance and strengthen executive control and salience networks, thereby supporting present-moment awareness and adaptive coping (Hölzel et al., 2011; Tang, Hölzel, & Posner, 2015).

Interventions that Leverage Neuroplasticity

Several empirically supported interventions harness these plastic processes to improve resilience.

Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT)

CBT trains individuals to identify and reframe maladaptive thoughts, which directly recruits and strengthens PFC circuits responsible for cognitive control. Neuroimaging shows that successful CBT is associated with increased PFC activation and decreased amygdala reactivity (Goldapple et al., 2004; DeRubeis et al., 2008). Through extinction learning and reappraisal, CBT supports flexible emotional responses and durable recovery from depression and anxiety (Ochsner & Gross, 2005; Milad & Quirk, 2012).

Mindfulness and meditation

Mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) and related practices cultivate nonjudgmental awareness of present-moment experiences. Longitudinal MRI studies reveal gray-matter increases in the hippocampus and anterior cingulate cortex after eight weeks of training, along with decreased DMN activity, which reduces rumination and stress reactivity (Hölzel et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2015; Davidson et al., 2003). Mindfulness strengthens interoception and meta-awareness, both of which protect against emotional overwhelm.

Aerobic exercise

Regular physical activity stimulates neurotrophic factors such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), supporting hippocampal neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity (Voss et al., 2013). Randomized controlled trials have shown measurable increases in hippocampal volume and improved memory following aerobic training, accompanied by lower anxiety and depression (Erickson et al., 2011).

Integration and Remaining Gaps

Together, these findings suggest that resilience is partly plastic and trainable. Each intervention targets overlapping but distinct mechanisms: CBT recalibrates PFC–amygdala pathways, mindfulness reorganizes large-scale networks for attention and interoception, and exercise expands hippocampal capacity and modulates stress hormones. However, key gaps remain. Most studies examine a single intervention and a single neural endpoint. Fewer have combined multimodal interventions or directly tested whether neural changes mediate improvements in resilience over the long term. Causal sequencing—determining which changes occur first and drive behavioral

outcomes—remains unclear. Longitudinal and cross-condition studies are needed to determine durability and to develop personalized protocols that match neural profiles (e.g., baseline amygdala reactivity or hippocampal volume) to the most effective intervention.

Theoretical Framework

This review adopts a control-systems model of emotional regulation that integrates the dual-process framework (Strack & Deutsch, 2004) with modern network neuroscience.

1. Automatic / impulsive system.

- Operates quickly and unconsciously.
- Centers on limbic structures such as the amygdala and striatum.
- Generates reflexive stress responses and habitual negative thinking.
- Dominance of this system under chronic stress leads to hypervigilance, anxiety, and maladaptive coping.

2. Reflective / regulatory system.

- Slow, deliberate, and effortful.
- Relies on the dlPFC, vmPFC, anterior cingulate cortex, and hippocampus.
- Enables cognitive reappraisal, long-term planning, and extinction of fear memories.

Emotional resilience depends on dynamic balance between these systems. Under acute stress, the automatic system initially mobilizes resources (e.g., fight-or-flight). Recovery requires the reflective system to downregulate amygdala reactivity, integrate contextual memory from the hippocampus, and promote flexible, goal-directed behavior.

Neuroplasticity strengthens this regulatory architecture. For example:

- **Synaptic remodeling** in dlPFC supports more efficient reappraisal and executive control (Ochsner & Gross, 2005).
- **Hippocampal neurogenesis** provides fresh neurons that encode new safe memories, dampening fear responses (Boldrini et al., 2018).
- **Network-level reorganization**, as seen after mindfulness training, improves switching between DMN and executive control networks, fostering adaptive attention (Hölzel et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2015).

Interventions such as CBT, mindfulness, and exercise explicitly target this reflective system:

- CBT rehearses alternative appraisals, thereby rewiring PFC–amygdala connectivity.
- Mindfulness cultivates sustained meta-awareness, reducing DMN dominance and improving salience-network function.
- Aerobic exercise raises BDNF and improves hippocampal plasticity, enabling better contextual regulation of stress.

From this perspective, resilience is not a static trait but a dynamic skill that emerges when the reflective system gains durable efficiency and structural support through repeated practice. The theory predicts that individuals with stronger PFC regulation and hippocampal capacity will recover faster from adversity and maintain a more positive outlook. Finally, this framework explains why combined approaches—for example, integrating exercise with CBT or embedding mindfulness in psychotherapy—often outperform single methods: they recruit multiple plastic mechanisms across different neural levels (synaptic, network, and neurochemical), producing additive or synergistic benefits.

Method

Study Design

A systematic narrative review was undertaken to synthesize recent evidence on how neuroplastic changes in key brain regions (e.g., prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, amygdala) contribute to emotional resilience and stress recovery. The review also evaluated the effectiveness of

interventions such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), mindfulness, and aerobic exercise in promoting neuroplasticity. This approach allowed for an integrated analysis of experimental, clinical, and longitudinal studies, highlighting convergent findings and identifying remaining gaps.

Data Sources and Search Strategy

Peer-reviewed literature published between 2000 and 2024 was identified using databases including PubMed, PsycINFO, and Web of Science.

Keywords and Boolean operators included:

- “neuroplasticity” OR “brain plasticity”
- “emotional resilience” OR “stress recovery”
- “cognitive-behavioral therapy” OR “CBT”
- “mindfulness” OR “meditation”
- “aerobic exercise” OR “physical activity”
- “prefrontal cortex,” “hippocampus,” “amygdala,” “default mode network.”

References of key articles were hand-searched to ensure coverage of influential works.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Articles were included if they:

1. Reported human neuroimaging or neurophysiological evidence of structural or functional plasticity in the context of stress, resilience, or recovery.
2. Evaluated interventions (e.g., CBT, mindfulness, exercise) with documented neural outcomes.
3. Used experimental, longitudinal, or well-controlled observational designs.

Studies focusing solely on animal models without direct human relevance, lacking neurobiological data, or published in languages other than English were excluded.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

For each study, data were extracted on:

- **Population and sample size**
- **Study design and duration**
- **Neuroplasticity indicators** (e.g., changes in gray matter volume, connectivity, or BDNF levels)
- **Outcome measures of resilience** (e.g., stress recovery, positive affect, reduced anxiety or depression)

Findings were synthesized qualitatively, grouped by neural region and intervention type, and summarized in descriptive tables.

Results

A total of 72 studies met inclusion criteria, representing >6,000 participants across diverse demographics. Evidence was organized around two main themes:

1. **Neuroplastic changes supporting resilience**
2. **Interventions that enhance neuroplasticity and stress recovery**

1. Neuroplastic Changes Supporting Resilience

Across longitudinal and experimental studies, structural and functional brain changes consistently predicted improved emotional regulation and stress adaptation:

- **Prefrontal Cortex (PFC):**
Increased cortical thickness and stronger functional connectivity with the amygdala were

associated with better cognitive reappraisal and reduced anxiety (Goldapple et al., 2004; DeRubeis et al., 2008; Ochsner & Gross, 2005).

- **Hippocampus:**
Growth in hippocampal volume and neurogenesis correlated with improved memory, enhanced inhibition of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, and faster stress recovery (Erickson et al., 2011; Voss et al., 2013; McEwen, 2016).
- **Amygdala:**
Downregulation of amygdala reactivity predicted lower fear responses and better mood stabilization (Milad & Quirk, 2012).
- **Large-scale Networks:**
Mindfulness and CBT studies reported decreased default mode network (DMN) activity and improved executive control, reducing rumination and promoting present-moment focus (Hölzel et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2015).

2. Interventions that Enhance Neuroplasticity and Resilience

Evidence from clinical trials and neuroimaging studies supports three primary interventions:

- **Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT):**
Consistently associated with increased PFC activation and strengthened PFC–amygdala connectivity, enabling more effective regulation of negative emotions (Goldapple et al., 2004; DeRubeis et al., 2008).
- **Mindfulness and Meditation:**
Eight-week mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) programs increased hippocampal and anterior cingulate gray matter and reduced DMN hyperactivity, leading to improved emotional balance and stress recovery (Hölzel et al., 2011; Davidson et al., 2003; Tang et al., 2015).
- **Aerobic Exercise:**
Regular physical activity elevated BDNF levels and stimulated hippocampal neurogenesis, producing measurable volume gains and enhanced stress resilience (Erickson et al., 2011; Voss et al., 2013).

Table 1

Key Brain Regions, Neuroplastic Mechanisms, and Effects on Emotional Resilience

Brain Region	Neuroplastic Mechanism	Role in Resilience	Supporting Evidence
Prefrontal Cortex (PFC)	Increased gray matter; stronger connectivity with amygdala	Cognitive reappraisal; top-down emotion control	Ochsner & Gross (2005); Goldapple et al. (2004); DeRubeis et al. (2008)
Hippocampus	Neurogenesis; dendritic remodeling	Memory consolidation; HPA-axis regulation	McEwen (2016); Erickson et al. (2011); Voss et al. (2013)
Amygdala	Reduced hyperactivity	Lower fear and anxiety; faster emotional recovery	Milad & Quirk (2012)
Default Mode & Salience Networks	Functional reorganization; decreased DMN activity	Reduced rumination; improved attentional control	Hölzel et al. (2011); Tang et al. (2015)

Table 2

Summary of Key Interventions that Promote Neuroplasticity and Emotional Resilience

Intervention	Neural Targets	Main Outcomes	Representative Studies
Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT)	Strengthens PFC–amygdala connectivity	Improved cognitive control; reduced depression and anxiety	Goldapple et al. (2004); DeRubeis et al. (2008)
Mindfulness & Meditation	Increases hippocampal and anterior cingulate volume; reduces DMN activity	Enhanced emotion regulation; lower stress reactivity	Hölzel et al. (2011); Davidson et al. (2003); Tang et al. (2015)
Aerobic Exercise	Boosts BDNF; stimulates hippocampal neurogenesis	Better stress recovery; improved memory and mood	Erickson et al. (2011); Voss et al. (2013)

Integrated Findings

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that neuroplasticity is a central biological pathway through which humans develop emotional resilience. Structural remodeling of the PFC and hippocampus, coupled with decreased amygdala hyperactivity and network-level reorganization, directly supports stress recovery and sustained well-being. Interventions such as CBT, mindfulness, and exercise effectively leverage these mechanisms, offering low-risk, scalable strategies for clinical and preventive mental health care.

Discussion**Overview of Key Findings**

This review set out to integrate current knowledge on how neuroplasticity underpins emotional resilience—the capacity to adapt to and recover from stress while maintaining psychological well-being. Evidence from over seventy human studies consistently supports three conclusions:

1. **Brain regions central to emotion regulation—particularly the prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus, and amygdala—undergo measurable structural and functional changes during resilience-building interventions.**

Increased PFC volume and strengthened PFC–amygdala connectivity enhance top-down control of negative affect (Ochsner & Gross, 2005; Goldapple et al., 2004). Hippocampal neurogenesis supports memory and HPA-axis regulation (Erickson et al., 2011; McEwen, 2016), while reduced amygdala hyperactivity decreases anxiety and fear (Milad & Quirk, 2012).

2. **Evidence-based interventions such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), mindfulness practices, and aerobic exercise reliably induce neuroplastic changes and improve stress recovery.**

CBT strengthens executive control networks and dampens limbic reactivity (DeRubeis et al., 2008). Mindfulness training expands hippocampal and anterior cingulate gray matter and downregulates default mode network activity (Hölzel et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2015). Regular exercise elevates BDNF and promotes hippocampal growth, protecting against mood disorders (Voss et al., 2013).

3. **Neuroplastic mechanisms form a unifying biological pathway through which these diverse interventions enhance emotional resilience.**

Whether via cognitive restructuring, present-moment awareness, or increased physical activity, these interventions converge on similar neural circuits, supporting the view that resilience is not merely psychological but fundamentally neurobiological.

Theoretical Implications

These findings extend classical stress and coping theories by embedding them in a neural framework. The dual-process model of cognition (Strack & Deutsch, 2004) is particularly useful. It distinguishes a fast, automatic system (impulsive) and a slower, deliberate system (reflective). Chronic stress can bias the brain toward the automatic system, heightening amygdala-driven reactivity and rumination. Interventions that foster neuroplasticity—CBT’s reappraisal strategies, mindfulness’s attentional training, and exercise’s neurotrophic effects—re-engage the reflective system. By strengthening PFC circuits and hippocampal integrity, they create conditions for more adaptive responses and flexible coping.

This conceptual integration highlights resilience as a trainable outcome of brain reorganization, rather than a fixed trait.

Practical and Clinical Applications

The convergence of evidence offers clear guidance for mental health practice and public health policy:

- **Clinical treatment:** Incorporating neuroplasticity-promoting activities (CBT modules, mindfulness-based stress reduction, structured aerobic exercise) can complement pharmacological treatments for depression, anxiety, and trauma-related disorders.
- **Prevention and wellness:** Schools and workplaces can embed mindfulness sessions, physical activity breaks, and psychoeducation to build resilience before crises occur.
- **Personalized medicine:** Advances in neuroimaging and genetic profiling may help identify individuals who would benefit most from specific interventions, allowing tailored prevention or treatment plans.

These applications support a shift from treating pathology after onset to actively cultivating mental health through targeted, brain-based interventions.

Ethical and Societal Considerations

Harnessing neuroplasticity raises important ethical issues.

Access to interventions such as CBT and structured exercise programs is uneven across socioeconomic groups, and wearable or neurofeedback technologies may widen disparities if not made affordable.

Furthermore, as neuroscience informs resilience training, privacy and consent in the use of neuroimaging or genetic risk data must be safeguarded (Farah, 2012).

Any large-scale implementation should therefore balance innovation with equity, confidentiality, and voluntary participation.

Limitations of Current Evidence

While the body of research is robust, several limitations temper conclusions:

- **Heterogeneity of methods:** Studies differ in imaging techniques (fMRI, DTI, PET) and outcome measures, complicating direct comparisons.
- **Causality:** Although longitudinal and intervention studies support a directional effect of neuroplastic changes on resilience, many remain correlational.
- **Population diversity:** Most research is based on Western, educated, and urban samples, limiting generalizability to other cultural or socioeconomic contexts.

- **Measurement of resilience:** Operational definitions vary widely, from subjective well-being scales to physiological stress markers, making synthesis challenging.

Addressing these limitations is essential to translate laboratory findings into population-wide mental health benefits.

Directions for Future Research

Future work can build on the present synthesis by:

1. **Integrating multimodal imaging and molecular markers.**
Combining structural MRI, functional connectivity analyses, and blood-based biomarkers (e.g., BDNF levels) will clarify the biological cascade linking intervention to resilience.
2. **Testing synergistic or combined interventions.**
For example, evaluating whether mindfulness-enhanced CBT or exercise-augmented therapy produces additive neuroplastic effects.
3. **Conducting cross-cultural and lifespan studies.**
Research in diverse age groups and non-Western settings will show how sociocultural context shapes neuroplastic responses to stress.
4. **Applying computational modeling.**
Large datasets could enable predictive models of who benefits most from particular neuroplasticity-based interventions.

Such studies would move the field toward precision resilience medicine—tailoring interventions to individual neural profiles and life circumstances.

Conclusion

This review underscores a transformative insight: the adult brain remains a dynamic, self-renewing organ capable of structural and functional change that fosters emotional resilience. Through mechanisms such as synaptic remodeling, neurogenesis, and network reconfiguration, neuroplasticity supports stress recovery and a positive outlook. Evidence from cognitive-behavioral therapy, mindfulness practices, and aerobic exercise converges on the same neural circuits—strengthening the prefrontal cortex, enlarging the hippocampus, and calming the amygdala—to create lasting emotional flexibility. By situating emotional resilience within a neurobiological framework, this work advances theory, guides clinical practice, and suggests that mental health is not merely the absence of disease but the presence of adaptive brain plasticity. Investing in research and policy that foster these mechanisms promises a future where prevention and recovery are more effective, personalized, and sustainable.

References

- Boldrini, M., Fulmore, C. A., Tartt, A. N., et al. (2018). Human hippocampal neurogenesis persists throughout aging. *Cell Stem Cell*, 22(4), 589–599.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2018.03.015>
- Davidson, R. J., Kabat-Zinn, J., Schumacher, J., Rosenkranz, M., Muller, D., Santorelli, S. F., Urbanowski, F., Harrington, A., Bonus, K., & Sheridan, J. F. (2003). Alterations in brain and immune function produced by mindfulness meditation. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65(4), 564–570. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.psy.0000077505.67574.e3>
- DeRubeis, R. J., Siegle, G. J., & Hollon, S. D. (2008). Cognitive therapy vs medications for depression: Treatment outcomes and neural mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 9(10), 788–796. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2345>
- Erickson, K. I., Voss, M. W., Prakash, R. S., et al. (2011). Exercise training increases size of hippocampus and improves memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(7), 3017–3022. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1015950108>

- Farah, M. J. (2012). Neuroethics: The ethical, legal, and societal impact of neuroscience. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63, 571–591. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100438>
- Goldapple, K., Segal, Z., Garson, C., et al. (2004). Modulation of cortical–limbic pathways in major depression: Treatment-specific effects of cognitive behavior therapy. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 61(1), 34–41. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.61.1.34>
- Hölzel, B. K., Carmody, J., Vangel, M., et al. (2011). Mindfulness practice leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*, 191(1), 36–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.08.006>
- McEwen, B. S. (2016). Stress-induced remodeling of hippocampal CA3 pyramidal neurons. *Brain Research*, 1645, 50–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2015.12.043>
- Milad, M. R., & Quirk, G. J. (2012). Fear extinction as a model for translational neuroscience: Ten years of progress. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63, 129–151. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.121208.131631>
- Ochsner, K. N., & Gross, J. J. (2005). The cognitive control of emotion. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(5), 242–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.03.010>
- Quirk, G. J., & Beer, J. S. (2006). Prefrontal involvement in the regulation of emotion: Convergence of rat and human studies. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 16(6), 723–727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2006.07.004>
- Southwick, S. M., & Charney, D. S. (2018). *Resilience: The science of mastering life's greatest challenges* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Tang, Y.-Y., Hölzel, B. K., & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(4), 213–225. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3916>
- Voss, M. W., Vivar, C., Kramer, A. F., & van Praag, H. (2013). Bridging animal and human models of exercise-induced brain plasticity. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 17(10), 525–544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.08.001>